

KELLY AFB TEXAS

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD COVER SHEET

AR File Number 3240.1

1	KELLY RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD
	TECHNICAL REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
2	7mmil 11+h 2006 6.20 m m
3	April 11th, 2006, 6:30 p.m. Kennedy High School
ا "	1922 South General McMullen
4	San Antonio, Texas 78226
1	
5	
	APPEARANCES
6	
7	RAB Community Member Attendees:
_ ′	Robert Silvas, Community Cochair
8	Rodrigo Garcia
	Nazirite Perez
9	Armando Quintanilla
10	
11	RAB Government Member Attendees: Adam Antwine, Installation Cochair
++	Gary Martin, Port Authority of San Antonio
12	Gary Miller, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA),
	Region VI
13	Melanie Ritsema, San Antonio Metropolitan Health District (SAMHD)
14	Mark Weegar, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ)
15	(1012)
16	Other Attendees:
	David Smith, Facilitator
17	Sal Aguinaga, Port Authority of San Antonio
18	Vanessa Antuna Monica Carreon
10	Sonja Coderre, Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA)
19	Todd Colburn, AFRPA Contractor
	Kyle Cunningham, SAMHD (Alternate for Melanie Ritsema)
20	Alan Ferrell, SAMHD
	Cecil Green
21	Christy Zamora-Gutierrez
22	Linda Kauffman, SAMHD
22	Norma Landez, AFRPA Grey Lyssy, EPA (Alternate for Gary Miller)
23	Eduardo Martinez, AFRPA Contractor
	David Plylar
24	Abigail Power, TCEQ (Alternate for Mark Weegar)
	Sara Rosario
25	Brad Watson, Zephyr ORIGINAL
	Joe Zupan, Zephyr

(Proceedings began at 6:43 p.m.)

2.0

DR. SMITH: Good evening. I'd like to welcome you to the April 11th meeting of the Kelly Restoration Advisory Board. My name is David Smith. I'm the facilitator. My job is to kind of help us move through the agenda and the program as expeditiously as we can while making sure everyone gets heard.

We'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance. I'd ask you to stand, please.

(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

DR. SMITH: Remain standing for a moment of silence, please.

(Moment of silence was observed.)

DR. SMITH: First item on the agenda is an agenda review and just very quickly let me walk you through that. Very shortly, Mr. Martinez will -- Eddie will walk us through the packet review telling you what's in that packet of material that's here.

We'll follow that with a community comment period where we're inviting members of the community to make any comments that they wish. It will be real helpful if you're going to make a comment if you will fill out one of the community comment cards. I think there's some on the back table and I believe we have some right here if you should need one. That will just help us kind of keep

1 thin

things in order.

Once we're complete there, we'll walk through the administrative section of the agenda. Mr. Antwine will do an AFRPA update. Ms. Landez will do the BCT update as well as the documents that are going to be forward to the TRS and the RAB. We'll take a look at the updated minutes, January and March, which are in the packets. And Mr. Silvas will walk us through the TAPP project procedure.

Following that administrative section, there will be a presentation on the sub slab vapor air monitoring representative, PCEH, Zephyr, followed by a question and answer period for the community so that you can raise questions specific to that. We'll then look at the CERCLA five-year report. Ms. Landez will do that, again followed by questions and answers.

Final stage of the meeting wraps up and once again we'll have a community comment period. So should there be things that come up during the meeting that you'd want to comment about, this would be a great time to do that. I would ask you once again to fill out the blue card if you're going to do that. We'll work our way through the closing activities and target for adjournment.

Having said all that, Eddie, would you be willing to walk us through the packet?

MR. MARTINEZ: Good evening, everyone. My name is Eddie Martinez. I'm a contractor with the Air Force Real Property Agency Public Affairs Function. Just going to do a quick review of your packets.

Of course on the very top is your agenda. Right behind that are some graphs and some charts about costs related to the environmental cleanup. Behind those you'll find the action items report. And these are of course responses to questions that were brought up at the TRS meeting we had on -- in March. And if you take some time to go through the responses, there's some really good questions and answers there.

application. That was a request from Mr. Silvas to include it in that packet. You go behind that form, there's a list of documents. These are the documents that are going to go to the Environmental Health & Wellness Center. And there are three binders in there, the first three in that series. The rest of them are correspondence with letters back and forth between the regulatory agencies and the Air Force Real Property Agency.

If you look behind that on the back side, those were the documents that were given to the members of the Restoration Advisory Board at the Environment Health & Wellness Center at the last TRS.

_

Behind that, you'll find two sets of meeting minutes. The one on top is from the January 10th, 2006 meeting and then behind that you'll find the ones from the March 14th TRS.

After the meeting minutes, you'll find some responses to request for information from members -- community members of the Restoration Advisory Board, Ms. Henrietta LaGrange and Mr. Silvas.

Behind those responses to the request for information you'll find one of the presentations for this evening, which is Sub Slab Soil Vapor Monitoring briefing. That is going to be given by -- I'm sorry. It was performed by Zephyr Environmental Corporation.

The next briefing Ms. Landez will give you regarding the five-year review report. This is the first five-year review report and you'll get a briefing so we can all learn about what -- what it is and what the requirements are for that.

If you'll flip through a couple of those slides that are in color, you'll come to a black and white document which has this cover page on it (indicating). And this is the actual report. This is the five-year review report. So everybody has a copy, RAB members, in your packets. Again, that report is also being filed in the Environmental Health & Wellness Center.

1 document
3 be discu
4 year 200
5
6 newspape
7 We place
8 Reporter
9 public s
10 announce
11 sort. A

With that, go through a couple of those documents, and you'll come to a list of all the topics to be discussed throughout the year or for all the calendar year 2006 in the associated meetings.

Behind that you'll actually get any -- the newspaper clippings that announced this meeting tonight. We placed those in the Express News, the Southside Reporter, and also La Prensa. Additionally we published public service announcements or we sent public service announcements, television, radio stations, things of that sort. And then just a couple of news clips that have come up related to the former Kelly Air Force Base behind that. Those are double sided there.

And finally, this is a -- a list of the RAB member contact information. Again, this information was consented to be released by the RAB members.

Are there any questions? Yes, sir.

MR. SILVAS: Again, I'd like to make a point that these packages were not fully distributed in time to review. What we received earlier was a partial package of the -- tonight's meeting.

Secondly, I'd like to point out the schedule for the '06 is not a full schedule of meetings. That's all I have.

MR. QUINTANILLA: I'd like to bring out some

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	things that were brought up at the at the executive
2	meeting with Mr. Silvas concerning the guar fish kill.
3	The status of that, I don't see that in the agenda.
4	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, sir. That will be part of
5	Mr. Antwine's briefing. I apologize for interrupting
6	you.
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: I I looked at his
8	briefing, was on the update and I don't see any dif
9	MR. ANTWINE: There's no change. The the
10	issue of the
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: Let me
12	MR. ANTWINE: guar spill is still at
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: Let me finish.
14	MR. ANTWINE: TCEQ so we don't have any
15	update on it. We're waiting their legal legal counsel
16	to respond to it.
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: But I don't know what the
18	status of the what it is that there's
19	MR. ANTWINE: Probably in the letter that you
20	got at the last meeting, whatever that status was, sir.
21	It's at the state. They're reviewing our appeal of of,
22	you know, this issue and we have not heard back from them.
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: The second
24	MR. ANTWINE: I don't know if Mr. Weegar wants
25	to add to that, but he may want to

1	MR. QUINTANILLA: Let me let me finish
2	first. The second part that I don't that was discussed
3	at the executive meeting, and I'm stating this for the
4	record, was a cleanup of the Leon Creek contaminated
5	sediment. I didn't hear anything about that.
6	I did not hear anything about well, he
7	mentioned about the
8	MR. ANTWINE: I don't think there's a
9	requirement to clean up any sediment at Leon Creek so
10	MR. QUINTANILLA: Even though it's
11	contamination there?
12	MR. ANTWINE: There's contamination a lot of
13	places that
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, it
15	MR. ANTWINE: don't require cleanup so
16	that's just one of many. Okay?
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay. Concerning the TAPP
18	grant, what is the study the status on that?
19	MR. ANTWINE: We're waiting your application
20	for any projects that you guys would like to have
21	submitted for the TAPP program so I think we you were
22	going to get together with our contracting officer and put
23	an application together for any you want to submit for
24	re you know, for contracts. I don't know if you-all
25	had a chance to do that.
I	

1	MR. SILVAS: That was going to be gone over
2	tonight for the '06 calendar, the different projects.
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay.
4	MR. GARCIA: Rodrigo Garcia. Mr. Antwine,
5	there was a lot of those TAPP projects discussed in the
6	minutes here so I need for you to review these minutes and
7	itemize all the projects that were discussed in here
8	because we have been discussing a lot of projects at the
9	TRS and
10	MR. ANTWINE: You need to submit them on a
11	list, an application that says these are the projects we'd
12	like to have reviewed.
13	MR. GARCIA: But I'm just saying
14	MR. ANTWINE: And then
15	MR. GARCIA: that a lot of a lot of it
16	was reviewed and recommended in here so we need to get a
17	staff member to
18	MR. ANTWINE: Again, you
19	MR. GARCIA: review the notes and put them
20	on a
21	MR. ANTWINE: You know what these projects are
22	that the RAB would like to be reviewed under the TAPP
23	program. If you know what they are, we'll be glad to help
24	you put the application together so that it can be
25	submitted for approval. That's where we are.

1	Until you get another application approved,
2	you've already expended all of the funds that were
3	available to the RAB.
4	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's not correct. We
5	still got
6	MR. ANTWINE: Well, you got \$2,000. If you
7	can get somebody to do a project for \$2,000, good luck.
8	We haven't been able to do that yet.
9	MR. QUINTANILLA: We can use that 2000 plus,
10	plus whatever you get.
11	MR. ANTWINE: Yes. Well, we need the
12	application, sir.
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: Because you already
14	overruled us on the parliamentary training. You said that
15	that is not the case, that we cannot have parliamentary
16	MR. ANTWINE: That's not restoration
17	training. Parliamentary
18	MR. QUINTANILLA: It's for the board.
19	MR. ANTWINE: procedure is not
20	MR. QUINTANILLA: It's for the whole board.
21	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. One at a
22	time.
23	MR. ANTWINE: We've had this discussion, sir.
24	Those funds are available for restoration training of the
25	RAB members, not how to do parliamentary procedure in a

1 meeting. We have other ways that we can train parliament -- you know, parliamentarians but it's not with 2 3 TAPP funds. 4 MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, can you put that on 5 the table what the other ways are. 6 MR. ANTWINE: We've already -- we've given the 7 We've done a whole lot of that already. I'm not sure what you want me to put on the table. 8 9 MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, perhaps put it on the 10 agenda how you -- how you are going to train us. 11 MR. ANTWINE: Who am I going to train? 12 you going to volunteer to be the parliamentarian? 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: No. The whole board will -will hear your -- your presentation. 14 15 MR. ANTWINE: We -- we can't do that. We train one individual. Everybody can't be a 16 17 parliamentarian. That's the problem we got now. 18 MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes. If --19 MR. ANTWINE: Because everybody wants to, you 20 know, control who votes and all that. We can train one person on it. You pick him, you recommend him to us, 21 22 we'll be glad to train him. 23 MR. QUINTANILLA: I still have a couple of other items. Concerning the corrected pages to the weekly 24 and monthly inspection that I brought up, you said that 25

1	you would call me. You haven't called me on that. What
2	is the status of that?
3	MR. ANTWINE: I owe you a phone call.
4	MR. QUINTANILLA: It's past due, Mr you
5	know, there were reminders and all of that. And I think
6	you might have intentionally forgot them.
7	MR. ANTWINE: I'm not sure what your concern
8	is with those records. We have inspections that people
9	sign. If you don't agree with them I can't give you a
10	corrected that says this is going to prove to Mr.
11	Quintanilla whatever he doesn't believe about it. I can't
12	give you anything that's going to change those reports,
13	sir.
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, you couldn't have
15	inspected and this is for the record.
16	MR. ANTWINE: Uh-huh.
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: On June 31st,
18	MR. ANTWINE: Okay. Okay. So we'll
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: you conducted
20	MR. ANTWINE: correct the date. We'll
21	stand corrected.
22	MR. QUINTANILLA: You just said that you
23	MR. ANTWINE: It's part of the record.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: weren't going to give us
25	the

1	MR. ANTWINE: I'll I'll be glad to correct
2	it if there was not a June 31st.
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: There's no June 31st.
4	MR. ANTWINE: We'll take that as an action.
5	No problem.
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: I'd like to get a corrected
7	copy to that. And the other was where it was inspected by
8	one person and signed by another person, I'd like to get a
9	corrected copy on that.
10	MR. ANTWINE: Okay.
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm saying this for the
12	record.
13	MR. ANTWINE: That's fine.
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: We need to put it for the
15	record.
16	MR. ANTWINE: We do that all the time. If the
17	person that's name is typed on a document is not there to
18	sign it, somebody else can sign for that person. Maybe
19	there wasn't a for, maybe that's the problem we have.
20	We'll correct that. No problem.
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay. When I got the
22	documents, they
23	MR. ANTWINE: Okay.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: were not correct and I
25	just wanted to bring that.

1 MR. ANTWINE: Is your concern that it's not 2 being inspected or what? 3 MR. QUINTANILLA: No, that it's not being done 4 right the first time. 5 MR. ANTWINE: Oh, okay. We'll make sure. 6 MR. QUINTANILLA: And that I want in the 7 record. 8 DR. SMITH: Just a second, let me give you a 9 turn. 10 MR. ANTWINE: I'm not going to have to give up an update, because he's going to go right through all of 11 12 my items. I'm not going to have any time. 13 DR. SMITH: I quess if you have questions for 14 Adam, I'm going to ask you to hold those until after his 15 update is done because I expect that he'll deal with a 16 number of those. 17 And the other thing is in order to help the young 18 lady who is taking notes here, if you would be sure to 19 use -- give your name, etcetera. That will be helpful. 20 We have to remind one another of that during the meeting 21 and if you'll wave at us, that will be great. 22 I'm sorry, Mr. Garcia. 23 MR. GARCIA: No, that's all right. I was just 24 getting going. 25 Mr. Antwine?

1	MR. ANTWINE: Yes, sir.
2	MR. GARCIA: If you want a list, I'm gonna
3	give you a list and I have told you time and time again
4	MR. ANTWINE: A list of projects or
5	MR. GARCIA: A list of projects
6	MR. ANTWINE: of what needs
7	MR. GARCIA: A list of projects for TAPP
8	funding.
9	MR. ANTWINE: Okay. Give me an application
10	MR. GARCIA: And if they don't
11	MR. ANTWINE: that says here's the projects
12	and we'll be glad to help you fill it out. Okay? A list
13	of projects I mean the list of projects is here. I
14	have projects on the list right in front of you.
15	MR. GARCIA: Oh, you want us to tell you
16	MR. ANTWINE: Those are all the projects.
17	MR. GARCIA: all the projects and
18	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me.
19	MR. GARCIA: fill out the applications as
20	well?
21	MR. ANTWINE: These are these are all the
22	projects we have on FY '06. I was going to cover those in
23	my in my update.
24	MR. GARCIA: What you're telling us is for us
25	to fill out the application for the RAB.

1	MR. ANTWINE: That's right. The RAB the
2	RAB has to fill the application out. We'll be glad to
3	assist you. The contracting officer at the executive
4	committee meeting agreed to a time. You guys haven't set
5	up a time with him to come and do it. You know, you need
6	to do that and he'll be glad to help you fill it out.
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: Let me for the record, we
8	didn't have the list that you have right now.
9	MR. ANTWINE: Yeah, you had the list.
10	MR. QUINTANILLA: At the executive board
11	meeting?
12	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. Yeah, it was there.
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: It was there?
14	MS. CODERRE: Uh-huh.
15	MR. MILLER: The '06 calendar, that was
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay.
17	MR. ANTWINE: There's only three projects in
18	the '06 so I know it was on the list.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, Mr. Silvas, I stand
20	ready to assist you in meeting at any time with with
21	Mr. Nieto so that we can get this thing going. Those are
22	the projects
23	MR. ANTWINE: We're just as eager as you are
24	to do that, sir.
25	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, you don't quite show

it. You're kind of anti --1 2 DR. SMITH: We have the TAPP items on the agenda if you let us move to -- to that --3 4 MR. ANTWINE: I don't know what I can offer. sir. Sorry. 5 6 DR. SMITH: Mr. Antwine, we've invaded your 7 presentation already. 8 MR. ANTWINE: Well, what about the public 9 comment? We have no community comments? 10 DR. SMITH: I have no cards and I have seen no 11 comments. 12 MR. ANTWINE: I was just gonna cover a few general items that we didn't cover just then. One is just 13 14 that to make everyone available or aware that there is 15 going to be a Kelly area collaboration meeting. I think 16 it's scheduled for the 13th of May. 17 MS. CODERRE: Yes. 18 MR. ANTWINE: The Air Force, the Public Center 19 for Environmental Health, EPA, the state, the City of San 20 Antonio, have all been working in this collaboration for a 21 project that the Southwest Workers Union and EPA have been 22 working together on for some time now. 23 You guys might remember some environmental and health round tables we had a couple of years ago where we 24 25 asked community members to come in, express their

1 This is a similar project to that. We had them concerns. 2 down at UTSA and a couple of other locations. But you 3 should be getting some -- some invitations or they should 4 be available probably within -- by the end of this month I 5 think. 6 They're trying to get those out two weeks before 7 the meeting, but this is something you'll likely see 8 advertised around the community so I just wanted to let 9 you know, you know, what our involvement is with that. 10 We'll be supporting it. 11 It's a general session and they plan to have 12 environmental health and economic development round tables 13 to address issues for the Kelly area. And so I just 14 wanted to make sure that folks are aware of that. We ask 15 for all of you to come out and participate. That's going 16 to be Saturday, May the 13th. 17 Has there been a location determined yet? 18 MS. CODERRE: The -- location was Dwight 19 Middle School. 20 MR. ANTWINE: That's going to be Dwight Middle 21 School. 22 MS. CODERRE: And it's 10:30 to 1:30. 23 MR. ANTWINE: Yeah, 10:30 to 1:30 but we won't 24 get a chance to meet with you-all before that meeting so 25 we just want to make you aware and let you know to be

looking for that advertisement in the paper and in the local area.

I did want to mention what Armando brought up on the project and it's related to the TAPP issue that Rodrigo also brought up. And it's a concern that the RAB has brought to us in terms of what kind of projects we have for the upcoming year.

These are the projects that typically we are required to do as part of our compliance with state and EPA rules. A lot of them require construction and submission of -- of documents to the state and to EPA to confirm that we're doing the right thing. We have three of those projects scheduled in FY '06. These are kind of the big things that we do on and around the base to meet all the requirements of the states and EPA.

We had also talked about the cost. There's a cost chart over here that we're going to continue to bring to the meeting. If any of you would like to know what our spending has been historically for these kinds of projects, we want to make sure that we have a constant piece of information available to you guys on how much money we're spending on these kinds of projects.

This year it's somewhere -- and Norma, correct me if I'm wrong -- in the neighborhood of 8 to 10 million dollars for Kelly.

MS. LANDEZ: Correct. 1 2 MR. ANTWINE: As many of you know, a lot of 3 the off-base remedies or actually all of the off-base remedies have been installed. The permeable reactive 4 5 barriers that many of you have seen being constructed around the area have been completed. I believe we wrap up 6 7 most of that next month in terms of documents that have to 8 be signed, you know, by our agency and submitted to the 9 state. Is that correct? 10 MS. LANDEZ: Right. 11 MR. ANTWINE: Is there a document going from the desk of Halvorson to the state on our last remedy? 12 13 MS. LANDEZ: The decision documents were 14 signed this week. 15 MR. ANTWINE: Okay. 16 MS. LANDEZ: But those go into the --17 MR. ANTWINE: Okay. Okay. 18 MS. LANDEZ: -- AR, not to the state. 19 MR. ANTWINE: Those are sort of the last documents associated with the off-base groundwater cleanup 20 21 that most of you are familiar with and that most of you 22 are concerned about. And so just to let you know, those 23 projects, you know, have been completed. 24 The last one was installed out here on the Malone 25 Street and the rail -- rail yard easement. That's the

most recent one that's been installed. So these projects, you know, we'll keep you guys up-to-date as we make progress.

Some of those projects are still in the process of being awarded. For FY '06 and obviously those projects are -- in many cases go beyond the fiscal year, which is October. They will go into next year in many cases into FY '07.

So that's kind of -- kind of an update there.

We'll continue to provide an update. It's a list actually in your packet that also details those Sonja is telling me. And what we're going to do is come up with some additional information on progress that not only shows you the amount of money.

We've done this before periodically, but I think the RAB has essentially requested we do it every time we meet so that you know how much progress we've made, you know, in the -- since the last time we've talked. So that's something that we agree is -- you know, is a good thing to do and to show you.

There was a groundwater treatment plant spill that you asked about, Armando, also, an update on. You know, I think we pretty much finished any requirements we have associated with that, other than some upgrades to the plant that we're making, alarms and things to insure that

1 if that ever happens again, you know, that we have 2 adequate warning. And I think you quys were concerned 3 about where we were with that particular project. 4 That's all I have in terms of general updates. 5 know that the PCEH is conducting or their contractor is 6 conducting a liver cancer study which you probably read 7 about recently in the news. We may talk a little bit more about that later, but they are I know seeking volunteers 8 9 to participate in this study so that's something that you 10 may see or hear about in the news as well associated 11 with -- you know, with the Kelly area. 12 Did you want to mention anything or did Kyle? 13 MS. RITSEMA: Just that we're moving forward with -- I'm Melanie Ritsema with the health department. 14 15 We are moving forward with that, working with the 16 contractor and the last update I had was about a week ago 17 and they were getting the questionnaires ready. 18 right? 19 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Uh-huh. 20 MS. RITSEMA: Yeah. 21 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Right. That will be going 22 through RAB. They should be out soon. 23 MR. ANTWINE: Okay. Well, that's sort of the 24 general kinds of things that I wanted to cover that we 25 haven't already talked about.

Was there -- did you have --

MR. QUINTANILLA: You mentioned a news item. Your name also appeared in the Los Angeles Times concerning Environmental Justice, that you had some concern with Environmental Justice. What were those concerns, Mr. Antwine?

MR. ANTWINE: I believe that was just a comment about the concerns within the community. I did see the article. And essentially it was -- it was a question of whether or not, you know, if any of the contamination in the Kelly area had affected the health of -- of the citizens and I think our point was that we're evaluating that. Surely there have been pathways for environmental contamination potentially to get into the community.

You're going to see a study tonight that evaluates one of those pathways and it was a concern about vapors that might be coming out of the soil. So surely those potentials exist. We're going to try to show that those pathways are not there, whether it be a well or whether it be soil vapors, you know, under the slab. So we're going -- or vegetables.

I mean we're doing projects constantly to try to show that that concern, you know, is being addressed so --

MR. QUINTANILLA: The way -- the way it

1	appeared in the Los Angeles Times is and this is what
2	you said in quotes, "This is a low income minority
3	population that raises concerns of Environmental
4	Justice."
5	My question is what were those concerns that
6	MR. ANTWINE: Well, I mean I just described
7	one of them to you in the project the meeting we're
8	going to have on the 13th of May. Surely Environmental
9	Justice is one of the primary concerns of folks like the
10	Southwest Workers Union. And so when I say
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: The Southwest
12	MR. ANTWINE: that this issue raises
13	concerns
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: Workers Union excuse
15	me. The issue.
16	MR. ANTWINE: of Environmental Justice,
17	that's what I'm the describing.
18	MR. QUINTANILLA: Excuse me. The Southwest
19	Workers Union is not an environment is not an
20	Environmental Justice or injustice. I want to know
21	specifically what
22	MR. ANTWINE: They raise concerns of
23	Environmental Justice. It's a general statement.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, I have concerns and
25	what I'm getting at is perhaps we ought to bring in EPA to

1	the perhaps the next RAB meeting and discuss some of
2	the EPA concerns that we have, your the ones that have
3	been presented to you, the ones that have been presented
4	to us, to the EPA Environmental Justice people.
5	MR. ANTWINE: Okay.
6	MR. SILVAS: The environmental participant
7	meeting.
8	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yeah. That's an EPA.
9	MR. MILLER: That's what they're doing at the
10	Kelly area corporations, what they're doing at that
11	meeting on May 13th.
12	MR. QUINTANILLA: But they're not
13	MR. MILLER: That's what that group is part
14	of. That's where they're express that's where those
15	concerns are being addressed, through that environ our
16	Environmental Justice group is involved in that those
17	meetings. So I would encourage you to be involved in
18	those if you have concerns
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: We are involved,
20	MR. MILLER: about the Environmental
21	Justice
22	MR. QUINTANILLA: sir. I have
23	MR. MILLER: And I
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: been involved.
25	MR. MILLER: And I realize you have. I

1 know --2 MR. QUINTANILLA: But I --3 MR. MILLER: -- you have, but I'm saying that's where those concerns are being addressed. That was 4 5 EPA's method, our decision to -- to address the concerns 6 that were -- that were expressed by the Federal Facilities 7 Working Group I think is what it was of the NEJAC, 8 National Environmental Justice Association --9 MR. ANTWINE: Acting Committee? Yeah. 10 MR. MILLER: They expressed some concerns with 11 Kelly. Those concerns --12 MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes, they did. 13 MR. MILLER: Were -- it was decided by the EPA that the best way to handle those concerns was through 14 15 this Kelly area collaboration and that's where those 16 concerns are being addressed to bring them out to the 17 entire community and allow the community to -- to voice 18 some opinions. 19 MR. QUINTANILLA: So your -- my suggestion or my recommendation that Environmental Justice appear before 20 this RAB and listen to the RAB's Environmental Justice 21 22 concerns, you're saying that that won't happen? 23 MR. MILLER: I'm not saying it won't happen. 24 I mean you can always ask them to appear. 25 MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, we're asking you, sir,

since you're our representative of the EPA.
MR. MILLER: I can all I can do is go to
them and say they have asked for somebody to appear.
MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you very much.
MR. MILLER: That's all I can do. I don't
know that they will appear
MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you very much.
MR. MILLER: because that's a different
group.
MR. QUINTANILLA: All right. My secon my
second item that I have, Mr. Antwine, is when will the
restoration cleanup be completed? What year? You know,
we've been doing this since the '80s and so in what year
can we
MR. ANTWINE: One stage of it
MR. QUINTANILLA: expect the
neighborhood
MR. ANTWINE: One stage is going to be
completed as we speak. We just completed installation of
all the remedies to address contaminated groundwater off
the installation. That's one major phase of cleanup that
is now
MR. QUINTANILLA: In place.
MR. ANTWINE: In place, right.

1	MR. ANTWINE: We're going to monitor that.
2	There are models that project, you know, anywhere
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's what I want to hear.
4	MR. ANTWINE: from 11 to 20 years that it
5	would take. As these cleanup systems start to work, that
6	data will be available to you and me and we will be able
7	to predict
8	MR. QUINTANILLA: You don't know. You don't
9	know the
10	MR. ANTWINE: No. I'm not I'm not
11	underground, you know, filtering these particles so I
12	you know, all I have is scientists and engineers saying
13	here's how long it might take, you know, based on the
14	models we have, based on the technologies we use. This is
15	not an exact science.
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, you know, what are
17	what are those models showing at this point?
18	MR. ANTWINE: They're showing anywhere from
19	11 there they are right there. Okay? Those are the
20	results we've seen so far. If you look at the plume
21	reduction
22	MR. QUINTANILLA: What year?
23	MR. ANTWINE: It's right there in front of
24	you. Or behind you.
25	MR. QUINTANILLA: Does it show what year

1	MR. ANTWINE: Huh?
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: Are you saying that
3	MR. ANTWINE: That's showing that we've seen a
4	significant reduction in
5	THE COURT REPORTER: One at
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: No, no, no.
7	MR. ANTWINE: off-base contamination
8	already.
9	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's not my question.
10	When will the neighborhood be cleaned up?
11	MR. ANTWINE: I just said the predictions are
12	anywhere from 11 to probably I don't know. What's the
13	latest, guys? 17 years? Something like that.
14	MS. LANDEZ: I'm
15	MR. ANTWINE: On the far end?
16	THE COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, your name?
17	MS. LANDEZ: I'm sorry. Norma Landez,
18	L-A-N-D-E-Z.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: What year again, Norma?
20	MS. LANDEZ: 2020.
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: The year 2020. So we've got
22	15 14 more years.
23	MR. ANTWINE: As I said, these are
24	predictions. We'll see as the data starts to come in.
25	MR. QUINTANILLA: All right. And

1	MR. ANTWINE: I mean give us
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: And part of the that cleanup
3	there on the 14 years includes natural attenuation; is
4	that correct?
5	MS. LANDEZ: Yes.
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes.
7	MR. ANTWINE: Part of it cleans it.
8	MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you, Norma.
9	MR. ANTWINE: I'm not sure what you're asking
10	about.
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm asking you are you going
12	to let mother nature take care of it.
13	MR. ANTWINE: It's taking care of it and it's
14	going to continue to take care of it. That's what I tell
15	you.
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: And if there's no
17	MR. ANTWINE: Right along with the
18	technologies that we put in the ground. It helps. So
19	that's all I will say.
20	DR. SMITH: Mark, you want to be next?
21	MR. WEEGAR: Yeah. I just want to make a note
22	for Mark Weegar, TCEQ, concerning Mr. Quintanilla's
23	request that EPA attend the EJ folks attend. You know,
24	that's fine if they want to do that. I would point out
25	that the EPA's Environmental Justice staff, both in Region

1	VI and in Washington, did an investigation of the TCEQ
2	concerning allegations on the part of Southwest Workers
3	Union and CEJA that there were TCEQ had engaged in
4	environmental discrimination and the result of that
5	investigation found no no discrimination.
6	So just just throwing that out
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: There's been
8	MR. WEEGAR: there that whatever the
9	concerns are, a number of them probably have been
10	addressed already by EJ investigation.
11	MR. MILLER: Mr. Silvas?
12	MR. QUINTANILLA: I would like to present some
13	additional ones.
14	MR. SILVAS: Going back to Adam's
15	presentation, it's a environmental restoration project.
16	These contractors, I'd like to go over the cost and the
17	future of these contractors in use.
18	MR. ANTWINE: There's the cost right there.
19	MR. SILVAS: Sure.
20	MR. ANTWINE: 5.4 million for for that
21	project.
22	MR. SILVAS: Okay. And as of now, CH2M Hill
23	is no longer with Kelly cleanup. We have another
24	contractor. SAIC, how long is their contract going into
25	the future? Is that

1	MR. ANTWINE: As long as they win it.
2	MR. SILVAS: But is that
3	MR. ANTWINE: It is probably renewed every
4	year.
5	MS. LANDEZ: These are year-to-year contracts.
6	MR. ANTWINE: I mean there's various things
7	that they do for so
8	MS. LANDEZ: They're year-to-year contracts.
9	MR. ANTWINE: They're typically annual, but if
10	they win it next year, they'll be here.
11	MR. SILVAS: I thought since SAIC bid it that
12	they didn't have to go against other open bids, that they
13	were just
14	MR. ANTWINE: No. There's no monopoly on this
15	war.
16	MR. SILVAS: going back to
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: Which one did you say were
18	fired or no longer doing business with you?
19	MR. ANTWINE: There's a whole list of them
20	that no longer do business with us. I don't
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: But you mentioned one
22	specifically.
23	MR. GARCIA: CH2M Hill.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: CH2M.
25	MR. ANTWINE: No, he said that (indicating).
I	

I didn't say that.

MR. GARCIA: He said it (indicating).

MR. ANTWINE: I don't know if that's an accurate statement. I think we do still have contracts with CH2M Hill, maybe not the one that's on this list but they still provide services to us probably on some other contract.

MR. SILVAS: I'd like to finish up real quick. What services do these companies provide the SAIC, CH2M Hill?

MR. ANTWINE: They're the guys that maintain and operate the systems for the most part. They also provide a semiannual compliance plan monitoring so they do a lot of the ongoing operations on an annual basis to, you know, pump and treat and monitor. The groundwater treatment plants, they support that. So that's operations and maintenance is the way we refer to that.

MR. SILVAS: Okay. Just to make a quick point that when we had out last review done by Patrick Lynch, that a lot of the data that was presented and forwarded to your Air Force agency was done so by Science Application International Corporation and yet we -- I felt that that could have been done in a better presentation, that data was either not put in and certain things were left out.

If this is an ongoing company that's going to be

doing work for the Air Force and this community, I think they need to be overlooked a little more crucial on some of their data that's being presented.

MR. ANTWINE: I think we've done a response to those findings. Has that been shared yet or has it been completed?

MS. LANDEZ: The last review done by Mr. Lynch was on a -- the semiannual compliance plan report that was produced by CH2M Hill for the Air Force, not by SAIC. And we did respond back to Mr. -- we did provide a response to Mr. Lynch's report and his concerns and I believe we provided that to the RAB I think in the February or March, one of the two.

DR. SMITH: Mr. Garcia, you wanted to --

MR. MILLER: I want to clarify one thing real quick on the -- on the EJ stuff. I want to make sure that I encourage everyone from the community and the RAB to attend the May 13th meeting because if you wait until, you know, you have somebody from the EJ office come here, they're not going to be able to address your concerns the way that that group -- that's what that group is set up to do, to address the Environmental Justice concerns the community has for Kelly and for the community broader than Kelly itself. And that's where you -- you need to start. You need to really go there.

1 If you can have concerns with the Environmental 2 Justice issues, that's where the community and the RAB members should go. I'll be happy to go back and get a 3 representative from our EJ office to come here and give 5 you a brief presentation as what they can do as an EJ 6 office. 7 But as far as what's going on with Kelly and --8 and the community, this meeting in May and then the three 9 round table meetings they're going to have this summer, 10 the first one that they're going to have -- they haven't 11 scheduled yet, it should be scheduled -- it will be 12 scheduled after May is the environmental -- I'm not sure 13 what else --14 MR. ANTWINE: Round table. 15 MR. MILLER: It's just called the 16 environmental round table, that will be to discuss 17 environmental issues. And then Adam, what were the other 18 two? Do you remember offhand? 19 MR. ANTWINE: Health and economic 20 redevelopment. Redevelopment and health. 21 MR. MILLER: And health. There's two other 22 ones that will be -- and they should all happen this 23 summer and those will all be done under this Environmental

24

25

1 Union and other community members. 2 I believe that someone from your office was 3 There's people from AFRPA there. So I mean they have a -- a group that is working together to try and 4 5 address some of these concerns. 6 I would really encourage everyone to attend those 7 rather than trying to start a separate -- I guess trying to start something separate. This is going to be --8 9 that's what this is for and they'll be happy to come here 10 and maybe give you a briefing on what they're doing. 11 MR. QUINTANILLA: I want that. 12 MR. MILLER: Maybe I can do that. 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: I -- I want that briefing and I also want --14 15 MR. MILLER: I'll get with them and --16 MR. QUINTANILLA: -- to present the --17 MR. MILLER: -- see if they can do that. 18 MR. QUINTANILLA: -- concerns that have been 19 presented to us, to the RAB members, to the -- to the --20 MR. MILLER: That's what I'm saying. If you 21 go to this May 13th meeting, that is a perfect opportunity 22 to present those concerns and get them into their process 23 because they're going to be addressing and looking at 24 those concerns. 25 MR. QUINTANILLA: We -- we can do it

1	separately, too; can't we?
2	MR. MILLER: Yes.
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay. I want to do it
4	separately.
5	MR. MILLER: I'm just saying that would be the
6	best ways to do it and get them worked on.
7	DR. SMITH: Mr. Garcia, you're trying to
8	MR. GARCIA: Rodrigo Garcia.
9	Mr. Antwine, you're trying to tell me that these
10	contracts are by bid, competitive bid. All of them. You
11	don't select any from staff?
12	MR. ANTWINE: From where?
13	MR. GARCIA: Staff doesn't make any
14	selections? All of them are competitive bids on ways to
15	monitor and way to blue collar ways and scientific ways
16	to monitor all the cleanup and all that, that's done by
17	competitive bid?
18	MR. ANTWINE: Yeah.
19	MR. GARCIA: All of them?
20	MR. ANTWINE: Yeah.
21	MR. GARCIA: All right. Second, concerning
22	all of these things we have been discussing, and you said
23	we have been ever since this started. Let me tell you
24	some of the issues that have not been addressed. We
25	and I keep addressing them over and over and I

cannot get a positive and complete plan from staff on 1 2 this. Member training, professional member training 3 4 from somebody that knows complete how Zone 5 -- 1 through 5 5 works and be able to mark on there Zone 1 through 5 6 document, what has been the status of each project, of 7 each item in Zone 1 through 5. That's parts of member 8 training. 9 Air emission studies, I've brought you the studies, AACOG, the clean air plan, all this stuff, and 10 11 air monitoring and all that, that has been discussed over 12 and over again and that has been dumped on AACOG. 13 you-all --14 MR. ANTWINE: We don't. 15 MR. GARCIA: -- acknowledge that air 16 monitoring, --17 MR. ANTWINE: We don't. 18 MR. GARCIA: -- air cleanup around Kelly. 19 MR. ANTWINE: That's not our responsibility to do that. 20 MR. GARCIA: Well, you might -- you polluted 21 22 the air with those bad B52s. I live on 38th Street. I 23 saw the pollution that was made. It should be in the 24 scope of work. And if you say it's not, then we have 25 to --

1	MR. ANTWINE: Concerning what happened in 1962
2	about B52s flying over
3	MR. GARCIA: '58, '59
4	MR. ANTWINE: I think we've already been
5	through this.
6	MR. GARCIA: I know we have, but I'm telling
7	you
8	THE COURT REPORTER: One at a time.
9	MR. ANTWINE: We can study what's here. We
10	can't study what's gone.
11	MR. GARCIA: Well, I'm not trying to study
12	what's gone. I just
13	MR. ANTWINE: Okay? We can only
14	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I need
15	you-all to speak one at a time.
16	MR. ANTWINE: Okay. I don't want to have this
17	debate. I'm sorry. We got to get on to other what's
18	the other issue? We can't study
19	MR. GARCIA: Well, let's go
20	MR. ANTWINE: something that's not here so
21	let's
22	MR. GARCIA: It's not
23	MR. ANTWINE: move on.
24	MR. GARCIA: I'm talking about the air damage
25	that they caused.

1	MR. ANTWINE: What damage?
2	MR. GARCIA: The air damage. The air
3	pollution damage.
4	MR. ANTWINE: What where is it? How can I
5	study it?
6	MR. GARCIA: Have you monitored it?
7	MR. ANTWINE: I wasn't here in 1962.
8	MR. GARCIA: Well, good. Why don't you
9	study
10	MR. ANTWINE: Neither were you?
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: I was.
12	MR. GARCIA: I was.
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: I was.
14	MR. ANTWINE: Well, I can't do anything about
15	it, guys. We can study the air today and what's flying
16	over in the F16s. I can't study what happened in 1952
17	with exhaust from airplanes. I think we've been through
18	this.
19	Okay. It's not the restoration so
20	MR. GARCIA: Well, you can study the damage
21	they did to our air.
22	MR. ANTWINE: There's all kinds of studies
23	that show that. We're not going to study that anymore.
24	Okay?
25	MR. QUINTANILLA: I know.

1	MR. ANTWINE: I think we've had this
2	discussion.
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: See, you got
4	MR. ANTWINE: There's nothing else to study,
5	guys. Okay? I'm sorry. I'm sorry
6	MR. GARCIA: Yes, there is.
7	MR. ANTWINE: we can't, you know, recreate
8	what happened then. I'm not sure how else I can say
9	this.
10	MR. GARCIA: I'm talking about the damaged
11	air.
12	MR. ANTWINE: If you're concerned about things
13	happening today about airplanes, that's one thing. I
14	cannot
15	MR. GARCIA: The air that's already been
16	damaged.
17	MR. ANTWINE: study what happened in 1962.
18	DR. SMITH: Excuse me. This conversation is
19	getting
20	MR. ANTWINE: Unless it's in
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: The base didn't
22	MR. ANTWINE: the ground, I can't study
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: The base didn't close
24	until
25	MR. ANTWINE: it. Or in the water.

1	MR. QUINTANILLA: 1995, Mr
2	MR. ANTWINE: Well, I like I said, if it's
3	in the ground, in the water, we can help you. I can't
4	study what's in the air. It's gone.
5	MR. QUINTANILLA: But it was still here in
6	1995.
7	MR. ANTWINE: I wasn't here in 1995. I'm
8	not
9	MR. QUINTANILLA: I was.
10	MR. ANTWINE: going to discuss that
11	either. Well, I'm sorry. I wasn't here.
12	MR. GARCIA: The community involvement
13	MR. ANTWINE: I'm sorry you didn't study it
14	then because maybe that's
15	MR. GARCIA: Community involvement plan, how
16	is it
17	MR. ANTWINE: when you should have studied
18	it. Sir?
19	MR. GARCIA: The Community Involvement Plan,
20	how is it implemented and how is it working? The Hispanic
21	involvement and the Hispanic community involvement, LULAC
22	and other community involvement should get involved in all
23	of this.
24	MR. ANTWINE: We'll be glad to share our
25	community involvement.

1	MR. GARCIA: Environmental Justice and the
2	study on community health, you know, there are so many
3	issues that still haven't been
4	MR. ANTWINE: A lot of them are being
5	addressed.
6	MR. GARCIA: Well, we still need to do more
7	because there's still a lot of unsatisfied people and a
8	lot of sick people in the community and we haven't
9	we're not doing enough. And it has to be it has to be
10	stepped up. I keep saying that and saying that. It has
11	to be stepped up and more money has to be provided.
12	DR. SMITH: Guys, we are way outside of the
13	agenda items.
14	MR. GARCIA: All right.
15	DR. SMITH: Please let me get us back
16	MR. GARCIA: All right. Go ahead.
17	DR. SMITH: on track here or we're not
18	gonna get our speakers in. Okay?
19	MR. ANTWINE: Anything else?
20	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes, there's something
21	else. He brought up
22	MR. ANTWINE: We got some people waiting to
23	present you some facts and information here. If you want
24	to do
25	MR. QUINTANILLA: I realize that, Mr

1	MR. ANTWINE: We can do that in my office.
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: I realize that, but he put
3	something on the table
4	MR. ANTWINE: You want to have these debates,
5	I'd rather not do it here and waste all these folks' time.
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: You're taking a lot of the
7	time from the RAB.
8	MR. ANTWINE: Okay. What else have you got?
9	Last item. What else?
10	MR. QUINTANILLA: Last item.
11	MR. ANTWINE: Yeah.
12	MR. QUINTANILLA: He brought up the Community
13	Involvement Plan.
14	MR. ANTWINE: We've got a copy of it. It's
15	been provided to
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: I understand that. You
17	don't let me finish, sir. Please. I don't interrupt
18	you.
19	MR. ANTWINE: What else do you want?
20	MR. QUINTANILLA: I want at the last
21	meeting I mentioned that we needed the Community
22	Involvement Plan needed to address Environmental Justice.
23	It's not there. And I just want to bring it up again for
24	the record. Thank you.
25	MS. CODERRE: Thank you, Mr. Quintanilla.

David?

DR. SMITH: Ms. Landez, can we ask you to -MS. LANDEZ: We did not get to have a BCT
today so I do not have anything to report. And you did
receive the February minutes, how we discussed those in
the March BCT meeting and you received the February
minutes.

Also for the listing in your packet labeled April 2006 for the RAB are documents we're putting into the RAB cochair library. Those include decision documents. Section 10 for no further action required has been signed and that will be placed into the library.

Also, the CERCLA five-year review summary report that you have in your packet today, a portion of it, it will be included in the library and sampling analysis plan and the Quality Assurance Plan for Kelly. Basically the Semiannual Compliance Plan was submitted to the regulators and provide that when it's updated yearly by the contractor.

We did receive some letters and those are also going to be placed in the library regarding the enforcement action for the fish kill and just back and forth documentation.

Proposed closure report for underground storage tanks, several buildings. Comments that we've made on the

building 89, underground storage tank report. We revised 1 the slew table for the final East Kelly solid waste 2 management unit and data gap additional investigation. 3 We also received approval on the risk reduction 4 closure for building 623, underground storage tank, and 5 the facility 654 hydrant system. And also we submitted 6 the solid waste certification substation remediation, 7 basically recordation of closure for building 3060 and 8 facility 3774. Solid waste management unit took those 9 buildings. 10 MR. QUINTANILLA: I have two questions. One, 11 were there any spills? 12 MS. LANDEZ: We have not had any spills. 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: No spills. No spills 14 15 since -- the last one I believe was the --MS. LANDEZ: Groundwater treatment plant. 16 MR. QUINTANILLA: -- groundwater treatment 17 plant. 18 MS. LANDEZ: Yes. 19 MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay. The second thing I 20 21 wanted to ask you --MS. LANDEZ: Which is a good thing. 22 MR. QUINTANILLA: On page three of the CERCLA 23 review concerning documents and data review analysis, it 24 mentions several documents, soil systems, groundwater 25

1	systems, 2002 base wide remedial assessment and
2	introductory summary performance and protectiveness review
3	scoping visit.
4	It goes on to say that: These documents,
5	together with the Semiannual Compliance Plan, to which the
6	review is attached, also contains detailed information.
7	MS. LANDEZ: Correct.
8	MR. QUINTANILLA: But they're not part of this
9	at all.
10	MS. LANDEZ: Right. They're not. What
11	happens is you review those documents and the Semiannual
12	Compliance Plan report to produce the five-year summary
13	report.
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: It goes on further to say
15	that interviews
16	MS. LANDEZ: You know what, we're going I'm
17	going to provide a whole briefing after PCEH does their
18	briefing on air monitoring so if you'd like to
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: Oh, you're going to provide
20	a briefing of that. Okay. It also mentions
21	MS. LANDEZ: That's on your agenda.
22	MR. QUINTANILLA: that interviews that
23	transcripts of the interview are provided in Appendix C.
24	There's no Appendix C.
25	MS. LANDEZ: You only receive the attached

1 portion of the document because otherwise it gets rather 2 But the whole document is both at the San Antonio 3 library and at the PCEH library or Environmental Health & Wellness Center library. 4 5 MR. QUINTANILLA: Now this question is for Mr. Silvas. 6 7 Mr. Silvas, it might be wise that we take part of 8 this \$2,000 and whatever additional money we can get from 9 Mr. Nieto to make a complete -- and get a contractor to 10 make an independent review of this. I'll be happy to work 11 with you on that and with Mr. Nieto to do this. Because there's a lot of documents in here to review and know what 12 13 the heck is going on. 14 MR. SILVAS: Okay. 15 MR. QUINTANILLA: I don't have any other 16 questions. Thank you, Ms. Landez. 17 MR. GARCIA: Rodrigo Garcia. Did you put a 18 list of all the BCT items, a report in here on all the 19 items we talked about in here? 20 MS. LANDEZ: If you look in your packet, 21 there's a list of documents that were submitted to 22 either -- that are being placed into the RAB cochair 23 library. It's like this. 24 MR. GARCIA: I saw that. I saw that. 25 MS. LANDEZ: Those documents are all being

1 placed in RAB cochair library. 2 MR. GARCIA: All those documents cover everything that you just discussed about the BCT meeting? 3 4 MS. LANDEZ: We didn't have the BCT meeting. 5 I said that. We didn't have one today. 6 MR. GARCIA: Well, what -- the items you just 7 discussed, those were from when. 8 MS. LANDEZ: These are the items -- this is 9 basically documents we submitted to the regulators are 10 letters, correspondence back and forth to the regulators 11 that we have submitted to place into the RAB cochair 12 library. 13 MR. GARCIA: Did you -- would it be all right, 14 Mr. Antwine, if the staff will provide us executive -- a 15 one or two-page executive summary on those documents on 16 briefings so they can explain it to us? 17 MR. ANTWINE: Here's the list. 18 MR. GARCIA: I know. But what I --19 MR. ANTWINE: Most of them are letters. We'll 20 be glad to -- you know, there's copies of it available. 21 That's what she's telling you. These are letters that we 22 send to the EPA or that we got from the state. You know, 23 there's letters. So they're available. That's what she's 24 telling you is that these things are available if you want 25 to view them.

1	MS. LANDEZ: Yeah. They're at the RAB cochair
2	library at the Environmental Health & Wellness Center.
3	And in fact, for all the letters that TCEQ sends to us,
4	they also send a courtesy copy to Mr. Silvas as community
5	cochair.
6	MR. GARCIA: You've been reading all this?
7	MR. SILVAS: (Nodding).
8	MR. QUINTANILLA: That list contains documents
9	for technical discussions at the TRS meeting; is that
10	correct?
11	MS. LANDEZ: Some of them.
12	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yeah, some of them. Some of
13	them.
14	MS. LANDEZ: Yeah. Some of them are just a
15	three or four page letter.
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: I just wanted to bring that
17	and put that into the record that that list you give us
18	every month or every RAB meeting and also the TRS meetings
19	are for technical discussions by the TRS.
20	MS. LANDEZ: Some of them are, yes.
21	MR. SILVAS: Yes, I have a question.
22	Regarding your last briefing on TRS, you had mentioned
23	that you were aware of a Department of Justice
24	investigation looking into Kelly issues.
25	MS. LANDEZ: I am aware of a DOD that's

handling a lawsuit by surrounding members that are concerned of their property devaluation.

MR. SILVAS: Okay. Adam, this is for you. I had requested an answer from your office regarding this case that names the Defense Logistic Agency, Department of the Air Force, and that is the Case No. 1982 CI02128, Texas versus Courtney Pennington and the Department of Defense.

And here it addresses -- it says: This is outside the scope of the Restoration Advisory Board and to find out, contact the Attorney General's office; however, I feel that it's to the contrary because if this case had everything to do with contaminants making it off the base, this is in the scope of the Restoration Advisory Board because we are a community outlook and we should know about what this case is about.

Will we ever get --

MR. ANTWINE: To my knowledge, that has nothing to do with contaminants that are on and around Kelly Air Force Base. That was stuff that was stored here that some guy bought and resold and they're investigating that. That's nothing to do with what we're talking about on restoration.

We're not cleaning that up. That's something that was bought and sold here just like, you know, surplus

1	property from East Kelly was done every day. Everything
2	from dirt to refrigerators. That's what that case is
3	about as far as I know.
4	MR. SILVAS: Did you get a chance to look over
5	the document where it specifically names the Department of
6	Defense and DLA?
7	MR. ANTWINE: Uh-huh. Yeah.
8	MR. SILVAS: DRMO was not named in that.
9	MR. ANTWINE: I know. They were called
10	something else then.
11	MR. SILVAS: Well, they're not even on that
12	specific sheet.
13	MR. ANTWINE: Is there anything else I'm
14	missing on this?
15	MS. CODERRE: It is not within our we have
16	nothing to do with that, absolutely nothing. It's nothing
17	that we can discuss.
18	MR. QUINTANILLA: There's a nexus to it
19	though.
20	MR. SILVAS: That's all I have.
21	MS. CODERRE: The Air Force Real Property
22	Agency has nothing do with case. And I believe DRMO at
23	the time was referred to as the Defense Logistics Agency
24	or they were
25	MS. LANDEZ: That's their headquarters.

1	MS. CODERRE: So that's that's why you
2	don't see the name DRMO, but we've answered this question
3	a number of time and this is not for the purview of this
4	RAB.
5	DR. SMITH: If I can move us ahead.
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: But excuse me. Just one
7	point for you, Ms. Coderre. They were attendants at Kelly
8	Air Force Base.
9	MS. CODERRE: I understand that, Mr.
10	Quintanilla.
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: So that's where the nexus
12	is.
13	MS. CODERRE: And I understand that, Mr.
14	Quintanilla.
15	MR. QUINTANILLA: And we are cleaning stuff up
16	from tenants.
17	MS. CODERRE: That currently is on this base,
18	that is correct. And that is what this Restoration
19	Advisory Board is here to discuss. Mark?
20	MR. QUINTANILLA: Including some of that stuff
21	from DRMO did leak into leak
22	MS. CODERRE: But this case is specific to
23	items that were removed from the base and I stand by the
24	statement that the Air Force Real Property Agency has no
25	association with this case. And as we said in our letter,

if you have questions about it, you should contact the 1 Texas State Attorney General's office and we did give Mr. 2 Silvas the contact information in that letter. 3 DR. SMITH: Mr. Weegar? 4 I looked into this MR. WEEGAR: Yeah. 5 situation and it's my understanding that the -- it was --6 it did involve material that was sold by DLA to other 7 entities, J. P. Pennco, Medina Drum, some other folks. 8 And there is -- it's my understanding that AG's 9 office dropped all charges against everybody but one 10 entity. One individual was -- was prosecuted because the 11 individual apparently took material they had purchased to 12 some off-site location, some gas station or something like 13 that, and poured some carbon removal, chlorobenzene, out 14 on the ground and that person was -- the AG's office did 15 litigate against that individual is my understanding. 16 But the rest of the -- the involvement there 17 was -- was --18 MR. QUINTANILLA: Withdrawn. 19 MR. WEEGAR: -- withdrawn by the Attorney 2.0 General's office and they would be the entity to contact 21 to get any information concerning it. But it had nothing 22 to do with stuff on Kelly. It was just material had been 23 Individuals bought it and mishandled it or what 24 sold. have you. 25

DR. SMITH: Okay. Next item on the agenda is 1 updated minutes from January and March, two elements to 2 that that I understand -- Mr. Silvas, help me on this. 3 MR. SILVAS: Yeah. 4 DR. SMITH: Number one, we don't have a quorum 5 to pass or not pass those minutes. But my understanding 6 is the process that executive committee had chosen was 7 that these minutes would be distributed to RAB members, 8 they would get their comments back to you prior to the 9 March -- I'm sorry, April 25 executive committee meeting 10 so that you could factor those in to your approval or 11 12 nonapproval; is that correct? 13

MR. SILVAS: Right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. SMITH: So I guess the action to the individual RAB members to review these particular minutes and get any comments you have back to Mr. Silvas far enough in advance to that April 25 meeting he has that chance to act on those if you would, please.

The next item on the agenda --

MR. QUINTANILLA: Excuse me. I just want to add something to what you just said. The guidance that we have reviewed from DOD and DIRK and those other places says that concerning meeting minutes that copies of all reports received, issued and approved by the RAB should be attached to them. And I didn't see it on this one so it

1	might be good to to wait until the next meeting before
2	we approve it.
3	MS. CODERRE: I'm sorry. Could you repeat
4	that, please?
5	MR. QUINTANILLA: Says: Copies of all reports
6	received, issued and approved by the RAB they must
7	contain those things. Let me read to you what it says.
8	MS. CODERRE: You read it to me at our
9	executive committee meeting the other day. Thank you
10	again.
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes. And I'm just reading
12	you that portion that says what the copies are, yes.
13	MS. CODERRE: Right.
14	MR. ANTWINE: Approved by the RAB.
15	MR. QUINTANILLA: All right. One moment. Let
16	me read to you the whole thing, Ms. Coderre.
17	MR. ANTWINE: I don't want you to read. I
18	just want to clarify what you said.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, in order to
20	MR. ANTWINE: You said any documents that are
21	approved by the RAB need to be part of these minutes.
22	MR. QUINTANILLA: Let me let me clarify
23	that's what I said. And I'm going to start from the
24	beginning, please.
25	Meeting minutes should contain a complete and

1	accurate description of matters discussed and comments
2	received and contain copies of all reports received,
3	issued and approved by the RAB.
4	MR. ANTWINE: Got it. I think we're in
5	compliance with that. There's a transcript that this
6	young lady right here is
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: They're not attached
8	they're not attached to the minutes here.
9	MR. ANTWINE: Well, we'll be glad to provide
10	them to you
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: All right.
12	MR. ANTWINE: if you ask for it.
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: Attach them to the minutes
14	so we can be in compliance with the guidance, please.
15	MR. ANTWINE: That's not a report that's
16	approved by the RAB.
17	MS. CODERRE: We'll take that under
18	advisement. We'll take that under advisement.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: Okay. Check it out. Check
20	it out.
21	MS. CODERRE: We'll take that under
22	advisement. Thank you, Mr. Quintanilla.
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, I don't I don't
24	want the people from from the Secretary of the Air
25	Force or from Ms. Halvorson's office to come down and say

1 something is wrong with the minutes and they say well, Mr. 2 Quintanilla approved them. Bull corn. I didn't approve 3 them. MR. ANTWINE: Well, you're not the cochair so 4 5 you wouldn't. We're trying to get this man to approve 6 them. MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, I'm trying to keep you 7 out of business and keep Mr. Silvas out of trouble. 8 of trouble. 9 10 MR. ANTWINE: Did you get that? Did you get 11 that? I know that. 12 MS. CODERRE: David. DR. SMITH: Next item on the agenda is the 13 TAPP project. 14 15 We have no quorum to approve or disapprove those, 16 but we talked about a process. MR. SILVAS: Well, we went over the '06 17 18 calendar, these projects, and we were going to submit a 19 request under the form that the Air Force provided, 2749, 20 for each project listed. 21 So the only thing we're going to do is go over it 22 and just let you know these are the upcoming projects and 23 submit them. So to vote on it, I didn't think we would 24 have to require that. We would just go ahead and submit 25 them since we're not a request project basis.

1	MS. CODERRE: It requires a vote. Yeah. I'm
2	sorry, to a point of procedure, the TAPP rule does
3	require a majority vote by the RAB members on any projects
4	that are selected. So that that's our holdup this
5	evening. Without that quorum, we can't even hold a vote
6	for a majority.
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: We will follow the
8	protocol. We will sit with Mr. Nieto and after we do our
9	thing, we will get the application, we will bring it for
10	the board.
11	DR. SMITH: Have to move that sequence around
12	a little a bit I guess.
13	MS. CODERRE: I'm just pointing out the TAPP
14	rule does require a vote by the majority of the RAB
15	members
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: Not a problem.
17	MS. CODERRE: in order to request a TAPP
18	project.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: No, we we believe in
20	going by the book.
21	MR. MARTIN: David,
22	DR. SMITH: Mr. Silvas, can I move on that?
23	The next item on the agenda is the
24	MR. MARTIN: Excuse me.
25	DR. SMITH: I'm sorry, Gary. I missed you

1 altogether. 2 MR. MARTIN: I just wanted to introduce Mr. Sal Aguinaga. I'm going to be -- I changed positions from 3 the Port Authority so Mr. Aquinaga will be taking over the 4 environmental health and safety responsibilities here. So 5 after this meeting he will -- he will be the designated 6 7 RAB member for the Authority. MR. ANTWINE: Okay. Welcome, sir. We look 8 9 forward to working with you. 1.0 DR. SMITH: Thanks, Gary. Sorry I missed your hand. 11 12 Next item on the agenda is the PCEH sub slab 13 vapor air monitoring. Kyle, are you going to do that one for us? 14 15 MS. CUNNINGHAM: I'm not going to do the 16 presentation. I just wanted to present the presenters. 17 DR. SMITH: Present the presenters. All 18 right. 19 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Or introduce them. 20 Good evening. I'm Kyle Cunningham. I'm program 21 manager for the Public Center for Environmental Health, 22 which is part of the San Antonio Metropolitan Health 23 District. 24 Just to give you-all a little bit of background, 25 a few years ago local citizens approached the City of San

Antonio City Council and asked them to contract with an independent environmental consulting firm for an independent review of activities -- cleanup activities for the former Kelly Air Force Base. The city developed a request for qualifications with input from concerned citizens.

Mr. Quintanilla, I believe you may have been one of those citizens that approached city council. The citizens helped to develop criteria to choose the

citizens helped to develop criteria to choose the consultant. Just an example of some of the things that the citizens asked for, they definitely wanted a firm that had done no DOD work in the past and had not worked at Kelly Air Force Base in the past.

They also requested that the firm not be a local firm. They wanted a firm that where -- they actually preferred a firm that was not part of the local San Antonio environmental firms.

Zephyr Environmental of Austin met the criteria and they were selected. Tonight we have in Joe Zupan who is president of environ -- Zephyr Environmental and Brad Watson, project manager for Zephyr. Brad is going to present their findings of the sub slab vapor investigation that they conducted last year. So Brad?

MR. WATSON: Thanks, Kyle.

I know we're running about 20 minutes behind so

this will be short and sweet. Probably a ten minute or so presentation. I'll just pretty much follow the slides.

DR. SMITH: Should I have them hold their questions till the end?

MR. WATSON: If you have a question about a specific slide, you can't read something or you have a question about what one of the words means on the slide, go ahead and ask while I'm on that slide. But if not, if you have a question about the issue or what I'm talking about on the slide, just make a note and we'll catch it at the end if that's okay with you guys.

Basically back in October of 2004 we were asked to perform some sub slab vapor monitoring. You guys kind of know the history of the base and the problems pertaining to groundwater. The base has been operating for many years and over the years release of certain chemicals have produced the groundwater problems that you guys are dealing with today.

Contaminants, you have the list that you see on the slide. Of that list, the ones that you hear the most and the ones of most concern are the 1, 2-DCE, PCE, TCE. And as those things decompose, you get vinyl chloride, which is a daughter process of those things and that's kind of the nasty one people are concerned with.

One of the potential concerns when you have

contaminated groundwater as you do under the old base is that when the COC, the chemicals that are in the groundwater will tend to volatilize and turn into vapor and they will migrate upwards and migrate towards areas of less -- a lesser concentration or areas of lesser pressure.

And as they do that, they come up to the surface. You have the potential for them to accumulate under slabs and things like that, structures that -- that may be on the surface.

And if you have a slab and it's a house or a residence or a business or something like that, if you did have the vapor accumulation underneath the slab, you could get vapor intrusion. And what that is is a crack in the slab or a crack in the construction of the slab between the footer and the wall or the slab and the wall or something like that.

You could actually get vapor coming up through those things and that is actually aggravated if you have a HVAC unit in the structure itself. And you get that vacuum effect inside the structure and then it would suck the vapor up through the cracks.

So that's the theory. It's one way the pathway could become complete from the VOCs in the water into the house or the -- the business or whatever and affect human

health that way.

Let's see. Go to the next slide. This is kind of an illustration of what I just talked about. You have the contaminated layer down there and little arrows, squiggly arrows are the VOCs or the vapors travelling up through the soil and potentially harboring under the structure.

The structure has a slab and the way slabs are constructed, they have a toe or usually about an 18-inch toe or an 18-inch section that goes down the side of it, which if the vapors were going to accumulate under there, that's the perfect place for it to harbor and stand. It has an edge to it so the vapor can't just get out and escape.

That's an illustration of one way that it could come through when the slab if you had any space between the footer or the wall and the slab and you have had an HVAC unit up here, or even if you didn't have an HVAC unit, get a vacuum effect and you would have the vapor come up through that crack.

It could just be a natural crack in the slab if it was wide enough. Any other imperfection, you have piping and things coming up through slab so there are a number of ways that the vapor could get into the structure.

What we did was we basically drilled some holes in some slabs and sucked the air from underneath the slab and sent the air to the lab and analyzed it and ran a risk model and determined what the potential for the pathway that I just discussed, what the actual risk was for that pathway to be complete.

The fill material or the air space beneath the slab is where the VOC would potentially harbor. The technique is, like I said, basically pulling the vapor out of the ground or out of that free space under the slab using a vacuum canister.

We collect it in what's called a Summa canister.

It's that thing you see on there. Looks like a little space ship or some kind of underwater thing. And we use EPA method TO-15 to analyze the air in the Summa cannister and we run it for VOCs and there's a whole long list of VOCs that we ran for.

Once you get your results back, you put the results in to the Johnson & Ettinger model and it predicts the indoor air concentration that you can possibly have inside from the results that we got under the slab. If you got this amount under the slab, the potential for you to have this amount inside is there. So that's kind of how the whole thing works.

We did this at four locations. Three of them

were actually on the East Kelly and one of them was at the Normoyle Recreation Center there in the park, which is very near Kelly. All of them were over the -- the areas that were shown on the old plume map to have concentrations of VOCs in the groundwater.

We followed EPA guidance. There is a reference to the draft guidance. If you want some nice reading, you can go look that up on the Internet and print it out and read it. It basically tells you their recommended way to sample for sub slab vapors and kind of the protocol to follow.

So we used their guidance in our 2003 School of Vapor Monitoring evaluation work plan where we recommended to the city and the Air Force how we thought they should -- they should do this. So we provided a work plan and then we just worked off of our work plan.

We looked for large slabs with the large accumulation guide. The larger the slab obviously the larger of an area there's going to be for the vapor to accumulate underneath. Locations were made available by the Air Force. We had recommended certain areas and at the time we did the study there were certain slabs that were available so those were the ones that we used.

We looked for slabs that were intact. They may have had hairline fractures, things like that, but we

looked for slabs that were basically in two or three or four pieces and didn't have big, wide cracks. They were pretty much solid, intact slabs. And slabs that weren't new. These were all older slabs that had been around for a while so over the years they would have had a chance to accumulate the vapor if it was going to happen.

Okay. East Kelly building, that should say 3187, typo. That is on the base at North Tayman and Frederick Street, kind of right as you go into the entrance on the west side of the base. And that was a 70 feet by 40 feet wide slab. The next one was building 3060. And there will be a slide coming up that shows you the locations of these and a plume map after that that shows where they were in relation to the plume.

The largest one was the building 3060. It was a huge slab and it was a warehouse and an office building at one time. It was over 500 feet long.

And then 700 Culberson was the one at Normoyle Park and it was -- it actually had an active HVAC system going at this time and it was a pretty good size slab, too, and it was intact. So you'll see on the next slide we have an illustration of where those were.

And then the next slide, if you'll look, you see the light area there, you can see East Kelly and when you go to the next slide, East Kelly is green so that shows you where we were in relation to the plume.

Procedures were pretty simple. I took a drill out in the field and I had an associate with me and we drilled a three-quarter inch hole in the slab and then we drilled down about an inch-and-a-half, two inches which is called the over-drill and then we drilled a half inch hole through that and we put a tube in there and we puttied around it with some Quikrete, which is a concrete patcher, and we had it all hooked up to the Summa canister. We opened the valve. The Summa canister has a vacuum on it so when you open the valve it's going to suck the air out from underneath the slab.

And we do all those things it says on the list. We record the canister pressure before; we opened the valve; we recorded the canister pressure after. We closed the valve, removed all the sampling train, which is the tube and the valves and all that stuff, seal it with a brass cap, completed the labels and the change of custody to send it to the laboratory, patched the hole in the slab, especially the one that was over at the rec center. They didn't want us leaving a hole there.

And decontaminate everything and basically moved over to the next slab. Pretty simple process. That's me drilling the hole in the wall. I think it was smelly in that building. There was a dead squirrel there or

1 | something so -- from being locked in the building.

We patched it all up, made it look pretty and we just wanted to leave them the way we found them. We wanted to make sure that the building didn't have a bunch of holes in the slab that weren't there before. Go to the next one.

What we found once when we got our lab results back, we had very, very low or nondetectable concentrations of the chemicals that we were concerned with. We -- we had benzene and other chlorinated solvents, but they were all very, very low numbers.

Vinyl chloride was not detected and that's important because, as I said earlier, the TCE, DCE and other things, when they decompose they -- you get vinyl chloride and that's kind of the thing that's a key factor in the whole vinyl chloride coming through the slab is one of the big concerns.

So the fact that we did not find any and the fact that the lab has a very, very low detection limit, it was one part per billion. That's very, very low. If there would have been one part per million there, they could have detected it and they didn't detect it so that was very reassuring.

And I already mentioned the vinyl chloride is often a daughter product that comes from decomposition of

the other chlorinated solvents. Go to the next one.

We had benzene in very low levels in each sample so that's what we used to run the model. We measured concentration of benzene and calculated the carcinogenic risk at each sampling location. Each sample from each location yield access -- excess lifetime cancer risk much lower than the EPA's one in a million.

We actually had levels after running the model that were a thousand times lower than the EPA threshold for each of these sites so they were basically one in a billion. One person in a billion would have had a risk of getting cancer from any of these sites if they would have been inside inhaling this air for the -- their lifetime basically. So that was very reassuring. They were way lower than the EPA's criteria.

Basically in conclusion, the absence of detectable concentrations of vinyl chloride suggests no appreciable anaerobic decomposition of chlorinated solvents is currently occurring. As I said, that we didn't find vinyl chloride tells that at these locations there's not much decomposition of the other chemicals creating vinyl chloride, which is coming up into these slabs.

So the results suggest this pathway in these locations is not complete. We did not find vinyl chloride

or anything else that was of a high risk underneath these

And that -- that pretty much says what I've just said. Does not appear to be an unacceptable human health risk as defined by EPA from sub surface contaminants in proximity to these sampling locations. So the areas we sampled, we didn't find any unacceptable risk.

MR. WEEGAR: Mark Weegar, TCEQ. Two questions. You indicated that the -- the soil gas that you collected with the Summa canisters, you analyzed that using EPA methods and then you input that data into a -- the Johnson Ettinger model.

Was the purpose of that to identify what the actual accumulation -- or I guess you would expect a lower accumulation in a larger space than what you had under the slab. I guess I'm kind of wondering why you didn't use the -- just the direct results and base some kind of risk off of that. That's kind of a worst case scenario.

MR. WATSON: I'm going to let Joe answer that. He's the one that ran the model and he's kind of the expert in that area.

MR. ZUPAN: Mark, as I understand your question, why didn't we just directly take the direct concentration and compare that to human health?

MR. WEEGAR: Right.

1 MR. ZUPAN: The newly revised version of 2 Johnson & Ettinger makes a provision for figuring out some 3 assumptions about property you're evaluating, including 4 how deep is the contamination, what kind of cracks area are you looking at, how many air changes are going on in 5 6 the building, some things like that. And we're just 7 trying to translate a sub slab concentration into a concentration that might actually be encountered by a 8 9 human receptor inside the structure. I've got some pretty full documentation on that 10 11 if you want to look at it. It's all in the appendix. MR. WEEGAR: I would just assume that you 12 13 would have a much lower concentration typically inside of 14 a large space than what you had under the slab. So we're 15 looking at worst case scenario then. 16 MR. ZUPAN: You would. 17 MR. WATSON: The model is kind of --MR. ZUPAN: The model does contenuate 18 19 (phonetic) that sub slab concentration. 20 MR. WEEGAR: I guess the second question is you indicated in one of your slides here that the fact 21 22 that there was no vinyl chloride being detected in the samples indicates that there's not any degradation or 23 anaerobic I quess dechlorination taking place. 24 25 But I quess the concentrations of -- at least

1 from the data I've seen, the concentrations of TCE, PCE 2 that you would have in the -- in the plume and most of these areas, the concentrations are so low that even if 3 there was breakdown to vinyl chloride, the amount of 4 5 daughter product being produced would be well below the 6 laboratory detection limit so I think it's -- I don't know 7 that it's -- I quess I question the accuracy saying there's no -- because you didn't detect vinyl chloride 8 there's no anaerobic dechlorination taking place. 9 10 MR. WATSON: Not no anaerobic dechlorination. 11 There's not an appreciable amount. Not enough for us to 12 detect anything under the ground. 13 MR. ZUPAN: One part per billion. 14 MR. WEEGAR: I think it's just directly 15 related to the concentrations of TCE and PCE. 16 MR. WATSON: I didn't say there's no 17 degradation because there's obviously going to be 18 degradation. But it's not happening at a rate where we're 19 detecting something under a slab at one part per billion. 20 MR. WEEGAR: Okay. Right. 21 MR. QUINTANILLA: I just wanted to ask you a 22 simple questions. These results suggest that this 23 potentially problematic pathway is not complete. Does 2.4 that mean that the conditions might change in the future where it will become a problem? 25

MR. WATSON: This -- this sampling event was at these locations at this time. If the plume changes, if the levels under the ground in the groundwater, if they get higher then, you know, all the conditions can change. But the pathway is not complete now. And I'll let Joe speak to that. MR. ZUPAN: Maybe I can take a stab at that. This -- this study had four sampling locations. not very many to make a conclusive determination about anything. Now as you've seen on these maps that the Air Force has provided, you're literally chasing a moving target. So where you system sample, and when you sample, matters. If we sample here at the time they're representing the plume like this, then there's probably

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we sample here at the time they're representing the plume like this, then there's probably something under there to measure. If you come back a little later and measure in the same location, guess what, you're not going to find anything. So it's literally a moving target.

And what Brad is trying to be very careful to say is that those four locations that we were allowed to sample, we didn't see anything that was an undue human health risk at that time.

MR. GARCIA: At that time. But it can provide a problem later if things change.

1	MR. ZUPAN: It can create a problem later if
2	things change and things do change.
3	MR. GARCIA: To make that pathway complete
4	means general. That's what it means.
5	MR. ZUPAN: Generally, what you're saying is
6	correct.
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: I have two questions, sir.
8	The first question, when were the tests done?
9	MR. WATSON: October 2004.
10	MR. QUINTANILLA: 2004. My second question is
11	were any homes in the contaminated area, in the
12	contaminated neighborhoods tested for vinyl chloride in
13	the space around the incoming utilities? And if not, why
14	not?
15	MR. WATSON: Not by us. We were these were
16	the slabs that we were given access to test.
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: And who gave you the
18	access? But no attempt was made to go find some homes in
19	the contaminated area and to test it for for vinyl
20	chloride.
21	MR. WATSON: I'll let Kyle answer that one.
22	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Quintanilla, we didn't at
23	that time. It doesn't mean that we closed the door on
24	that
25	MR. QUINTANILLA: Very good.

1 MS. CUNNINGHAM: -- because we have not. 2 MR. QUINTANILLA: Very good. MS. CUNNINGHAM: And I really -- we do want to 3 follow the EPA protocol and that's something with our --4 with the liver cancer study that we're looking at also. 5 But at that time, back when Zephyr did their 6 7 original looking at sub slab and did a work plan, that area that we kind of looked for slabs in was the area that 8 was recommended to test in. And we did do three slabs 9 10 that were on the East Kelly and one slab that was a City 11 of San Antonio building, a parks and rec building. But we 12 haven't closed the door on it. DR. SMITH: Mark? 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: I've just got one last 14 15 question here. This contamination has existed in the 16 neighborhood since the '80s that we know of. Is it 17 possible that some of the vinyl chloride may have dissipated since -- from 1980 -- from the '80s to 2002? 18 19 MR. WATSON: Yeah, it's possible. 20 MR. QUINTANILLA: It's possible. So it's 21 quite possible that the homes that the people that were 22 living in the homes might have been exposed to vinyl chloride. 23 24 MR. WATSON: I'm sure it's possible. It's possible. 25 MR. QUINTANILLA:

1 MR. WATSON: We didn't -- we didn't -- our study didn't go there. 2 MR. QUINTANILLA: Kyle, I strongly recommend 3 4 that you go another step into the homes. 5 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir. 6 MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you. 7 MS. CUNNINGHAM: That's why I said as part of the liver cancer study we have -- we are planing on doing 8 9 some additional sampling. 10 MR. QUINTANILLA: Very good. MS. CUNNINGHAM: And that was something that 11 12 was brought up in the past. And we have been looking at 13 that. And that's the Air Force did sampling. Then we 14 observed some of the sampling and went in and did some 15 confirmation sub slab sampling and we're trying to follow 16 the set procedures that are laid out by EPA. 17 And so we'll continue to do that, but that is our next step is to get to the homes and actually do some 18 19 sampling at those homes. 20 DR. SMITH: Mr. Weegar. 21 MR. WEEGAR: Yeah, Mark Weegar, TCEQ. 22 to -- I want to try to make -- I mean I know we don't have 23 a whole lot of RAB members here, but the ones that are here I want to make -- hopefully bring you back to some 24 25 past discussion that occurred.

There was -- the Air Force has previously done soil vapor sampling around homes, outside the homes at different locations, showed no risk. Those homes -- as I understand, most of all the homes out here are on pier and beam.

MR. QUINTANILLA: I understand.

MR. WEEGAR: Okay. There was a comment from the Restoration Advisory Board well, what about homes that are built on slabs. So that's what generated the study that the Air Force did and I believe the follow-on or the -- the similar study that Zephyr did through Bexar Met. So it's just -- this is being done.

I mean the homes that are pier and beam have an even less chance of there to be accumulation inside of the home because the -- that air space creates a vapor barrier to prevent that stuff from getting in there. The whole reason for the slabs is to look at what's in -- what might be building up in there.

And I will just tell you that if you -- I would familiarize yourself with the EPA guidance and the guidance out there on doing indoor air monitoring and looking at the groundwater to indoor air pathway.

The most problematic sampling you can do is inside the home because there are so many products that we have in our homes between the dry cleaning we bring home,

furniture you have, cleaning products, the vinyl flooring, 1 2 carpet, all that kind of stuff off-gases and can give you 3 readings that are not necessarily going to be an indication of what may be or maybe not be getting into 4 5 those homes. That's just an -- that indoor air inside the 6 home is very problematic. 7 MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm not saying inside the 8 I'm saying where the utilities come in off the ground. But I don't remember them ever doing anything 9 10 around the homes. I remember --11 MR. WEEGAR: They went out -- well, they had 12 monitoring wells. 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes. 14 MR. WEEGAR: Off-base. Some on base, some 15 off-base where they had --16 MR. QUINTANILLA: Six feet away from the 17 monitoring wells. Yes, I was there on one of them. 18 MR. WEEGAR: Right. I mean they're trying --19 what they're doing is where they had good groundwater data 20 show what the concentrations were, they went in and they 21 did soil vapor sampling to see what the correlation is 22 with groundwater concentrations and with potential 23 development of vapors. 24 DR. SMITH: Mr. Silvas. 25 MR. SILVAS: The locations were four only.

Who picked those? 1 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Those were -- I'm sorry, 2 Brad. 3 MR. WATSON: They were made available by the 4 Air Force and --5 6 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Basically what we did with those, there were -- like I said, Zephyr did before the 7 Air Force I believe came in and did their second round of 8 sub slab sampling, may have been before the first. 9 believe it was before the second. They did a study of 10 11 where they thought the highest likelihood of finding -- of having some accumulation would be. So --12 And that was based over around -- around East 13 Kelly, SAS Shoe Factory, that area. Kind of that part of 14 the -- of the city. And that also took in the city 15 parks. We looked for locations for a long time. I know 16 17 the Air Force did. Sam asked me actually to try and move it along a 18 little quicker to go look. And I went out on one day and 19 drove around and looked at the available city properties 20 and also available Air Force property. And that was 21 within that location or that area of the city. I came 22 back with some suggestions and then we went out and drove 23 East Kelly looking for slabs and then we asked 24

permission.

25

And we did use that one slab, which I think was 1 probably one of the better slabs in the park that's right 2 It was a large slab in a building that's in use 3 And then we used the three much larger slabs that 4 are located on East Kelly and the Air Force did give us 5 6 permission to sample with those three slabs. 7 Does that answer it, Robert? MR. SILVAS: Yes. Secondly, the study you 8 refer to, was that study ever provided to you or to these 9 10 gentlemen to review? MS. CUNNINGHAM: Which one? Which study? 11 MR. SILVAS: The one you said they had done 12 prior sampling where there would be --13 14 MR. QUINTANILLA: The Air Force study. 15 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Actually I think Zephyr was 16 the one that did that one plant, the sub slab sampling. Correct, Joe? 17 MR. ANTWINE: No, he's talking about the one 18 19 that we said we did --20 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Prior to? MR. ANTWINE: The Air Force did, that we did 21 22 adjacent to wells and --MS. CUNNINGHAM: Joe? 23 MR. ZUPAN: The short answer is yes, I did 24 25 review that study.

1	MR. SILVAS: What is your background at Zephyr
2	doing this type of work?
3	MR. ZUPAN: What is the background of Zephyr
4	to do this type of work?
5	MR. SILVAS: Yeah. Have you done this type of
6	interpretation and studying before?
7	MR. ZUPAN: Yes. Most recently we did a very
8	similar sub slab study, Brad and I together, in San
9	Antonio at the East Central Independent School District
10	where there was some concern that perhaps the school was
11	being built over a landfill or landfill materials.
12	MR. SILVAS: And those findings there were?
13	MR. ZUPAN: The findings that we had there
14	were that there were no problems underneath that slab.
15	MR. QUINTANILLA: Are you familiar with a
16	study that was done by the Air Force in Denver, vinyl
17	chloride study in the homes?
18	MR. ZUPAN: I think I am somewhat familiar on
19	that. It's been a couple of years since I looked at that.
20	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes, sir, it was several
21	years ago. And that's the time that we asked for it here
22	at Kelly, you know, in the homes and we didn't get it.
23	You don't know what the results were there at Denver; do
24	you?
25	MR. ZUPAN: I couldn't tell you off the top of

my head. 1 MR. SILVAS: I've got one last final thing. 2 How often would you recommend these samplings be done and 3 through a dry period or wet period? What is the best time 4 5 to do those? 6 MR. ZUPAN: I'm not sure if I can give you a 7 super simple answer to the question. As a scientist and an engineer, what I try to do is figure out what is the 8 worst case scenario and see how bad is that. 9 The worst 10 case scenario would be a place where the plume had a high 11 concentration, the groundwater is fairly close to the 12 surface and it's been there for a while. In other words, it's not moving through quickly. 13 14 How often would I sample it? You know, a 15 snapshot in time every six months or a couple of years 16 might give you some idea about what's going on with the 17 dynamics of the situation. 18 MR. SILVAS: How many samples would you 19 collect? 20 Well, again, as a scientist more MR. ZUPAN: 21 You know, 50 is better that five data is always better. 22 and 500 is even better. 23 MR. SILVAS: How many did you do this round? 24 There was just four. Did you take MR. ZUPAN: 25 two --

MR. WATSON: I did three samples at four 1 2 locations. 3 MR. ZUPAN: 12 total. DR. SMITH: Mr. Weegar. 4 MR. WEEGAR: Just to follow up on that. 5 Unless the groundwater concentrations that were present at 6 7 the time you did your sampling I mean significantly increase, would you expect there to be any real change in 8 what the -- what the potential for indoor air accumulation 9 10 or what the volatilization would be? You've got kind of a snapshot in time, but you 11 also should know what the groundwater concentration of the 12 PCE, TCE, DCE were at that time. Unless concentrations 13 markedly go up, would you expect there to be a change in 14 the potential volatilization for vinyl chloride 15 16 accumulation? 17 MR. ZUPAN: One of the gentlemen on the RAB actually made the point that it also depends on is it a 18 wet period or a dry period. That can drive the 19 groundwater up or down as you know. Would I expect it to 20 21 change appreciably? Not necessarily. I quess what's a little anomalous about this site 22 compared to some others we looked at is how fast the 23 groundwater can move. At times it goes pretty quick. 24

That's why that PRB is out there.

25

MR. SILVAS: That last sampling you just did, 1 2 was it done during a dry period? MR. ZUPAN: October? I don't have an 3 impression as to whether that was a particularly wet or 4 5 dry period. Brad, do you? MR. WATSON: No. 6 7 DR. SMITH: Okay. MR. QUINTANILLA: I think that was the start 8 9 of El Nina or El Nino, I don't know which. 10 DR. SMITH: Thank you so much. Let me move us We're about fifteen minutes behind. 11 along. 12 MR. WATSON: Thank you for letting me present, 13 guys. I was trying to rush it along. Hope it wasn't too 14 rushed. 15 DR. SMITH: Next item on the agenda is the 16 CERCLA Five-Year Review Report. Ms. Landez. 17 MS. LANDEZ: I'll provide a briefing to you on the five-year review that we did for 22 sites at Kelly Air 18 19 Force Base, basically our IRP sites. And we're going to 20 go over what the objective of the review was, regulatory 21 basis, sites we reviewed, community involvement and notification, review process, the protectiveness 22 23 determination, protectiveness statement and when the next 24 five-year review would be scheduled. The objective is to comply with EPA 25 Okay.

guidance for -- okay. To comply with EPA guidance to conducting five-year review under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, which is CERCLA. Or as some people think, secret CERCLA.

It is to determine the corrective action and review for the 22 sites that we reviewed have continued to provide adequate protection for human health and the environment.

Now just as we told you many, many times before, AFRPA and previous to AFRPA, Kelly Air Force Base, decided to do cleanup of the IRP sites, both under CERCLA and RCRA, the Research Conservation Recover Act. And since we're doing both, and we're doing it under CERCLA, we are doing the five-year review. And TCEQ does not require us to do the five-year review because we are a RCRA action program site at Kelly.

But in a memo in January 2002, we told -notified TCEQ and EPA Region VI that we were going to go
ahead and conduct the CERCLA five-year review for all the
long-term remedial sites that we have and that's what we
decided to do and that we were going to use CERCLA
requirements that are in CERCLA section 121 and the
National Contingency Plan.

And this is the section where basically the language is out of section 121 CERCLA and I highlighted

some words. Remedial action which results in any hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remaining at the site even after you close, then we review that remedial action no less often than each five years to insure that the human health and the environment is being protected.

And then NCP IN 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 300.blah, blah, blah. It basically says the same, but remedial action resulting in hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remaining at this site and above the levels that do not allow for unrestricted use or unrestricted exposure, then you shall do a review no less often than every five years of that remedial action.

So what we did was we looked at our corrective actions and remedies that we're doing both under the permit and the compliance plan by TCEQ. And we addressed the community involvement and notification, document and data review and analysis. We did interviews, site inspections and we also determined what the protectiveness determination would be for each site.

Now we tailored the review to complement what we already are doing, which is Semiannual Compliance Plan report that we do and submit to the state and EPA twice a year. January is our biggest report. It provides all the data for all our solid waste management units that are

listed in the compliance plan and for our four record units that are in the permit.

And also we also use some of the data that's in the July report that we submit for the Leon Creek studies that we do and for the four record units that we have to sample four times a year.

Now when you get to a site that you cleaned up and if you cleaned up that site and there's no contaminant left, then you can exclude the site from doing any studies. So you need a goal and you can -- you know, your land use is unrestricted, you don't have to review that site.

Now Kelly originally had 52 what we call
Installation Restoration Program sites. These are CERCLA
sites. When we realigned portions of the base to Lackland
Air Force Base, 17 of those sites were realigned to
Lackland and they are handling those sites -- the
corrective action for those sites and we are
responsible -- AFRPA is responsible for the remaining 35.

Of those 35, 22 are included in the review because seven sites were clean closed and five sites at the time of the review had pending closure reports with the state and we were waiting to determine which way we were going to go so we really didn't review those.

And then also when the evaluation was done, we

put SSO51 and SSO52 sites together since basically one is the source for the groundwater contamination. And these are category sites and the site names. All those nice numbers that I don't remember.

Now as part of our community involvement and notification, we completed the review in March, last month. We provided a copy to RAB members of the text portion of the document and the read ahead packet for the April 2006 RAB -- this RAB meeting.

I'm here briefing it to you today and we're also placing the document in the San Antonio Central Library in our administrative record and also in the RAB community cochair library as indicated in your packet today and we're going to be publishing the notice on April 25th on writing comment on the review by the committee.

Now the review process is pretty complicated.

Well, not really. But if you look at it from the whole and looking at 22 sites, it can get rather daunting. So what we do is we look at a bunch of technical assessments that we've already done.

As I said, the Semiannual Compliance Plan report, we look at the soils venting system and evaluation, performance report, groundwater system performance report, and also the modelling simulation that we do based on the data that we get out of the system report and the

Semiannual Compliance Plan reports.

2.0

Next. We also conducted an interview. I got interviewed by the contractor that was doing the review according to the EPA guidance and these are the different questions that are asked. And there's an appendix in the report that provides the responses that I gave to these answers.

So these are the questions. And basically what kind of effects the outside operations have on the surrounding community. Are there any -- am I aware of any community concerns? Yes, I am because I do come to RAB meetings and TRS meetings and we listen to your concerns.

Have there been any complaints or incidents? At the time we had not had any -- any incidents because when we did the review because we hadn't had the groundwater treatment plant spill and we hadn't had the guar spill because we were still in construction and so -- but we are taking care of those and we do keep records of those in our operating system.

I'm -- I feel I'm well informed by project
managers on the sites and activities that are occurring
and the progress of those because I do look at remedial
compliance plan report every time a report is produced and
even before you get it. I don't think I had any comments
at the time.

Are remedy functions as expected? Yes, they are. Except in a couple places that we're in the process of looking at making some optimizations. One of them is site S-1 and another is site E-3 and then it's site S-8.

We're working on some soil venting systems that we feel need to be optimized so that we can get some contaminants that are really just kind of sticking around and aren't -- we have it contained but aren't really progressing as fast as we'd like them to.

Okay. And we had the opportunity to optimize our O&M sampling efforts that we have. We've been doing that. We do that on an annual basis and we had a team that came in and reviewed our O&M procedures and gave us some advice. Some of them require some changes to our discharge permits and so some of them we can do immediately; some of them we had to wait until our discharge permits were changed and we're in the process of implementing some of those changes now.

The other thing was site inspections. I did this myself because I'm very familiar with the sites and what needs to be going on.

I also reviewed operating records for the sites and reviewed -- we have contractor and Air Force folks that do go by the site every day. They check wells. They check pumps and so we're doing this on a continuous

basis. Our groundwater treatment plants have people at some places and other places we have people that go there on a daily basis to be sure that everything is running properly.

Okay. Then these are the different process -determination that we did. We did them for our final
systems that we had in place. We also went and did them
for our interim remedial systems and then we looked at our
sites that we closed in the risk reduction standard, too,
which is above background. And so based on the
requirements, any time you leave contaminants in place but
are able to close, you have to review the information and
make sure that your institutional controls are still
adequate.

And these are the different kinds of -- the three questions that we have to answer for each remedy. Is remedy functioning intended by the decision document. Exposure functions, toxicity data, and remedial action objectives used at the time of remedy selection still valid and has any information come to light that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy. Lots of words.

Then I decided I'm going to give you a table.

Couldn't put all the question information up on top so I

just went ahead and made them one, two, three. But I gave

you the table as it's in the report and provided you what those remedies are and whether the remedies are protective or not.

Of the four remedies that we have in place, site SS2 just recently was closed under (inaudible) two. We submitted our degradation for that and hopefully we'll get a letter from the state pretty soon.

For sites E-3 and S-8, we have Biovent, SVE system and we treat. On S-4 we have a groundwater P&T and all of those we believe are protective of -- the remedy is protective of the health and human environment.

And these are just statements we made for those systems. As I said, for site S-8 and site E-3, there are some persistent contaminants that we are looking at what else can we do to remove the contaminant in the area even to the point that for site E-3 we're looking at potentially removing the soil -- contaminated soil that's further down that we just don't think, at this point the time, the SB system is going to adjust.

But since it's on base and there is no -- nothing going on in that area, the groundwater is contained by the pump treat system, we believe that the remedy is protected.

These are the interim remedies we have in place or that have been installed. Site E-1, at the time of the

review we were modifying the system. We were -- we had put in a new trench, groundwater trench, and also were removing the soils that had impacted that site and so we are complete and the remedy is protected.

For Zone 2, we have a PRB slurry wall and pump and treat. Yes, and it is protected. Groundwater Zone 3, there's a slurry wall and PRB at the time of the review. We were adding that slurry wall and the PRB or one of the PRBs. And the next question, have a slurry wall around the system and pump and treatment and we believe those were more protected.

Another slide. Groundwater Zone 5, we have enhanced bioremediation and PRB as we believe remedy is protected. The industrial waste collection system site and zone -- groundwater in Zone 4, they're enhanced bio, PRB, off-site, pump and treat. We are still evaluating the data from the PRB, but at this point in time, the remedy is on site, active. And S-1 storage area, the SVE and the pump and treat were -- we were looking at that trying to determine how we can, you know, relay the system down and maybe be more effective in our remedy. But at this time it is protected.

And this is the information -- okay. These are statements that we made asking for each one of those remedies.

Then we have a risk reduction standard closure. Basically we went in, we investigated the site, put soil borings in and the soil boring showed that we met a risk reduction base standard. We met a health base standard and we closed the industrial site because all these areas are within the industrial area of the base and -- or we did a removal. And when we removed all the contamination or most of the contamination, we're able to meet the state's risk reduction standard, too, and those include the industrial waste treatment plant, the site S-E, which was a drum lot where we maintained all of our waste.

Site S-7, the East Kelly yard where the agent orange was located, other storage areas, site S4-A, which is another hazardous waste storage area, all the systems at -- or lagoons out at the treatment plant. SA-2, which is regulated unit and SA-3, which is another spreading area that we use for waste water treatment sledges and also spreading area SA-4. Drying beds SD-1, which is (inaudible) related unit and SD-2 which is another drying bed. The liquid waste incinerator OT-1 and spill site S-10 in the Zone 5, all have closed to standard two.

And there are some contaminants. As the state updates its information for the risk reduction standards rabble, what happens is we have to go back and look at the changes in those levels to determine whether what we've

done is still protective of human health and the environment.

2.1

And all that -- we looked at all the contaminants that may have changed and looked at if we would do anything differently and at this point we believe that our institutional control that we have in place are protected and do not have an impact because of those changes to protectiveness from the remedial standards or remedial opportunities.

Now the next five-year review will be due five years from the date of acceptance of the report, which as I said earlier was March 8th, 2006. So the next report will be due to EPA March 8th, 201.

And that's all I have. I know that went by really quick. So any questions?

MR. GARCIA: I got to say suppose you were going to send to me community papers and say look, I want to give you a report and give the community this report. Even some of these board members to understand this report, it goes back down to training. None of these board members -- they haven't been taught what are RRS-1 and RRS-2, or risk reduction rule 1 NCR, what all that means and what some these regulations mean, some of these abbreviations and a stuff in here mean. You know, they --

MR. ANTWINE:

That will take a long time, sir.

MR. GARCIA: Let me finish. 1 MR. ANTWINE: Some of us don't know either. 2 3 don't think anybody in here in --MR. GARCIA: Let me --4 MR. ANTWINE: -- this room can --5 MS. LANDEZ: If you look at the actual 6 7 report --MR. ANTWINE: -- tell you what everything --8 9 MR. GARCIA: What I'm saying is this. you know, technical and it's -- it's understandable for 10 people that have been at it a long time and understand all 11 of this. But suppose you want to -- people want to know, 12 Comp U.S.A., I want to know something about what's going 13 14 They say well, we're taking care of 22 sites. What's the status of each one. 15 16 Suppose you want to put a press release and say Community, look, this is how we're -- some of our problems 17 18 and how we're dealing with them. You write very, very 19 technical reports that people cannot understand. Even some of these boards members that haven't been trained 20 21 properly that don't know a lot of these things can't understand, won't understand. 22 Some of these younger or some of these newer 23 24 board members, they've only been here a year so they've 25 never been trained on how the -- what a risk reduction

rule is and all or this stuff. You know? And that's why my concern.

We're getting a lot of reports that are highly technical from the professional level and they're not understandable in layman's terms or in general terms. A lot of these things, they should be -- there should be releases given to the newspapers and say look, on your behalf, from AFRPA, look, this is what we're doing. For example, here's a report on 22 sites. Here's a status report on each site and have the newspaper publish it.

Have La Prensa say look, we're doing this work, we're doing that work and put all this in layman's terms so people can understand. You know, and that's -- that's something that we haven't done.

We haven't -- we don't have a public relations plan to give some of these technical reports to -- to the community and present them to the community so they know that we're doing something. We're having to go --

MR. ANTWINE: We put them in the library, sir. That's giving them to the public. What --

MR. GARCIA: You know, press releases, how come we don't have press releases on all this work that we're doing for the papers to print up? You know, that's another question.

MR. ANTWINE: We'd take up the entire paper,

1 sir. 2 MR. GARCIA: All the library. I know you take 3 up an entire paper, but if you give them one a week on 4 things going on, one a week, they can condense it down to 5 whatever they want. You know, it's like a closed world in here, you know, and -- and we're not communicating with 6 7 the community. 8 MR. ANTWINE: The door is open. This is --9 MR. GARCIA: I wish the community --10 MR. ANTWINE: -- a public meeting. What --11 MR. GARCIA: -- knew what was going. 12 MR. ANTWINE: -- do you mean this is a closed 13 world? The questions she's answering say is this 14 protective of human health and the environment. 15 the question that most of the public wants to know. Am I 16 safe? 17 MR. GARCIA: Are you? 18 The answer is yes. We've got MR. ANTWINE: 19 charts here that answer that question on every one of 20 these sites. It doesn't get any simpler than that. 21 Nobody will ever understand this stuff to the level that 22 you're trying to describe. Okay? 23 It takes people years to attain the kind of 24 knowledge that these people have. The people sitting 25 around in the neighborhood, the average Joe, you're not

1 going to be able to explain, you know, what all these 2 technicalities are. They want to know are we safe. 3 And if we can get EPA, the state, and the people 4 that regulate the cleanup to say yes, we agree it's safe, 5 the remedies you have installed are working properly, 6 performance of your systems is -- they're designed for is 7 working, that's what the community wants to know. 8 MR. GARCIA: Okay. How are you getting the 9 word out to --10 MR. ANTWINE: I'm doing it through the 11 briefing that you just received. I'm doing it in a whole 12 lot of ways. Through community meetings, through 13 community involvement plans, all those ways. 14 MR. GARCIA: But are you --15 MR. ANTWINE: Is it enough? No. But it will 16 never be enough for some people. MR. GARCIA: How about the media? How about 17 18 printed media? 19 MR. ANTWINE: Printed media? 20 MR. GARCIA: There's --21 MS. CODERRE: We took out a full --22 MR. ANTWINE: Stat sheets? What do you want? 23 MS. CODERRE: -- page ad and provided the updates. 24 25 MR. ANTWINE: We got stacks of them.

give you all you want. What these remedies are, what the 1 technologies are, we've been doing that for years. Okay? 2 3 I mean --MR. GARCIA: I rarely see it in the 4 newspapers. Not as much as I wish it would be there. 5 MR. ANTWINE: Well, I quess somebody must 6 think it's working, huh? Good news don't make the paper. 7 Right? We only make the news when we do something wrong. 8 9 You know, if I tell them look at all the great things we're doing at Kelly, you think they're going to print 10 that on the front page? No. It's only when we do 11 12 something wrong, Rodrigo. Okay? So good news, you know, is no news in the paper. 13 14 They don't sell papers with good news. Okay? 15 DR. SMITH: Mr. Quintanilla. MR. QUINTANILLA: I have a question. You 16 state -- on page six, you state in this briefing that 17 notice will be established on April 25, 2006 inviting 18 public comment on the review. 19 20 Right. MS. LANDEZ: MR. OUINTANILLA: You know, there's lots of 21 things that I don't understand and a lot of things that I 22 haven't reviewed. It would be impossible for me to do 23 24 this. So that, Mr. Silvas, is another reason why we 25

should bring in someone to -- to review this document and 1 explain it to us as soon possible, either at a TRS meeting 2 or special meeting. And hopefully they can finish it by 3 4 April 25th would be good, but I know that's -- that's not 5 going to be right. 6 MS. CODERRE: How long is the public comment 7 period going to be, Norma? MS. LANDEZ: We can -- in fact, as long as you 8 9 like, 30 to 60 days. It's not a -- there's not a required 10 time frame from what I remember in the EPA quidance document. 11 12 MS. CODERRE: Okay. Thank you. 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: So --MS. LANDEZ: So we can look it and expand it 14 15 to 60 days. 16 MR. QUINTANILLA: We need to push this and 17 Adam needs to push it so that we can understand it and make some public comments. And the sooner that we meet 18 19 with Mr. Nieto and get someone to -- to put this in 2.0 understandable terms and review those documents that I mentioned at the beginning that, you know, there's not 21 22 attached Appendix C and all the other documents, the better off we will be. 23 24 MR. WEEGAR: Mark Weegar, TCEQ. I just want 25 to make one comment. This five-year review is something

the Air Force is doing to address an internal directive. 1 It's not something that TCEO plans on reviewing and 2 commenting on. And the reason is it's done every five 3 4 years. Kelly submits through their Groundwater 5 Compliance Plan a semiannual review of the status and 6 operational performance of the remediation systems and we 7 believe that that's much more important and much more 8 timely from the standpoint of an evaluation of these 9 systems than something that's done every five years. 10 So just our comment on that. We don't plan on 11 reviewing the comment. It's not something we're requiring 12 them to do. It's an internal directive that they're 13 14 following. MR. QUINTANILLA: I just want to understand it 15 16 better. 17 DR. SMITH: Further questions? Okay. 18 you very much. Good job. MR. ANTWINE: Thank you, Norma. 19 DR. SMITH: Next item on the agenda is the 20 closing community comment period. I don't have any 21 2.2 cards. MS. CODERRE: We received two, but they were 23 requests for information and so we'll deal with those at 24 25 the office.

1	DR. SMITH: Okay. The next TRS meeting is
2	scheduled for 13 June, Kennedy High School. And the next
3	RAB meeting is scheduled for 11 July. That location is to
4	be determined pending responses from the school district
5	as I understand.
6	MS. CODERRE: And I think we've gotten a
7	tentative on that July RAB meeting for this same
8	location. So I I think it's looking no, we didn't?
9	MR. COLBURN: This is July's meeting isn't
10	on here.
11	MS. CODERRE: Oh, I was totally wrong.
12	Sorry. I misread that e-mail. Never mind.
13	We'll put out notice as we always do and let
14	folks know what's been available and we'll provide that
15	information just as soon as we have it.
16	MR. SILVAS: You're saying it's scheduled for
17	July here then?
18	MS. CODERRE: No. I was completely wrong. I
19	misread that e-mail.
20	MR. ANTWINE: As you know, we're having some
21	difficulty getting commitments to use this facility for
22	that extended period so we're having to look at other
23	locations.
24	MR. SILVAS: Well, I spoke to the people from
25	Edgewood or Kennedy and they said that in the long-term if

1	you're going to want something three or four months down
2	the road, they may have trouble committing to that. But,
3	you know, if it's something you can ask them in a month's
4	time frame that they'd be able to commit to that.
5	MR. COLBURN: That's what we're doing right
6	now.
7	MS. CODERRE: That's what we have been doing.
8	MR. QUINTANILLA: And the say that it's not
9	available for
10	MS. CODERRE: The summer programs. I think we
11	discussed this at our executive committee meeting that the
12	summer program that the schools were having
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: Did you listen to what he
14	just said? If you request it a within a one-month period.
15	MS. CODERRE: Yes. And I did hear you, Mr.
16	Quintanilla. Thank you for clarifying.
17	MR. ANTWINE: But we usually try to give
18	notice more than a month of when of where the meetings are
19	going to be. So, you know, if we wait two, three weeks
20	we're going to get the complaint oh, you didn't send
21	the you didn't send it out in advance.
22	So we'd like to know two or three months ahead
23	and that's the problem we're having. We can't get a
24	commitment because it's summer, because of some other, you
25	know, issues for this location. So it may not be here in

1	July. Is that what we're saying?
2	MS. CODERRE: Right. That's is correct.
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: That is not true of the TRS
4	meeting though.
5	MR. ANTWINE: What's not true?
6	MS. CODERRE: Well, as
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: The location.
8	MS. CODERRE: Well, as we discussed at our
9	executive committee meeting, we are interested in trying
10	to bring both of the meetings, the TRS and the RAB
11	meetings to a single location. We've had, you know,
12	complaints about the Environmental Health & Wellness
13	Center. The temperature, the door, the noise, the
14	traffic, and those kinds of things continue to interrupt
15	those meetings and I believe we reached an agreement
16	MR. SILVAS: Well, I thought we were
17	MS. CODERRE: during that executive
18	committee
19	MR. SILVAS: trying to keep them here for
20	the public where it's easy access.
21	MS. CODERRE: Absolutely. Yes. And that's
22	what we discussed and so we would try to schedule meetings
23	here for both the RAB and for the TRS in the future.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: For the record, I just want
25	to voice my opposition to holding the meeting at the Kelly

Officers Club. I don't think there's any bus 1 2 transportation close to there and it's not the best. 3 MS. CODERRE: See, and that -- I quess I'm completely confused because at the executive committee 4 5 meeting that we held --MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm talking about 6 7 disabled --MS. CODERRE: May I finish --8 9 MR. QUINTANILLA: -- people, ma'am. MS. CODERRE: -- my sentence, please, Mr. 10 11 Ouintanilla? 12 MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm talking about disabled 13 people. 14 MS. CODERRE: So I can't finish? MR. QUINTANILLA: I just want -- I want you to 15 16 know that I'm also talking about disabled people. 17 MS. CODERRE: So at our executive committee meeting several weeks ago, we had discussed the difficulty 18 19 that we were having with meeting locations with Mr. Quintanilla and with Mr. Silvas and we presented a range 20 21 of options. 2.2 And it was agreed to at that time that we would 23 seek to use this location for all TRS and RAB meetings that were scheduled in the future, if at all possible, 24 25 because all acknowledged that the Environmental Health &

1 2 Field Club if this location was not available. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 and Mr. Antwine agreed to. 19 MR. ANTWINE: What was that, sir? MS. CODERRE: Which was? 20 21 22 23 the Kelly Club. Not what you just said. 24 MS. CODERRE: We can absolutely accommodate

25

Wellness Center lobby was probably not the best meeting location. And that the second place would be the Kelly And so what we've also done is done a bit of a transportation study because we are sensitive to that issue about the public being able to access our meetings and there is a -- there are three bus stops on Kelly. One stops near the AFRPA offices; another one stops a little bit further down the road on Duncan; and another one stops even further down the road at Duncan and Tinker, which is accessible to the Kelly Field Club. So that was what we had agreed to at the executive committee meeting, that this would be our first location and the Kelly Field Club would be our fall-back location. Are we changing that now, gentlemen? MR. OUINTANILLA: No. I'm just going to refer back to what we agreed to, what I suggested to Mr. Antwine MR. QUINTANILLA: That we would be Kennedy High School first; number two, Dwight Avenue, the middle school there on Southcross; and number three, last resort

1	that.
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: No, no. This is what we
3	agreed to.
4	MS. CODERRE: Is that is that we're all
5	agreeing to now?
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's what we agreed to
7	there with Mr. Antwine and Mr. Antwine stood up very
8	firmly and said this shall be done.
9	MS. CODERRE: And that is agreed to now at
10	on the record, for this RAB, that is what we're agreed to.
11	MR. ANTWINE: That sounds good to me.
12	MS. CODERRE: Perfect.
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's what he agreed to.
14	MR. ANTWINE: But just be aware that schools,
15	you know, are about to go into summer vacation so
16	availability is sometimes limited and, you know, we have
17	to look at alternatives. So we'll do that.
18	MR. QUINTANILLA: Schools have always been
19	available during the summer months. You know that.
20	MR. ANTWINE: Things change. Things changes.
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: How come?
22	DR. SMITH: Mr. Silvas?
23	MR. SILVAS: One last time, I do have the
24	corrections for the December, January and the March so I
25	I'll hand those to you so you can

1 MS. CODERRE: You can give them to me tonight or you can bring them when we have our --2 MR. SILVAS: I have them for you. 3 MS. CODERRE: -- executive committee meeting 4 later in the month. Thank you. 5 MR. GARCIA: One last comment over our little 6 heated discussion over intellectualism, professionalism 7 and everything else in between. Just remember this, Mr. 8 Antwine, the human factor. We have a lot of human people, 9 10 minority people and all kinds of people that live here that they don't have the slightest interest, they don't 11 have the slightest idea and some of them do care and some 12 of them don't care and we have some serious medical 13 problems. 14 15 But the human thing to do is take this professionalism, all these professional reports, we have 16 17 to find a way to deal with the human people. We have to honor with the common man, with the minority man, with 18 everybody. They have to know what's going on. They have 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to deal with it.

We have to spend more on health. We have to spend more on all kind of human issues because this is the human problem more than it is a contamination problem because there's lot of human people involved here and we have to sink down to a layman's term of view and see how

1 we're going to deal with all these human people getting 2 affected. It's not all intellectualism and all this stuff. 3 I work for the Department of Transportation. I have to 4 5 take the high technical reports. I have to go to meetings 6 of the community when we have accidents and I have to 7 translate a lot of this stuff into Spanish and talk to people and their friends so they can understand what this 8 9 accident caused and all that. 10 And they depend on me because not only do I have 11 to -- get to deal with these reports and fight 12 intellectuals and write at the professional level, I also have to get to go and talk to people when they ask me 13 14 questions in English, ask me questions in Spanish. What 15 dies this mean; what were they talking about? I have to 16 explain all that to the common --17 MR. ANTWINE: We do that. MR. GARCIA: And do an outreach and reach out. 18 19 MR. ANTWINE: We do all those things. 20 MR. GARCIA: Yeah, but still it's -- it's not 21 good enough. Because if it was good enough, we'd have a 22 lot more people interested and a lot more people show up. 23 MR. ANTWINE: I'm sure there's somebody that says what you do ain't good enough. You just have --24 25 MR. MILLER: One final thing on that. I mean

1	I know the AFRPA puts out some very good fact sheets on
2	each of these remedies that have been installed. They
3	have them available. They're in English and in Spanish.
4	And the remedies are the fact sheets are very concise.
5	They're one page.
6	MR. GARCIA: That's fine, but how about human
7	outreach? That's part of the deal, too.
8	MR. MILLER: This gets them out into the
9	community. They're available to the community.
10	MR. ANTWINE: What is that? We have Spanish
11	translators. We translate documents to Spanish. I mean
12	you're
13	MR. GARCIA: Going out in the community.
14	MR. ANTWINE: talking like you've never
15	been here and seen
16	MR. GARCIA: You're not out in the community.
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: They're not out in the
18	community.
19	MR. GARCIA: You're not out in the community.
20	MR. ANTWINE: What what are
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: They're not
22	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me.
23	MR. ANTWINE: We'll have these meetings in the
24	park if you want. What is this, if not the community?
25	This is what you've told us is the community. Kennedy

1	High School.
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: Are you okay? You all
3	right?
4	MR. ANTWINE: No, you're choking me up. But
5	where else can I go to get the community, guys. Tell me.
6	Where else do we go?
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: Are you mailing those
8	those those
9	MR. ANTWINE: We mail them.
10	MR. QUINTANILLA: fact sheets out to the
11	community?
12	MR. ANTWINE: We put them we put them in
13	public places. We do all that, guys.
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: I haven't seen them.
15	MR. ANTWINE: You come
16	MR. QUINTANILLA: I haven't seen
17	MR. ANTWINE: to me as if you don't know
18	these
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: I haven't seen them.
20	MR. ANTWINE: things are going on.
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: I haven't seen
22	MR. ANTWINE: You just got here; right?
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: I haven't seen a single fact
24	sheet at Panchitos on Zarzamora except the ones that I
25	bring there.

1 MR. ANTWINE: I'm sorry you haven't seen one. MR. QUINTANILLA: I haven't seen --2 3 MR. ANTWINE: I'll give you some and you'll 4 take them next time you go, how's that. 5 MR. QUINTANILLA: No, that's not my job. I 6 don't get paid. 7 MR. ANTWINE: Yes, it is. It is your job. Ιt 8 is your job. It's your job as a member to support your 9 constituents. 10 MR. WEEGAR: Adam, I've got a guestion just 11 from kind of a resource standpoint and just long-range 12 planning. The RAB through the TAPP program has provided 13 advice, comment, input on virtually all of the cleanup 14 programs that Kelly is implementing. 15 What is -- what is from AFRPA -- and I'm putting 16 you on the spot and I apologize for that, but I kind of have been asked this question from my manager from a 17 18 resource standpoint. 19 What is the -- what is the Air Force -- what is 20 AFRPA's plan for longevity, you know, lifeline of the --21 of the RAB? How much longer do you guys envision 22 considering the RAB has provided -- you know, from a 23 guidance standpoint has met most of, if not all, of the 24 obligations of providing input on the cleanup program?

What do you see the length of time that the RAB

25

will continue to function in its present, you know, form or, you know, what's -- what the --

MR. ANTWINE: I think that --

MR. WEEGAR: -- plan for winding things down?

I've been asked that by management on what our resource

commitment is to the future.

MR. ANTWINE: I think that as long as we, you know, are submitting documents for approval to the state and EPA and as long as there's interest in the community to come together with us over maybe a less frequent period -- we're getting to a point now where we've got less than half a dozen documents going to the state and EPA on an annual basis. As you know, that was dozens and dozens in years past.

So I think what we're saying is as we get closer to the point where these remedies are in place and the only thing we'll be doing is monitoring the performance of system, that the interest in these documents and the requirements for us to get together to review and present the documents is obviously going to go down.

And so we foresee that, you know, next year for example we'll probably have even less documents than we have this year. So the frequency of meetings is obviously going to be proportional to that. And so that's kind of how we see it.

There's no definite time frame, you know, that 1 we've said that this should end. I mean obviously we want 2 to provide information. We want to get feedback from the 3 community as long as we can, as long as it's feasible. 4 But at some point this is obviously going to draw down. 5 MR. WEEGAR: Right. I just -- I've just been 6 asked by management, you know, what -- give us some idea 7 of what our continued participation on this is going to 8 be. And I said well, you know, we the Class 3 mod for the 9 Zone 4 and 5 final cleanup plan is in and is, you know, 10 under review and Zone 2 and 3 will come some time --11 Is it next year? MR. ANTWINE: Next year. 12 MR. WEEGAR: Well, yeah. Well, within a 180 13 days of when CMS is approved so I would anticipate, you 14 know, something on that, you know, May to -- in the May 15 16 time frame so, you know, --MR. ANTWINE: Maybe even --17 MR. WEEGAR: -- towards the end of the year. 18 I mean once that's submitted, there's not really any other 19 major -- again, all those have been commented on through 20 the TAPP program. That's -- public participation on those 21 documents comes through our process and request for --22 close for response to comment public comment period, 23 response to comments and all that process so I'm just -- I 24 need to take -- just being asked to get some idea from you 25

what your -- what, if any, plans AFRPA has for the life 1 expectancy of the RAB. 2 MR. ANTWINE: And I think we'll see, you know, 3 over the next 12 to 24 months that that's going to be a 4 pretty insignificant number of things we're dealing with, 5 you know, in these kind of forums. 6 MR. QUINTANILLA: The law is silent on when it 7 closes out. It says it will establish one. It doesn't 8 say when it will eliminate one. 9 MR. WEEGAR: Well, if there's something in the 10 proposed RAB rule. 11 MR. QUINTANILLA: In the proposed RAB it's the 12 dissolvement -- dissolving the RAB. If it gets too 13 contentious or the community doesn't want it --14 MR. WEEGAR: There's two different things. 15 There's dissolving a dysfunctional, non-performing RAB, 16 but there's also a process for shutting down RABs that 17 have met their obligation to provide advice and comment on 18 cleanup plans once -- basically I don't have it right in 19 front of me, but I think --20 MR. QUINTANILLA: You're right. 21 MR. WEEGAR: -- paraphrasing what it says is 22 once that advice has been given on the cleanup plans, you 23 know, the RAB will be terminated. 24 MS. CODERRE: It's called adjournment. 25

1	MR. WEEGAR: Adjournment. That's a better
2	term than termination.
3	MS. CODERRE: Yes. And that is
4	MR. WEEGAR: That sounds a little more
5	insidious.
6	MS. CODERRE: obviously the goal for a RAB
7	is once the cleanup remedies are in place and we've met
8	the obligations, that the RAB understands that the
9	opportunity to providing advice as a Restoration Advisory
10	Board has come to an end and the RAB itself votes to
11	adjourn.
12	MR. SILVAS: I'd like to include also that you
13	must consider that things are done correctly. If for one
14	reason or another things or the other that things
15	aren't done correctly, you have to go back and redo them.
16	Just keep that in mind.
17	DR. SMITH: Okay. We are at the time, past
18	time. Might as well set for closure. I can't ask for
19	motion to adjourn since we don't have quorum. So with
20	your permission, I'm going to declare us adjourned.
21	MR. WEEGAR: Approved.
22	(Proceedings concluded.)
23	
24	
25	

1	COURT REPORTER CERTIFICATE
2	THE STATE OF TEXAS)
3	COUNTY OF BEXAR)
4	I, GINA K. MAY, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify
6	that this transcript is as true and correct a record as
7	possible, transcribed by me through computer-aided
8	transcription.
9	I further certify that I am neither
10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
11	parties in the action in which this proceeding was taken,
12	and further that I am not financially or otherwise
13	interested in the outcome of the action.
14	WITNESS MY HAND, this the
15	day of, A.D. 2006.
16	
17	
18	J. Line K. D.
19	GINA K. MAY, Texas CER 5273
20	Expiration Date: 12/31/06 FEDERAL COURT REPORTERS Firm Registration No. 79
21	10100 Reunion Place, Suite 660
22	San Antonio, Texas 78216 (210) 340-6464 Fax (210) 341-5533
23	

24

25

FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE