



KELLY AFB
TEXAS

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD
COVER SHEET

AR File Number 3231.1

April 19, 2005
Restoration Advisory Board Meeting for the
former Kelly Air Force Base

Kennedy High School
1922 S. General McMullen

April 19, 2005
Restoration Advisory Board Meeting for the
former Kelly Air Force Base

Kennedy High School
1922 S. General McMullen

DR. DAVID SMITH: Welcome to the Kelly RAB. My name is David Smith. I am the meeting coordinator. We are going to start with roll call.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: You don't sound very clear.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Let's start again, is that any better? Roll call will begin. Mr. DeNuccio, Mr. Galindo, Ms. Galvan, Mr. Garcia, Mr. Gonzalez

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Mr. Gonzalez may not be able to make it on time but he will be able to make it.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Okay. That's appreciated. Ms. Hannepal, Ms. LeGrange, Mr. Muzquiz, Mr. Perez, Mr. Armando Quintanilla, Mr. White, Mr. Sheneman, Mr. Robert Silbas, Ms. Vaquera. Okay, Mr Antwine is here. Mr. Miller is here. Mr. Gary Martin is here. My understanding is that the EPA are going to be here.

MR. GARY MARTIN: They are not going to be here.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Okay, thank you. If you will take a look at the agenda that's in front of you we will follow that and begin with the pledge of allegiance. (All say pledge.) Let's have a moment of silence. Thank you very much. First thing on the agenda is the hails and farewells. Mr. Adam Antwine I think we're going to ask you to make the comments regarding that.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Thank you Mr. Smith. First off, I would like to say thank you to the folks that sat in my stead while I was away for six months. I think that was in the form of William Ryan. I want to thank him for sitting here in my sea as the government co-chair. I also want to take the opportunity to welcome some of the new elected RAB members. I think Ms. Coriene Hannepal, Ms. Henrietta LeGrange and Mr. Ruben Martinez are here tonight. I don't think there are any other new members. Robert Silvas for being elected the community co-chair and I would like to welcome him as well. I just want to say it is good to be back in San Antonio and I'm glad to be back with the group. Welcome to all or you and thank you for coming out tonight.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Thank you sir. That agenda for this meeting – we will comment on some of the Kelly AFB environmental management documents and to receive updates and recommendations on environmental remediation projects. As usual, one of the more important parts of the meeting is the community comment period. If in fact you wish to

make a comment in this period, we ask that you fill out one of the community comment cards that are this color and we should have some at the back table. They will take them around if someone needs them. I have only one at the moment so if you would like to ask for one that would be great.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Excused me, I missed someone. Ms. Melanie Richmond, I think this is the first opportunity to sit as a representative of the San Antonio Metropolitan Health District. So I apologize.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: Yes, I have been able to sit through this.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Oh you have been able to sit with use. Well, welcome anyway.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: Thanks, I appreciate it.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Okay, Mr. Wilkinson yours is the only card I have at this moment. Would you like to speak?

MR. GLENN WILKINSON: My name is Glenn Wilkinson and I have been coming here for a year and a half. I found in Kelly AFB in the spring of '89 (inaudible) drums since the 1980s. I turned in your 70,000 drums buried at Texas Surplus. I turned in that '75 Edsen two drums which also contained Agent Orange. Now I found out that through an article in the paper that they contaminated five acres with the chemicals came out of Kelly and the folks that are removing it are illegal persons that did not even have a license to handle contaminated waste. Therefore there is a person on the board has the names of these people who were convicted felons. And some of these people, most of these people, are cleaning up the base. I got two minutes? And in the last meeting as (someone) indicated we weren't intelligent enough. I believe the board made a motion that community representatives be classified as mental deviants according to (inaudible). It's amazing why we cannot get proper information. We are mental deviants and therefore there's no use asking questions. We're mental deviants and we wouldn't understand the answers they gave us anyway. I want to make a motion to have y'all see if anybody has ever filed criminal charges against Kelly AFB for contaminating water grounds. There's a lawsuit on that. I would like to let you know that the EPA and the Water Commission has been passed on the global standards on 8 ppm for dioxin or less in non-contaminated except for soil. That is Texas Administrative Code from the Texas Department of Agriculture which I never seen Texas Agriculture come out here. They've banned from Texas Surplus. They've banned from that site where they were taking dioxins and contaminated soils and leaving. Do did the contaminated soil from (inaudible) Texas get dumped into Robstown, which water runs into Corpus Christi so you know I'm getting dioxins there? I just want you to check the criminal records of these people. These people were convicted in a court of law and guilty and convicted and these same people are cleaning your base up. They are convicted felons known to be devious and to get rid of contaminants illegally and they were convicted in Bexar County Courts. Now it's out there. God knows in the next five years you won't even be able to ever speak out because of these people and their lies and sneaking around.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Thank you. Any more comments?

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: I have a comment. I would like to make known that I have a question and a comment. Is this the appropriate time?

DR. DAVID SMITH: I think we need to hold the comments until just later in the meeting. Just until we get out of community comment time.

(Inaudible)

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: Should I continue? Can you hear me? First of all, I would like to recognize my students from Northwest Vista. Thank you all for coming here tonight and giving up your precious time. I really appreciate that. The question I have in on the fact sheets that are on the AFRPA Web site. I think I said once before to Mr. (someone) – am I pronouncing your name correctly? On the Web site, on the fact sheets it says that when the PRBs first got into the PCE it breaks down into carbon dioxide, water and then mineral chloride. I would like to have their information on that process, because I have never see that in any other breakdown product. There are many universities that cover these breakdown reactions and can certainly agree with all of those published reactions. I would like to know what the source is to this and when we submitted questions before I was told that the Air Force is not required to give out sources or to tell me who is answering my question. And at this time I would like to ask the question. I would like to know who is answering my questions and I will put that in writing.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Thank you for your comments. Any other comments?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I would like to make a comment. Two days ago I went to AFRPA. I submitted some documents to the Kelly site filed on investigation documents. Are all the files, those handouts requested to have handed out, are they here today?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: No.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Where are they?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I think we still have them, Robert, they're not really relevant.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Those papers were handed to her to copy and to hand out. Where are the copies? Where are the copies today? Where are they? Who has them now?

(Inaudible)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay this is Kelly AFB causeway permit number 31750. Summary from the Texas Water Commission who did the report. (Reads the report. Inaudible.) I want to put in a motion that we put to a vote that these files be added to the

Kelly case files transcripts because it has everything to do with Kelly and not just DRMO. I am disappointed because I pushed this through and that professional request has been pursued here tonight and it hasn't been done. These actions are again I'm disappointed.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Okay, I have a document here that you can sign that states that I accept these to be included in the library for Kelly AFB. But some of these issues are not relevant to the clean up of Kelly AFB. These are violations of some Texas Administrative Code in 1982 that DRMO incurred because of mislabeling and misadministration of these materials and drums. We will be glad to refer you to the right source, DRMO, DMX, so you can follow up. These are legal proceedings that we are not here to address.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: These are (inaudible) that made it all very complex.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Yes, by DMX. These were sold and we have no responsibility for that.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes you do have responsibility.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Okay, well, I was not here in 1982 and you really cannot address this Mr. Robert Silvas.

(Inaudible)

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I am not saying anything. We are not here to address that site.

(More yelling.)

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: These are the documents. We would be glad to include them in the record as requested.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well I already requested it. I should not have to sign this.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: These are things that are irrelevant to the environmental cleanup of Kelly AFB. These things are not relevant that are in a court somewhere. We're not going to deal with them.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: They are relevant.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: A lot of stuff, chemicals, happened in 1982. That does not mean we are responsible for this today. This is something that happened in Corpus in 1982 Robert. This has to be dealt with in court now and the TCEQ too. We can't do anything about it. These people, we're wasting their time talking about things we can't do anything about. You talk about some of the things we can clean up today. The soil, groundwater, these people's neighborhoods. We can't address that, I'm sorry.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: It's going to be addressed.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: It's not going to be addressed in this forum.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: It's going to be addressed in this forum one way or the other. If I have to call the people involved I will. And I'm not going to sign that because it's not what I wanted. I wanted these handed out to the individuals to be aware that Kelly handed out chemicals without manifests to the chemicals. (Inaudible.) I think if you're not going to meet me half way on this we might as well adjourn this meeting until we can get

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We are not adjourning this meeting. We've got people here who are being patient. We can take a vote and if you don't want to stay and listen I'm sure there are people who would like to.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: You know very well these documents have everything to do with the cleanup. The Air Force has not owned up to any responsibility to cleaning chemicals that went off base whether yesterday or today. I make a motion to close this meeting until we get this addressed.

(People talking all together.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: You know very well these documents have nothing to do with this (inaudible). The Air Force has not assumed responsibility to clean up chemicals that went off base whether it was yesterday or today. Therefore, I set a motion to close this meeting until we get this addressed. Can I get a second?

MS. HENRIETTA LAGRANGE: I second the motion, but I also want to make a comment. This is the first time I met Mr. Adam Antwine – if I am pronouncing his name correct. And how disappointing the first time that I meet him. I am here to let you know sure that this is not the same lame duck Kelly RAB as before. We are here, we mean business and we are going to clean this up. Whether you work with us, fine. If you don't work with us, that's no good. But we're going to clean this up. And you will be accountable. I know that you (inaudible) because you are not from here. And if you are, I feel sorry for you, because you are not taking care of your own people.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Okay we have a motion and a second on the floor.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Discussion?

(Mr. Armando Quintanilla and Mr. Robert Silvas talking over each other.) (Loud ringing.)

DR. DAVID SMITH: Please talk closer to the microphones.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: First of all, these documents have everything to do with chemicals originated from Kelly, whether it was yesterday or today, these chemicals made it off base in a manner inconsistent with the laws that Texas Law allows. These

chemicals should have been noted by, not just to the previous RAB, but the EPA knew about it, the Water Commission knew about it. They held this evidence so we could not address this and the only way we found out about it was through our own research. This was never offered up before until today when I brought it up. And then after all of that having it presumably passed out, I here come to find out that on my request on behalf of the community, because I am an elected official from the community, to present this information to the RAB to make an informed decision. And this information has everything to do with the cleanup and if this is the continuing attitude of the Air Force, no can do, no can help, well then fine. We will just pursue this in another fashion. Let's adjourn until we get some answers.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I think that you are going to have to go to the Texas Water Commission. No, this is not the point of this forum, to address it. We're here to address cleanup, something is wrong in the soil and the groundwater of Kelly. Stuff that got stored here in 1984

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well let's

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Will you let me finish?

(Yelling and multiple people talking.)

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We're not here to deal with this tonight. We have to deal with this in another forum. That is what I am saying.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: It's in the forum now.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: No, it is not.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes it is.

DR. DAVID SMITH: I'm going to ask you not to interrupt again Mr. Wilkinson. I am going to ask you to sit down.

(Mr. Wilkinson yelling.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Again, I am going to make a motion to get this meeting adjourned until we can get some answers on these documents.

(Inaudible talking.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I already made the motion. I want to follow through. I want a vote on it now so we can get back to other topics of discussion.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Mr. Adam Antwine justification. I did not understand his justification on considering the request for Mr. Robert Silvas. Can you tell

me what the justification is? What was requested? He requested that you do something. And you said it could not be done for some reason. I did not hear that.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I am not making copies for issues that are not relative to the items listed on the agenda, because people bring things they want to and they have nothing to do with the cleanup of Kelly AFB. We are not responsible for bringing things to this forum that are really not relative to what we're about. And that was my response. We will be glad to place them in the library, put it on a Web site, but we are not going to make 10,000 copies for things that are not an item. This is my response.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: These are items coming from the DRMO. This DRMO was part of Kelly. Is that correct?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: No, they were not part of Kelly. But they had a facility of stored chemicals from all around this region until 1997. They stored chemicals from Ft. Sam and anybody who brought them to them until 1987. What are we finding here are materials that are so far beyond that to the public, that he then got cited for mishandling these materials. What I am saying, it's not relevant, is that we're not cleaning this stuff up, it is not in the groundwater, it's not in the soil. We are talking about Kelly and the surrounding community here. We are not talking about things that someone hauled off to Timbuktu 25 years ago.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: I'm just interested in how many barrels

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I don't know how many barrels.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Didn't you read the article? You can look at

(Yelling and multiple people talking.)

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We do have some responsibility. Suppose these barrels were sold to somebody and they went out in the field and then we ate the products from this field and then we get sick. Have you ever thought of that?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Can I ask Mr. Weegar to explain this?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: No, no I already called for a vote to adjourn the meeting.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We've got three people planning to give, we can take a vote. We're going to hear a briefing from Dr. Squibb on the past air emissions. We're going to hear a briefing from Mr. Don Buelter on what you guys asked for from this meeting. We need this meeting to find out the status of the restoration of Kelly AFB. How much money are we spending and what progress are we making.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: No, we are not making progress because you guys have

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We're not making progress because you guys aren't getting what you want. I can't help you with that.

(Yelling and multiple people talking.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Let's adjourn this meeting.

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: You need to get under control. If not, I will personally have you escorted out of here. Let me tell you we are not going to adjourn this meeting. (Inaudible). We have a lot of other issues that the community and the people are here to hear about and let's proceed with the meeting. Didn't I tell you to be quiet? We have a lot of regulations to go through and we cannot throw away a meeting over one issue. We got a lot of other things to cover.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay, go on with the meeting, but let it go on the record that I found the Air Force has not been doing it's job, they have denied it and that they do not want the facts to come out.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Let me see if we can compromise on this. You want to take a vote to see who wants these documents. And if we get a majority vote for the documents, then fine. I am not sure what you are going to get out of this, but we will make the copies. Does everyone here want to vote? Is that okay? Does that meet you half way?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: No. Are the copies here today?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We will make the copies first thing tomorrow.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: That's not good enough. The copies should have been here today.

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: We have to continue our work, to continue our meeting. We have to reach a compromise so that we can continue the meeting. I know both of you are mad, but we have to continue. I am the hardest headed person around here today. I understand your frustration, but we need to continue and we need to investigate this. We need to continue our meeting and we need to find a way to deal with it tomorrow or whatever. We need to continue our meeting. Dr. Squibb did not come all he way over there for nothing. I want to hear what she has to say. Let's cool down and we will deal with it tomorrow. We are going to deal with it, but the people that need to deal with it are going to deal with it. We need to continue our meeting because we have a lot of work to do and we don't meet frequently enough to throw away this meeting. Right now, let's move on with the agenda, figure out a way to compromise and deal with this in a special meeting or whatever you think is necessary. We can sit down and all talk together. But we need to continue with our agenda. I'm the longest-standing member on this board. I'm not about to throw away a meeting over one issue. Let's figure out a way to work together and figure out a compromise where you guys will be happy. We need to continue our meeting.

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: Can I comment please? I think it is very important what Robert has brought up. But I don't think this is the time perhaps to do that. I would like to know if we can table that motion and deal with it later at a later time. Can we bring up this issue at a later time? Can we do that?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Put it to vote. If it fails, I want it to be on the record that I stood up for what I believe in. There was a motion to adjourn. I want to get a vote on it

(Arguing and people talking at the same time)

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: But Robert, those of us who want to continue, that does not mean we are against you.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: But I want this on the record. These people, and Rodrigo knows as long as he's been here, have not allowed the community to sit in on the full facts of what they find of what was actually found and we should be allowed this.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I offered to make copies for those people who wanted them.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: That was two days ago when I came in (yelling and multiple people talking) I want to make a motion to adjourn this meeting

DR. DAVID SMITH: There was a motion and you have a second. If you'd like to call a vote on that motion you can do that. Objections to the call? No objections to the call? Motion on the floor is to adjourn the meeting, it's been seconded.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: What about the motion to table and second it.

DR. DAVID SMITH: I did not hear the second.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We have to vote to table the motion.

DR. DAVID SMITH: You can make a motion to table the previous motion. And we will vote. Let's get the motion to table that motion. All in favor of tabling that motion please raise hands. Opposed? Motion is tabled. We move ahead.

Unidentified Speaker: Excuse me. Can we ask

DR. DAVID SMITH: Yes sir.

Unidentified Speaker: Will you make available the documents as requested?

(Inaudible)

DR. DAVID SMITH: Okay, the next portion of the agenda is the (inaudible). Mr. Robert Silvas, I believe that belongs to you.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: There are a couple of motions to be put forward. These are ones that I received from other community members. As it reads Dear Community Members, it is apparent to me and other RAB members that our issues are not being addressed by staff. Therefore, it has become necessary that we vote on one motion for the record so that we force staff to comply with our demands.

Motion Number One -- It is apparent that we have never received a complete financial report. This report will include a complete history of spending for cleanup and remediation expenses on a year-to-year basis. It should also include a projected sum of all current and future projects.

Motion Number Two -- It is apparent that at the orientation sessions, where new RAB members were not very well planned and not enough new information and data was provided for their review. I wish to make a motion that the new RAB members request and review detailed information on current, past and future projects that they do not fully understand. A complete report is requested for new members review.

Motion Number Three -- It is apparent that community RAB members are completely dissatisfied with the lack of professional performance by the consultants that do the Semiannual Compliance report. It is a report and not staff. Therefore, it is necessary to make a motion and pass it that will deal with the professional requirement we demand. One, community RAB members will review the Semiannual Compliance Plan. Two, the new consultants will provide CD ROMS as well as a 40-50 page written summary of the entire report. This report will point out all human and environmental issues and changes that need to be dealt with immediately. This is a danger that we must deal with immediately. Number three, the staff should fully review and revise the requirements for the preparation of the Semiannual Compliance Plan. The new requirements will incorporate the new comments and should be reviewed by the RAB before to prepare for the new Semiannual Compliance report. Number four, staff shall distribute copies of the executive summary to alert the local media and the community organizations around Kelly.

Motion Number Four -- This motion is to instruct staff to prepare a report for current RAB members that will instruct on current projects, on health issues and past and current air emissions and how these issues came about due to the Air Force at Kelly AFB. This report should also define all of our current problems with these, also, in these motions staff should be required to submit detailed information to the following. A: Past and current emissions and these effects on the human body to current community members. B: Study on health problems and issues of groundwater contamination, possible chemicals used at Kelly and their dangers, and all health problems and issues that have been discussed since the Semiannual Compliance Plan was formed. And that's the end of that. Those motions we can put in to vote. We can do this one at a time.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We can have a discussion on number one first and then vote on one, two and three. Is that correct?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: That's it. Out of the four motions, we will go with the first three.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Okay.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Number one motion regarding the financial report on expenses on a year-to-year basis. (Rereads motion 1).

Seconded.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I would like to mention that this is on the agenda for tonight to be briefed by a representative from the agency.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Going to number two. RAB members were not well planned. (Rereads motion). We need to motion that new members request full and detailed information on current, past and future projects. That's motion number two. Do I have a second?

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: Yes.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Any discussion?

DR. DAVID SMITH: Lets take a vote on the first. Then we'll come back and do the second one. Would you be willing to do it that way Robert?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Do we have a vote on the first motion? All those in favor say yes. Opposed?

DR. DAVID SMITH: Motion passes.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Motion number two. Those in favor raise your right hand. (Inaudible). I have motion number three. Do I have a second?

(Several people say second here.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Raise your right hand. Opposed? Motion number four. (Summarizes motion.)

DR. DAVID SMITH: I think we need to go over that one more time – what was that motion for?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: The motion is for the RAB members to instruct staff and current RAB members to submit detailed information. Any second? (Seconded.) All in favor? Opposed?

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I want to tell you fellow members that I am going to give this to Mr. Adam Antwine and you all will receive copies in the next few days so you can understand the detailed requirements that I have put in to this so that we can become more informed as to what is going on with our cleanup. Thank you.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay next item up for motion is the Committee on Natural Resources. This was done on 12/20/04 and this tape has a transcribed fee of \$1,000 from Vicki Garza. This has been put forward from the Air Force to pay for and they say it's out of the scope and I want to go on record that it was involved and that the military is mentioned on this tape.

(Tape playing here – Inaudible.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I would like to put a motion forward to have these transcripts paid for by the Air Force. I would like to get a second on that motion.

(Seconded.)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Let's vote on that. Everyone raise their right hand.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Are we going to have discussion. This is a tape for a military workshop... what is the tape?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: This is a tape from the Committee on Natural Resources. This is a tape I brought it to Mr. Ryan. I submitted it in writing. You should have gotten it already. Vicki Garza transcribed audiotapes and there is a fee to pay for them and after speaking to Ms. Coderre (inaudible) I feel otherwise. I feel these transcripts should be put in to the archives.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: All those opposed?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We'll put them in the archives in transcript form. We'll respond to the request. I didn't say we'll do it.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Next motion. About the questions being submitted and are untimely being answered. To have a timeframe of 24 hours that if those questions can't be answered that they be forwarded to the open records agency or FOIA agency so they can respond to the answers or the questions. I would like to put this in a motion. Can I get a second?

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: One more time please?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: All of these questions that are being put in writing to the Air Force are being delayed. In order for the work, responsive feedback in 24 hours. If they cannot answer the questions then forward the questions to the correct open records agency or FOIA agency so they can respond to them.

MR. MARK WEEGAR: I think 24 hours is an unreasonable time from for someone to do appropriate research. If the RAB wants a complete answer to what these questions are. I would like to point out that if you are asking a question and someone has to research that because open records only requires a person to provide just the information it doesn't

require research or someone to construct anything or brainstorming or make anything up in response to this.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well, they should have the answers anyway.

MR. MARK WEEGAR: Let me finish. They're not required to answer any questions. They should not be asked to do this within 24 hours or we might not get any answers at all. Just for discussion I'm saying that 24 hours is an unreasonable request. I don't think anyone at this table would want someone to ask them to get together a detailed response within a 24 hour deadline.

MS. HENRIETTA LAGRANGE: What is a reasonable time? I know I asked a question four months ago and I'm still waiting for an answer.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Well, what should be the standard? 72 hours, 5 days, two weeks. Is there some standard guidance? That is what we are asking. What is a reasonable time or what is the ___ time by regulation?

MS. SONJA CODERRE: My response to the regulated questions is that there is not specific regulation. And it also depends on the complexity of the question. Some questions require rather specific responses and others can be answered rather quickly. If we were to follow the FOIA for the Air Force, the Federal FOIA, that's 20 working days except Saturday and Sundays and then Federal holidays. We do make every effort to do this, but then it also has to go to our clearance process. It's hard to make a 20 working days deadline. We don't always hit it.

MR. MARK WEEGAR: It seems to me that this has been brought up on more than one occasion. I could be wrong. I thought on more than one occasion the RAB has voted and established what expectations were for a timely response to questions and comments. Does anyone know what the timeline was? I thought it was seven working days.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Mr. Weegar is right. We discussed this and all we came up with was the Air Force will do this as expeditiously as possible. But what is needed and I believe this is what Robert is asking and I'm not trying to speak for him or anything, is a confirmation. I faxed them some information for FOIA on the 21st of March and I did not get a confirmation on my request until the 18th of April. This is not right. I think within 24 hours that should be confirmed. A letter should go back to the person stating that they have received your freedom of information request. A reply will be made in 10 days, a final reply will be made in 20 days. I think something like this should suffice. That should be the policy of the Air Force. This was the policy of Kelly AFB when it was operating.

MS. HENRIETTA LAGRANGE: I think that tonight we should set a time limit for the Air Force to meet the needs of our questions and make them accountable. I think we need to do this tonight.

MR. MARK WEEGAR: Again, I'll just point out. Everybody is sitting here talking about open records requirements and freedom of information requests and they have statutory time lines on them but nobody at this table, nobody in this room has any authority to change. It is regulation and this is the process any agency receiving an open request is going to follow. That is that way it is. It is going to be mandated by ABC policy and a FOIA or an open records following what the regulations are.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: We are not asking you to change it. We're asking you to keep a current status of where the questions are and where they are being handed to and when we can expect answers.

MR. MARK WEEGAR I am saying the response of an agency whether it be Federal agency of state agency, under these types of requests are spelled out in rule and there's no provision for any kind of interim or response as to when you're going to receive something from the agency. Those questions are then forwarded to those agencies and like you said they take their guidelines and follow them. They are going to follow the letter of the law because that's what the attorneys who govern those kind of things are going to mandate.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: We're not arguing that. What we want is for the Air Force to be responsible for questions being turned in.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: We'd be glad to share with the RAB what the guidelines are and we could probably get back a time line.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: 24-48 hours is fine. You guys need to do a better job period. That's what we're asking for. These questions have been submitted for months and months and they are never getting answered. That is the point I am making.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: You can know we got the question but that's about all you're going to get in 24 hours. You're talking about answers to the questions in 24 hours? Is that what you're asking for?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: We have letters that have been turned in for months that don't have answers.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: What do you propose now? For the Air Force to respond to questions from the RAB community members in 24 hours?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes, that they respond within 24-48 hours. That they got the question and that it is being handed to the appropriate agency.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I recall, I may not be correct here, but I think we had agreed at some time before, a lot of these members were on the board, that within five days we would do that. 24-48 hours is not reasonable.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well, that was a different board. You are dealing with another board here and this board wants answers. We are not going to wait like we had been.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Do you want to propose that?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: All right. I want to propose that the Air Force respond within 48 hours to whatever comment or letter has been sent in, that they be handled through the appropriate agency.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: I second that.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Within 48 hours, some kind of reply.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: If it's not certified, we don't even know when it gets there.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: You take our word for it, when it arrives, because otherwise you have (inaudible).

DR. DAVID SMITH: We've got a motion on the floor. Can you go through that one more time so we can have a second?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: The Air Force has within 48 hours to respond to comments or questions from the community and that they are handed to the appropriate agency and that they send these out registered mail.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I have a question on that. Do you mean 24-48 hours from completely done or just for an acknowledgement?

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: That's what I was going to ask. Forty-eight hours for an acknowledgement or 48 hours to get a complete and final answer. I believe 48 hours to get an acknowledgement and a confirmation that they received the request and who will be responsible for answering in five working days or 10 working days or something like that. Or do you want a complete report in 48 hours. Some of these questions are very technical and very long and require long answers. So a confirmation of when it was received, who will answer and how many days it will take to respond. Maybe that is what you mean.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Is that what you mean?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes, that is what I mean.

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: So today we will that that within 48 hours we get an acknowledgement that you request has been received, it's been acknowledged and you're going to get an answer which will tell you how your request will be reviewed and in this acknowledgement you will get a note that your full report will be one in so many working days. Is that what you want to propose?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes. In addition to that that these request forms be notified and noted on that that, that those, that those specifications be on that for the community to be award and also that they have in writing so those additions be mad to this request for information. Is there a second.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: I second that.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: All those in favor raise your right hand. Opposed? Okay there is one other motion I want to put forward regarding a meeting I had with Dr. Guerra regarding a liver study. I want to go on record to say that, Henrietta La Grange went with me to meet Dr. Guerra and the health department. They were in agreement to further look into other clusters, not only the liver and that the community is asking for more. On the record, I would like a response from the Health Department because each response I get has yet to include that they will include more studies. They need to include more studies. So would the health department please do something about that?

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: That is true, we did meet with Dr. Guerra about ten days ago along with Kyle Cunningham who was at the meeting as well. I am assuming you are referring to the article in the paper about the liver cancer study. The decision was to look at that first, to look at liver cancer first. So we can see what came out. This is something we should look into right away. We are working on that right now. It's overwhelming. We have to look at the studies and look at the date. Dr. Guerra said to you the other concerns that we have are definitely our concerns, but this is the main concern that we are really wanting to look into right now. That's really what we need to look at.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We need to look at leukemia first. That's what our letter to the mayor was and it went to County Hospital. We need the next step.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: At this point, I can't answer that. What we are looking at is the liver cancer studies because like I said those are the numbers. We're looking at that. We believe this is very much our primary concern but that is not to say we are not looking at other things at the same time. We are just not looking at it at this moment.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: But not leukemia.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: Right now we are looking at the liver cancer.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: But not leukemia.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: Not at this time, no.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: When will you be looking at leukemia. This is what we are asking for. And this is your answer.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: What I would like to do is that I would like for Kyle Cunningham to come up to the microphone to speak about it because she has more experience with this and I certainly would like to include her in this.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: I would like to hear what she has to say.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Over a year ago, we visited at that time with the Texas Department of Health and the Texas Cancer Registry, and the Texas Birth Defects Registry and we asked them to look into certain things. Leukemia was one of those things that we asked them to look at, along with liver cancer. This came out in observation that the liver cancer rate was elevated but we did not find the leukemia rate as elevated. So this is the date we received and this is the direction we are headed in now.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: My next question is, if in the findings they are outlined by ATSDR.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: At this point in time we did not have those boundaries. We have continued with what they had in the pas air emissions.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: My only question was did you look at the runaway boundaries at what ATSDR has outlined there and your study did not include that.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: No, no Mr. Armando Quintanilla, let me finish.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Let me finish. That's not what we wanted. Not hat y'all decided over there.

(Two talking over each other.)

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: We did what you wanted exactly because what we did...

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA (interrupting): You did not look at the boundaries outlined by ATSDR.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: But we did.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: You did?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Let me explain to you. At that point we did not have that report.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: If you didn't have the map what boundaries did you look at it?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Let me finish. What we asked them to do, we didn't want them to take a large area, to look at all of Bexar County. We asked them to zero in to

Kelly AFB and to look at the area around Kelly AFB with a smaller part of what was going on. So that is what we did. We looked at the area around Kelly AFB. So we did look at the area you're asking us to look at. At that time, we did not have past air emissions, but we did ask them to submit your questions, the area around Kelly, whether they're looking at a larger area now. So I believe we did exactly what you want us to do Mr. Armando Quintanilla.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: If you did not have the past air emissions from ATSDR to review and you made up your own, you're all liars. That is the truth.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: We didn't make up our own data. We just basically asked them to zero in on the neighborhoods around Kelly AFB.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Who did you ask?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Who did we ask? We asked the Texas Cancer Registry and the Texas Birth Defects Registry.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Those are the only two? Weren't there any others available? Like Social Security?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Those are the main registries for health data.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: That doesn't mean, that doesn't matter. They're going to register the checks. You just fall back on when there's more to follow up on. You're cutting the community short by only doing two of them when you have options that leave you more than two.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Those are the main, if you're looking for health effects that's where that's recorded.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: That's not the only one.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Robert Silvas, what is it you're asking for? We'll check with whatever but I think we checked with the main ones and I think we actually zeroed in on what you wanted us to zero in on.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Okay, just one more thing and this is what the mayor wrote back to us (reads letter). That was the recommendation from ATSDR, that we look at this specific area. But now you are saying that you are not looking at that particular one.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: As far as your opinion. Well, I'd say we had begun. This is what the ATSDR recommended.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: What are your findings?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Well that is it, they found the liver cancer was elevated and they suggested that we look at that in

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA (interrupting): Who is they?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Who is they?

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Who is they.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: Who is they? The Texas Cancer Registry and the Texas Birth Defects Registry.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: And they acknowledged that you also had leukemia.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: No, they did not. What they said was that they did not find the rates of leukemia to be elevated in those areas. They did find the rates of liver cancer to be elevated. That is why they suggested we zoom in on the liver cancer, which is exactly what we're doing. But we did request leukemia studies and we will look at that. But this is prior to receiving the ATSDR report.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: So, now that you have received the ATSDR report, you have sent a letter to the mayor, and the mayor has responded. When will you look at the leukemia area?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: First what we're going to look at what they found was elevated. The Environmental Health Center is currently out in the neighborhood doing door-to-door surveys. If we find things we need to look at then, or if we find more leukemia than expected, I am sure we will look at that. But first we are going to look at what is recommended that we look at.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: So what we recommended doesn't have much weight?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: We already looked at what you recommended. We're a little ahead of the game.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We have not received a report on that.

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: You did.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We never received a report on leukemia. Not this RAB.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well, I want to add one last comment. I am really disappointed that you are not following up on childhood illnesses, you're not following up on leukemia as soon as you can. It seems that your data, your updated data, and all this has been falling on deaf ears about what the community wants and what they want are studies done right away, not yesterday. So whatever letters we have to write to get this changed it's going to be done.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: We're taking action on it. And I will update what Kyle said is that we can't study things that haven't come up and that is why we are going by the registry. They are the ones that keep the statistics for the state.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: You're only confining yourself to one or two registries.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: But this is for the people registered...

MR. ROBERT SILVAS (interrupting): They are not the only ones.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: That may be true, but I promise you that these are going to the right, recognized and authoritative agencies.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: The community feels that you need to follow up on more than two registries because there is more out there that can be found. You are limiting yourself.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: And if that is true, then we will. What I do need to reiterate is what (someone) said, is that we monitor the public health all of the time. Not just one or two agencies. We are constantly monitoring the community for all kinds of disease. I promise you that. If anything (inaudible) that's our job.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Let me get this one last, this is again what the mayor wrote here. He wrote that in May 2004, the Environmental Health and Wellness Center, y'all, received a plea to conduct house-to-house surveys in the Kelly area. This is what you have just said. You have support for leukemia. These studies will begin in the North Kelly Gardens area, in the North Kelly Gardens park.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: They came to test.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: When did they test?

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: Oh, several months ago.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Several months ago? This letter was written on January 18th, written by the mayor on that date. Was that study on North Kelly Garden before January 18th or after the 18th?

MS. KYLE CUNNINGHAM: I could be wrong here. I believe that was wrapping up one study and they were moving on to other neighborhoods.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: You also need to look at the south part of the runway area.

(Inaudible).

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: Well I have not talked about that, but we cannot talk about certain things. We have to follow HIPAA. It is confidential information. We can't give that to you. We're bound by HIPAA.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: We are going to have to contact you. I don't have a definite answer for you at this moment. How about next week? We need to be careful for the citizens involved in the questionnaire. We have to be very careful.

MS. HENRIETTA LAGRANGE: Okay, you mentioned about protection, that's exactly why I'm here.

MS. MELANIE RITSEMA: No, no I mean the protection of confidentiality. That's what that's about. What HIPAA regulations are about. When you are dealing with medical issues, it's sensitive.

DR. DAVID SMITH: I'd like to remind you we're 45 minutes behind. The schedule was tight to begin with.

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I want to make a quick comment. One of the things we need to make a request for are long-range health studies. All of the discussions we had with you today, would you write up a 5-6 page letter to explain to us everything that is going on? And your recommendation for studies that we need to have health studies over the next seven years. We need to take that into consideration. Not only have we discussed leukemia, but we have discussed liver cancer and everything else. We need to start getting into colorectal cancer, heart disease and all of that. We look at the people around Kelly and we found they have colorectal cancer, lung cancer, heart disease. Especially lung cancer, probably from breathing in all these air emissions. We need to start getting into other issues and what is going on. What direction are we planning on going, what kind of health studies are we going to do for the next 20 years? What direction are we going to go? What you have on the table right now. What you have going on the next 5, 10, 20 years? How much funding are we going to need and everything we need to investigate? We do need to find out where you're going with all of this and your recommendations and how we can get more involved in the community and more involved in studying all these diseases.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: I have a question for Kyle and my question is his. When is the next study for Kelly workers on the health report that were caused by contamination next going to be done. The recommendation is to look at ex-Kelly workers

and to do a study. As you know, or maybe you don't know, I've been saying all along in all the years I've been on this board, if you're going to find a connection, go to the Kelly workers. They are a part of the community. They live and work in the community around Kelly. Why has a heart study not been done specifically for that group? Particularly for that group. I am talking about the ex-Kelly workers that worked there.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: I would like to invite Linda Kauffman up now from the Environmental Health and Wellness Center. I think she can probably address that issues.

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN: Just as we've done the assessment for the neighborhood, we've also seen the ex-Kelly workers and there are also the mortality study that we've done on the Kelly workers.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: And you're telling me there was no connection between the Kelly workers that are dying and are sick.

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: They did not find anything in that report.

(Inaudible)

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: The liver functions, the kidney functions.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: There is contamination of the blood, right?

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: There are not chemicals in the blood. (Someone inaudible). We cannot do tests on chemicals that are not there. It stays three to five days. If they are not currently being exposed.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: You are not looking at the (inaudible) of the sick Kelly workers.

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: We are. We are asking questions.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: Then why is it they are dying of the same kind of illnesses?

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: Our study did not find that.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: If you do biopsies on liver and kidneys, it will be there.

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: Not the chemicals. The chemicals are not in there.

MS. ESMERALDA GALVAN: Do you have a copy of the questionnaire that you gave out to the (inaudible)? Can I be given a copy of that?

MS.LINDA KAUFFMAN:: Sure.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: There's a copy of the mortality study that she mentioned in the RAB packets tonight that looks to have 20 years on Kelly workers, causes of death that's the study she's referring to, so I will make the members aware that there is a copy of that comparison in the packets tonight.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: There is something I need to bring up that was brought up in the past and never placed on the record because it's not in the minutes. This comes back from the Texas (inaudible) Zone III review benzene and I want to play this and I want it to go on the record.

TAPE: On what they call a consistency memorandum and absolutely people are using the Risk Reduction Rule to eliminate chemicals based solely on professional judgment. When they set a standard that a chemical has been detected infrequently I can be reduced from consideration, when the frequency of the testing is 5 percent. In order to demonstrate the infrequently tested compound you have to use at least 20 samples to be tested to demonstrate it (inaudible). Lots of times there was less than 20 samples tested from the site and the chemical was eliminated because it was only found in one. One of the problems we have with the Risk Reduction Standard is the criteria is absolutely below the authority of the laboratory method reading of the chemicals. So we can say a chemical is not present at the level of 100 but if the standard is 50, the chemical is (inaudible). In all instances the lab methods chosen in this study were not the ones that would have resulted in a large level of detection. Particularly a concern with chemicals such as PAH's, benzopyrene, that I mentioned earlier where the standard is above, excuse me, the criteria, is below the detection standards used by the Air Force because we know there are more sensitive lab tests that are available. That's a situation where more sensitive tests should have been used. I'm going to cite an example here off one of the tables. It's value for benzopyrene and I'm unsure what units they recorded it in. It could be 1,140 parts per billion or 1,140 parts per million. Either one of them would exceed the health standards for this site. But this particular example if you look over to the first column there's an (inaudible) which defines the depth interval of the sample. You can see the soil with the high benzene concentrations are collected from a depth of 9-10 feet. The (inaudible) facility investigation report does not consider this a chemical of concern at this site because asphalt contamination of the sample. Benzopyrene can be found in asphalt and you might expect to have minor amount in a soil sample. From asphalt (inaudible) or a drum line. It's not to insinuate this was a result of the asphalt.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay what does this mean. I understand Pete Davis. Benzopyrene is a carcinogen. And unfortunately people working right now are breathing this. They need to do something. And recently I got the Society of National Health to finally do something, but it's not within their capability because it's OSHA and it's an OSHA problem. These are ongoing problems of contamination that are being over thought, falling on deaf ears. And now that this is on the record, I want some answers. I don't know who is going to write OSHA and I don't know who is going to alert the workers on the base but it has to be done. That's all I ask.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Okay, next item on the agenda is (inaudible).

MS.SONJA CODERRE: We had placed on the draft agenda fro the year a tour of the Kelly restoration sites this Saturday, April 21. However, we had to cancel that tour and let the RAB know there are no buses due to the large number of people. So we are going to have to delay that tour. And we would like for the RAB members consider dates for them to attend a tour. And we would like for y'all to start considering that. Tell us what dates might be best, Saturday dates, that would be great.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Weekdays? Weekends would be better?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: For new members, what day would you like to take it? I don't know if weekday are a consideration or not. Is there any discussion on that?

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: We've submitted responses or information to this query before, so, and that's how you arrived on a Saturday for the 28th So can't you use those data from the previous...

MS.SONJA CODERRE: The request that we had asked on a separate date was for the workshop, which was why the workshop ended up being when it was which was on a Saturday. So, if it continues to be Saturday for that, then we'll look for Saturdays.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Thank you.

MS.SONJA CODERRE: Thank you

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Might we recommend that they take a poll and have them let Mr. Eobert Silvas know with the good weekend coming up. This is really for new RAB members or old RAB members. Anyone who wants to come out. So we'll leave that out there.

DR. DAVID SMITH: RAB members in the packets that you have tonight. I just want to take a quick minute and run through them with you. First page in the packets is the agenda, the next three pages are a summary of the January meeting. We remind you that you did ask questions in January and you might just take a look at that and see if that is a summary you can approve. The next six pages have to do with responses to RFIs. These responses are broken down into four documents they cover six pages for review. The next section has 16 pages. These are articles that occurred. We then move to Dr. Squibb's report. You'll find 12 pages of report there and 14 pages of slides. Next page is TAPP Summary update, and finally Mr. Buelter's presentation on Restoration Update status comprises the last 13 pages. That's a lot of information in there. (Too mumbled)
Yes?

Inaudible

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: An action item from the January agenda was a request to invite our city council members to attend our next RAB meeting. I'd like to recognize Councilwoman Radle who graciously accepted our invitation to come out and join us tonight. We wanted to thank you for coming. We invited all the council members so thank you for coming.

Applause

DR. DAVID SMITH: The next item on the agenda is the approval of the January meeting summary notes. Pages 2-4 in your packets you seen it previously and the request is that you approve that meeting summary

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: This is for the record. I do not see the summary prepared by the Air Force, in lieu of official minutes for the meeting on January 18, 2005, I believe that the summary is inadequate representation of the meeting that was held on that particular day. The summary does not state whether at the meeting that the RAB put it to voted to appointed the community co-chair temporarily. The transcript says the next meeting is said to be on April 20, 2005, for the record, today's meeting is being held on Tuesday April 19, 2005. Therefore I move that the summary of the meeting be rewritten by the Air Force to reflect what actually coincide on January 18, 2005.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Are there other comments that need to be addressed?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yah, I have a comment regarding the minutes also. It seems we've lost the court reporter. And we weren't told what the reason was, why we're going to change reporters. I spoke to Vicki Garza, she was not aware of it. It seems that these last few meetings are more important to the community, especially the last one being the radioactive cleanup. We're asking for a court reporter that we're not getting. I think that we need to get this addressed and get Vicki Garza back in here to get our court reporter minutes.

DR. DAVID SMITH: I'm really going to have to send you back to the items that are on the floor. I don't mean to cut you off. Raise objections to that summary I just want to comment that summary is something that you are to work with. Are they correctable or are you simply... (lots of inaudible in here)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I'm opposed to the summary also until we have court reporter minutes.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: We're having a great deal of difficulty...everybody seems to be looking...(too much echo). I think we have a motion.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Yes, that we do not have to not approve the summary they're not minutes.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I second that.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Any discussion? All in favor?

Several people talking

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: The motion was, I move that the summary of the meeting be rewritten to reflect what actually transpired on January the 18th 2005.

DR. DAVID SMITH: So the motion is to rewrite the summary because of (inaudible).

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: Could you repeat that please?

DR. DAVID SMITH: can you hear me?

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: A little better.

DR. DAVID SMITH: I simply wanted to check that the motion really is and was to ask that they be rewritten. (too much echo)

Before we move any further, we do have one community member who registered, that they wants to speak.... That's just the community member. You've been very patient. I appreciate that. I know that you have to go.

Community Member: Thank you. Can you hear me? I just want to address a meeting that came up earlier about letters, the requests for answers to questions. And I believe there was other items to questions and I'm really concerned the government is not answering these in a timely manner. I really believe this is an issue they're not taking seriously. They're sweeping it under the rug and hoping that it'll go away. And I'm very disappointed in the government and their attitude because these are real-life people who are being affected. I know that the government has the money to do the cleanup. Maybe that's not where you want to spend it, but I think it's money well worth spent. And I would just like to implore you to please take this seriously. I no longer live in this community but I am of this community I still have family in this community. As a matter of fact, my mother is suffering from liver problems. They're running tests I don't know if it's cancer. But it seems to me that it's a possibility because _____. Also the man in the burgundy, I would like to suggest that whenever you do send any kind of documentation a letter or request it does need to be sent certified mail because that's the only way to prove you sent it on a certain day and that it was not, and that it was sent to you in a timely member. And if you run tests, I think each member of the test should receive a copy of that report. You should not have to listen to that report verbally but you should have some sort of documentation of the study, what was done, where. And I have another question, that you mentioned of you saying that every case whether it be leukemia or cancer, why go through those two agencies, because I know there must be other agencies that _____ all of the reports. Is it possible the other agencies may have information that these agencies would not? Because I don't think that was made clear. And also, a lot of the people of the community who worked at Kelly or who lived here, they were _____ Many of them have moved out. So there needs to be a way to track it and if the

government can find a way to track it then I ask you members to find a way. Maybe you need to find the agency and go to them. Maybe you can do something. But if they're not doing the job then you need to do it. And I don't see very many people from the community here and that's telling me that there's not very good communication. Why aren't you communicating? I think, I think the people they don't know. I didn't know. I know my family doesn't know. And I think that you need to... so they can be here. So they can listen they can ask questions. That's the only way you're going to get anything done. And that was really the...if you wouldn't mind answering the questions...the other agencies would have (too much static and echo to understand.) Well thank you for your time.

Applause.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: That was excellent. I suggest that we get that in writing so that your question will be answered and hopefully you will get an answer that was written by someone so you will know who wrote it. Because information, questions that have answers without sources are useless. Totally and completely useless. And, this is one of my students, and I can tell you if she turned in a paper to me and it had no sources I would throw it back to her. And that's what I'd like to do with the questions that I had answered. So thank you so much for bringing that up and please put that in writing.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Mr facilitator I'd like to (inaudible) The hour is getting late and we have a TAPP presentation that is going to be made today by Dr. Squibb. Uh, it's scheduled originally for 7:15, it's 8:15, I'd like to move that we skip the full report, the Kelly health information update, the documents of the TRS, the requests for information, the outreach activities and the media coverage and go immediately into the final TAPP review. We do have some visitors here to listen to this presentation. I so move.

Two people: I second.

DR. DAVID SMITH: I heard a second.

DR. DAVID SMITH: All in favor of the motion? Any opposed? Motion passes. Make an adjustment to the agenda. Are we all set up?

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Please just let me know if you can or can't hear me.

Presentation Here

Someone talking in back.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Can I ask you, sir, to please you the microphone so we can hear you?

MR. GLENN WILKINSON: In addition to (?) they had another list of things that are not to be eaten. It was Leon Creek, vinyl chloride at Eudy Creek, that's Texas Parks and Wildlife. Carswell AFB, now a naval station, y'all got rid of that good, y'all just gave it to the Navy and gave it to them and their problems, with what you're doing here, you're trying to give it back to Kelly and that why you have Leon Creek trouble now because (muddled) pass the buck. There's a lake called Lake Worth around Carswell. Something...chloride and PBC okay? The same chemicals in that lake and those pictures (inaudible) the same chemicals in Leon Creek. Y'all have gone and poisoned Leon Creek. Now

YELLING

State of Texas... A report I have on PBCs, dioxins coming out of Houston and there's some mercury in Corpus Christi area. Okay? So it's, and another problem, y'all have chance to quit trying, y'all trying, I been there, buried for 30 years in a time capsule, you have the perfect thing to go back and all these things that you're saying you're estimating, you're guesstimating, Texas Surplus in '78 they buried all these chemicals there. From DRMO building 350, they're buried there in concentrated forms in drums and that would be really good evidence to use that as what people were exposed to as opposed to your estimating, guesstimating stuff. ?? And another thing, ? secondary of the NORAD electrical system was at Kelly. That means they had transformers with PBCs that contained PBCs and I know for a fact they were paying for oil (?) every year. You don't answer me (inaudible). They contained PBCs. Then there's a second theory because S-2 and the scuttler project there's a refuel and they have to refuel in the air with like 25 fueling tankers they got for the SR-2 project uh, that was carried out at Carswell at Scott AFB

Some male: Excuse me, do we have a question for Katherine?

MR. GLENN WILKINSON: Also, because of the fuel. You're just saying with the J-4 fuel, the SR-70 fuel SR-71 was the second fuel that had refining to keep it because of the high altitude the high speed they had to have a high low oxygen fuel that wouldn't ignite. Now, it is possible C that that fuel contains PBC.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: The fuel probably didn't. I don't believe so though I don't know. I know nothing about it.

MR. GLENN WILKINSON: You don't know nothing about it. I guarantee there were fuels. Inaudible Stationed at Carswell that could be causing PBCs and vinyl chlorine and stuff but you haven't studied that because that's still secret see.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Okay. I think your thoughts are good. We have to identify as many sources as possible and that is very important. Any other questions?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: First of all, I'd like to (inaudible) I think you're doing the best you can with what you can. Again, it goes back to the source. The source is lacking quite

a bit you're not getting your full information. And if you are, the AF is only providing half of it. Here's the article I have dated 5/14/04 out of Washington it goes into air pollution and damage gained in the mind. It says air pollution can cause genetic damage that can be passed along to offspring. Canadian researchers reported Thursday in a study on mice. similar tomice, people can inherit polluted damage to DNA that harms their health. In the meantime, the discovery is sure to increase scientists' worries about particulates about microscopic street particles from (inaudible) factories and people burned in vehicles. Mice that breathed filtered air had (inaudible) 52 percent lower than the mice that (inaudible) pollution. Um

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Yah, I'm are of that study. I remember it too. There's a lot of things in our air that we wish we didn't have to breathe. This is a calculation of the kind of air pollution that came up at Kelly AFB the air pollution that they're talking about there is cars and exhaust and many other kinds of sources too. So, (interrupted) we need to work to bring down a lot of (talked over)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: When you're talking about filtered air, I mean clean air, as opposed to unfiltered air that's a big difference and how that can be passed down to your offspring.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Well, that's a scary thought I admit. They're worried now about in utero exposures and what that does to perhaps make people more susceptible to cancers and thing like that later. We're just beginning to study it at the moment. But it's the kind of data that suggests there might be a problem. That's another thing that makes this health study pretty tough.. you don't know what exposures are causing it, what disease much later in life.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: One last thing and that's regarding the solvents we're talking about here. I think that solvents are a real concern because they linger in the body a long time.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: (Inaudible)

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Solvents such as benzene, solvents in general.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Actually they're pretty reactive. That's the trouble. They get metabolized because they're very reactive compounds and they excreted eventually. They do get (out?) quickly. It's not your solvents but your higher (?), your PCBs, dioxins that will tend to build up specifically in your fat.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Right they're fat-soluble so they attach to certain organs and they damage those organs the damage is done.

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: They can cause add ups on DNA and then the add ups need to be repaired and fixed And that's takes a much longer time that's true.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: That's all I have.

A woman (galvan): inaudible not speaking in microphone. Where can I find the answers to the questions? These are the actual numbers of workers (inaudible)? You told me, if you had, (Too much echo to understand completely). Is that number that you used in that study that you said was (inaudible) you have the number. (Inaudible). Out of 2,000 how many were workers? Just answer the question. (Someone talking vaguely in background.) Where can I find that information? Okay.

Lots of people talking.

That's what I was trying to say. There are concerns with data like mine is. I thank you very much. You can learn a lot from the workers. And they know what kind to help us out and what to concentrate on.

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I wanted to tell you I am very pleased with what you told us and a lot of the things. And I wanted to ask you would you give us any opinion of any direction we need to take and be more specific for doing more health studies concerning the comments and the judgments and evaluations you made in this report you gave us?

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Well I think I would recommend, primarily, it sounds like you're already starting to do a lot, and that's continue to look at cancer rates in the community, specifically the four that we identified here. And perhaps begin to look at the susceptible cause relations. For instance when you look at the zip code areas and you're lumping everybody together, you know sometimes you dilute out a set. Perhaps you could look for specific susceptibility factors like you know um (too much feedback, inaudible) that might tend to make them more susceptible. That's the kind of studies that are being done now that we assess to pull out that if you look at, particularly sensitive people, you can begin to see how they, because we've lumped everybody together. Particularly now that this study and the one that was done in stage one you can begin perhaps to get some concentrations and come up with some. Even if it's not exposure differences in different areas away from the base and group residents that way. And see if it can be done a little more, a little more refined. But I think the health studies and following up on the health acts is good.

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Because I live 78237 for the past 40 years and I want to get more specific medical recommendations on how we're going to study everything that we discussed tonight and what kind of plan of action and long-term planning we need to do for health studies and everything so we can continue to help the Metropolitan Health to continue on these studies. Do we need a medical interpretation from this to determine what direction we're going to take. Do you recommend that?

DR. KATHERINE SQUIBB: Yes, I think getting together a group of people, and it sounds like your health department is already starting this, and in addition to the chemicals we know are not we got a lot of them. (?) Do they tend to affect the central nervous system, do they affect the kidneys? And then you can start to group them and

classify them and begin to look at the kinds of real data you're looking for. Then you can say it's likely this is a health effect and the more you find then you're more confident. If there's no connection between those two then you know you're not as likely to find that kind of an effect. You just have to phrase your questions better.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Will the AF provide a response to the findings?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I think in most cases we have, where you guys have requested that, provided some kind of summary of response to recommendations. I think this is going to be along the lines though of just taking those recommendations and just incorporating them into the continued effort that we're working with Metropolitan Health to do so in that sense I think we can.

Low talking away from microphones

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Thank you for Dr. Squibb for coming up here to the front. RAB members, co-chairman, I believe that the contractor Dr. Squibb has reviewed completely the ATSDR report and as we tasked her and as tasked by the TRS. Dr. Squibb has provided the TRS and this RAB an independent review of the data and its conclusion and recommendations. Dr. Squibb has also provided the technical assistance to the RAB that incorporating the data in the ATSDR report as well as the technical data from the INPASS from the health district gave them to the community. Concerning the technical review, Dr. Squibb evaluated the ATSDR report with respect to actions by the AF inaudible and made recommendations. In this regard, I move that the RAB approve the report and payment be made to Dr. Squibb. Thank you very much.

Several people: Second it.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Discussion? No discussion? All in favor? Any opposed? Thank you In your packets, RAB members you received this sheet here. If you'll fill that out quickly. It's documentation in line with the motion.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: There's something else I'd like to bring into a motion. It's been brought up in the past and that is uh that the RAB start the interview process for a new facilitator who is certified. So if we can get that into a motion, I'd like to get a second. The motion is for a new facilitator who is certified. In order to start a new interview process for a new facilitator who is certified. I put it into a motion I'd like to get that seconded.

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: Could you explain that more?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: What I mean is, there's facilitators that have certifications to facilitate and you have facilitators that are just facilitators and I think this RAB should go above and beyond to provide the community a certified facilitator to conduct these meetings. So, I'd like to start interviewing different facilitators who have that certification.

Some male: I second that.

MS. CORIENE HANNEPAL: Well are you talking about... I don't understand how this process takes place. Are you talking about a replacement for Dr. David Smith

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Correct. We'll do interviews and find out who has the best qualifications for a certified facilitator.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: And what's the procedure for that? Who pays for that? Does the Air Force pay for that?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: This would come out of Kelly, the DoD.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: All right.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: There is no process for that. We provide a facilitator as part of the expenses of the RAB, which many RABs don't have a facilitator as part of their forum. And, so, typically, a facilitator is hired by a community relations contractor. In this case, that's how Mr. Smith's services are provided.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: So that would be what, Booz Allen Hamilton? That's who? That group hired Mr. Smith?

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Is this provided on a meeting by meeting basis a contract with...

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Yes, yes.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Like what?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: That's correct. It's provided every meeting or wherever we ask for services through this contract. It's for community relations. It could be for another type of public meeting but the RAB meeting is the place that we typically would use facilitators. But there's no process, other than the contract we acquired, hiring facilitators for the RAB to determine who the facilitator is. Again, you can make the motion, we can take the motion forward, but uh that is something we decide, it's not really up to the RAB to select the facilitator.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well, we're in the process of requiring a facilitator who has a certificate. So, that will be put into a motion and we can vote on it.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Okay. Good.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: So I'm looking for a second.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: No I don't understand do we have a second on this. If the RAB does not have control over that

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: What I'm asking the board is basically to have a facilitator who has the background.

Someone yelling from the back of the room.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I understand that part.

More yelling.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I'm not asking anything out of the ordinary. I'm just asking what's best for the community and that's to get a facilitator.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: But what are the rules regarding that. That we're allowed to do that. You know who gets to, I don't understand what the procedure is.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: What in the contract allows us to remove him for just cause?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Nothing, no. It's like the cleanup contractor. If you don't like somebody that's cleaning up Kelly AFB, it's not up to this group to tell us who to hire to clean up Kelly AFB.

Unidentified Speaker: But we can advise.

Yelling and screaming by Wilkinson

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: You can advise. If you don't like them or you don't like what they're doing. We have selection criteria and contracts

More yelling and screaming.

Can I finish? We have contractors and contract officers who determine what contractors are selected to what jobs and that's a process we go through.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Mr. Wilkinson

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay, let's just go forward. I'm putting this to a motion that our advisement that we look for a facilitator who has a certification to facilitate no more than a list. And I already got a second.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: That's fine.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: All in favor, raise your right hand. Is it open for discussion still?

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I think it is. I think I need more answers. What authority, I mean just because we make that motion doesn't mean the RAB can go out and find a new facilitator. Am I saying that correctly or?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: When I first came in here we had a facilitator whether he was certified or not. About two months later he was gone, we weren't asked, we weren't told, Mr. Smith came in and took over. I didn't raise any questions about it. And I just think it's time to find a facilitator who is certified and can provide their expertise that the community needs to get these issues addressed and that's not being done.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: Is that the role of the facilitator?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: The role of the facilitator is to facilitate. Get order and get the issues addressed.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: But it's not the role of the facilitator to get those issues addressed, is it? I mean, I'm just confused I guess. I'm not sure what the role of the facilitator is, other than facilitating, make sure the agenda is covered, and to call to order and call for votes. But not necessarily to make sure that whatever issues we want to put forward is put forward are actually put forward. Maybe that's the facilitator's role, I'm checking on that.

Someone in back of room talking.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Who would have the description of the facilitator?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Available now? No, it's not available now.

People in other parts of room talking

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay well then..

MR. NAZARITE PEREZ: Can I ask a question? Ummm, what is the process to uh, to let's say get another facilitator. I mean, do we have the power to vote in or out?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: You have the power to recommend to us that you would like to replace the facilitator I can't tell you today whether that recommendation will be accepted or not. I think you oughta make the recommendation if that's the vote you want to take. Vote on it. Make it part of the record and we'll get back to you on what the answer is. That's really all you can do.

MR. NAZARITE PEREZ: Now can we recommend for the facilitator to be certified?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: You can. Sure, you can recommend, you know, anything you want. Doesn't mean though that it's going to be accepted and adopted. I think the first step toward what you desire...lots of people talking... to the AF and we have no problem with that.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Again, we advise to look for a facilitator who is certified, perhaps even a female, a minority and have that looked at and arranged and get that inaudible.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Okay.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Will you accept a substitute motion Mr. Robert Silvas

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes, I'll accept a substitute.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: The substitute motion is this, MRMr. Robert Silvas, the motion is that the RAB recommends that the facilitator be replaced with a certified facilitator.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: And I say we so move and we go from there.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I so move too.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Any opposed? All right.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I still have a question, who ultimately makes that decision.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Adam's going to come back and answer yes or no. We're just advising him.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I think the point is not that the facilitator be 35, but that the facilitator be accepted. And in order to be effective and in order to vote on that, I'd need to know what a facilitator is. I need to see something in writing.

Someone far away talking.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: We'll look into Robert's rules. I think it's in that.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: one of the rules I think, is that you ask to be recognized before you speak. That is one of the rules.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: So are you going to take a vote to make a recommendation to us? Is that?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: The motion was made. I took the substitute motion.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Okay, you going to vote on the motion, the substitute motion?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Yes, we voted.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: You did? You did vote? You haven't voted.

Lots of people talking.

DR. DAVID SMITH: We have a motion for the substitute motion and a second and discussion are you done debating at this time.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Can we vote now?

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: I'd like to hear ,we're talking about getting rid of David? Why do we want to do that?

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: That's a good question.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: It's my past experience, and I've been here a little longer, I've seen uh things that have been concerns at these meetings. And I think that we could move on in a better, because the way things are going now with the Air Force and so on, to get another facilitator who is certified. Someone who has got the power and experience to do this would lead us in a better direction. We changed facilitators before and there was nothing that was this hard about it. We did it, it was done with and Mr. Smith took his place. And I thought the facilitator we had was a good facilitator. I don't know why we changed him but we did. And I feel a new facilitator with a certification could lead us in a better direction and that's what we need.

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: That's totally absurd. I've heard everything now.

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: I think I agree with that

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: Excuse me one second. If we're going to object to him, we're objecting to him what? Lead us where? I thought we were supposed to lead ourselves. Based on what these folks want, he's just really like a referee of sorts to hold us together.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Okay.

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: He's not the leader.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: So far I think in addressing Mr. Smith as such

Wilkinson yelling from back

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Mr. Smith has done a professional job, no doubt, but there are instances where he leads the direction of the conversation a little bit and the issues into the directions of the

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: Wait a minute Robert give me your transcript. I would be sensitive to this if I felt like he were leading me somewhere. I would be very sensitive to that. Right off the bat. I never felt that. Have you? Have you? Where did this come from?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: No, I, I, I'm not saying that.

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: And you're going to get a certified what? I'm just curious.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Well there's facilitators that have certification.

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: For what?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: For facilitating.

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: Dave, Dave, what type of certification (inaudible)

DR. DAVID SMITH: I don't know what type of certification Mr. Robert Silvas is talking about. (Too much echo.) To the best of my knowledge there is only one group (inaudible) that level of certification. Probably is not one of those groups that you'd that (inaudible). So the bottom line is there no official certification. So, why don't you talk about that.

MR. MICHAEL SHENEMAN: So we don't like the message but you kill the messenger. I see.

MR. MARK WEEGAR: I guess. The whole time when I was ... The role of the facilitator is to try to maintain the meeting on an agenda on a timeline, move us forward and the facilitator can only facilitate and not lead to the level to which the folks of the meeting are willing to be facilitated. If there is some concern that these meetings haven't been facilitated in an appropriate fashion I think it's the personal opinion is the responsibility falls on other members of this RAB to look at themselves individually and see how you can as individuals confront him in this group and move it to allow in an orderly fashion to keep it on agenda keep it on topic. Whether it's this facilitator or anybody else they cannot force this group to go a path that they're unwilling to take.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Any more discussion?

MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: One item for discussion. We need to get a lot more background information on this concerning facilitators. We don't know if there's any (inaudible) for being certified or not. If we are going to recommend we change facilitators or something we need to go through a due process of establishing the need for a new facilitator and establishing reasons why we need a new facilitator. I have expressed concerns over hiring a new consultant to do our Semi-Annual Compliance Report and the reason I have said that is because of their poor performance. And that has been documented. Their poor performance has been documented by me. If we need a new facilitator we need to first figure out if there is certification that has to be met and second we're going to have to follow due process and reason for finding a new facilitator. That's the reason of being (inaudible).

MS. CORIENE HANNAPEL: Okay, I have a comment. If we get a new facilitator, I think that, if I'm interpreting you correctly, a facilitator can have a certain slant and can slant a meeting in a certain way. So if we get a new facilitator and this facilitator is certified, but still appointed by Booz Allen Hamilton and still has close connections with Booz Allen Hamilton, what good is that going to do? Isn't that the question you have to ask? What kind of knowledge is this person going to have? What kind of connections with the community involvement program is this person going to have? That's the (something) that will be much more important than whether the person is certified.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: But see I've seen this in the past. I mean as soon as I was brought onto this board and it was no more than two months later they changed facilitators and I didn't see anything wrong with the other facilitator. And, you know, now, being two years plus, I see things that happened in this duration that I've had questions about in the back of my head that leads me to believe that maybe it's time to look for a facilitator with different credentials, perhaps a minority, maybe a woman, you know, we gotta open this up. But then again I go along with Mr. Rodrigo if we're unhappy with something then y'all can (someone coughs) show us, document it and concur.

DR. DAVID SMITH: Any objections to the call?

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: The motion was that we recommend to Mr. Adam Antwine the replacement of this facilitator with someone who is certified. (Inaudible) up or down.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Move it up or down?

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Robert feels that...the motion was that the RAB recommend to Mr. Adam Antwine that the current facilitator be replaced by someone who is certified.

DR. DAVID SMITH: All in favor of the motion please raise your hand. Opposed?

MS. SONJA CODERRE: Five votes for yea. Five votes for no.

MR. ARMANDO QUINTANILLA: Is the motion passed or what?

Muttering

DR. DAVID SMITH: (Inaudible). Items on the agenda.

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Mr Buelter's still here and able to give the presentation. Or would y'all want to vote on deferring it to next time? This is something the RAB has requested so depending on how much time we have left.

MS. SONJA CODERRE: I've been informed we been asked to start closing down at 9:30 because of the time constraints.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Who's the one that's informing you to close at 9:30?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: School. School rule.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: But who specifically?

MS. SONJA CODERRE: I don't know the name of the individual specifically but we've coordinated with the school specifically and we've been asked to be shutting down by 9:30.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I'd like to know the name of that person.

MS. SONJA CODERRE: We'll work on that.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Where'd you get that?

DR. DAVID SMITH: Since the school district needs us to be out by 10, and we need to be shutting down quickly, I don't see much room for getting through Mr. Buelter's presentation. Are there any burning issues we have to address before we can, Yes sir?

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: Uh, who's keeping the minutes for tonight?

DR. DAVID SMITH: Who's keeping minutes?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: I think the minutes are compiled from the court reporter documentation.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: So we have audio minutes of this meeting?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: No, we have a court reporter who's taking it down.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: We have a court reporter here today?

MR. ADAM ANTWINE: Yes, he's sitting right over there.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: For the record, what is the gentleman's name?

DR. DAVID SMITH: ComputerLines, the gentleman's name is Danae Hutchinson.

MR. ROBERT SILVAS: I can't make that out.

MR. DANEA HUTCHINSON The company is ComputerLines. My name is Danae Hutchinson. We're recording the meeting and transcribing it.

DR. DAVID SMITH: RAB members we really do need to, not to dictate, signing that form that you received on top of Mr. Armando Quintanilla motion. Can I get a motion to adjourn?

Motion.

Second.

DR. DAVID SMITH: All in favor? Any opposed? Meeting is adjourned.

FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE