

## KELLY AFB TEXAS

## ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD COVER SHEET

AR File Number3333.10

## RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING JANUARY 28, 1998

COPY



ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES

## San Antonio

7800 I.H. 10 West, Suite 100 San Antonio, Texas 78230

(210) 377-3027 Toll Free 1-800-969-3027

Telefax (210) 344-6016

Laredo

5703 Springfield Laredo, TX 78041 (956) 726-3232 Fax (956) 725-3376 Austin

100 Congress Ave., #1800 Austin, TX 78701 (512) 452-0011 Corpus Christi

500 N. Water St., #712 Corpus Christi, TX 78471 (512) 881-8909 Fax (512) 881-9717

1	A D DEA DANGEG.		
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	Kelly Air Force Base co-Cha	air;	
3	MS. ANNALISA PEACE		
4			
5	Community Member;		
6 7	MR. ARMANDO C. QUINTANILLA	EJA;	
8	Groundwater Hydrologist;		
9	PROF. GENE W. LENE		
10			
11	II SHITCHE SHITCHES		
-12	San Metropolitan Health Dis	strict;	
	MR. ED WEINSTEIN		
13	San Antonio Water System;		
14	MR. DAVID JOHNSON		
	Committee for Environmental		
15	U CAPCICC ACCION,		
16	Yolanda Johnson's alternate	· ;	
17	MR. PAUL ROBERSON		
- /	Greater Kelly Development Corporation;		
18	MR. JOHN A. JACOBI, P.E.		
19	Texas Department of Health;		
20	MS. CAMILLE HUENI		
21	Environmental Protection Ag Region 6;	ency,	
22	MR. NICOLAS RODRIGUEZ, JR.		
23	Bexar Metropolitan Water Di	strict;	
24	MR. ALAN POSNICK TNRCC;		
25	MR. MARK PUFFER Grady Floyd's alternate;		

1	MR. CARL MIXON	
2	Bexar County Local Emergency Planning Committee Chairman;	
3	MR. DAMIAN SANDOVAL Community Member;	
4	II	
5		
6	MR. WILLIE JONES, JR. Community Member;	
7	MS. DOMINGA ADAMES	
8		
9	Union Pacific Railroad;	
10	DICIE LEE EYTCHESON	
11	Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Repo	rter
12		
13	* * * * *	
14	4	
	5	· [
15	<b>~</b>	
15 16		
	6	
16	6 7	
16 17	6 7 8	
16 17 18	6 7 8 9	
16 17 18 19	6 7 8 9	
16 17 18 19 20	6	
16 17 18 19 20 21	6	
16 17 18 19 20 21	6	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6	

1	On the 28th day of January, A.D.
2	1998, between the hours of 6:05 o'clock p.m.
3	and 9:00 o'clock p.m., the above entitled
4	meeting came on for discussion before said
5	BGEN. LEROY BARNIDGE, and the following
6	proceedings were had:
7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: This is real new to
8	me. And it may be not so new to y'all, but I'm
9	new to y'all, and we'll talk about that, too.
17:58 10	I understand the routine has been to, first of
11	all, go around the room and everybody introduce
12	themselves; is that right? So y'all don't know
13	each other? Okay.
14	MS. PEACE: My name is Annalisa
17:58 15	Peace. I'm the Community co-Chair.
16	MR. MIXON: Carl Mixon. I'm the
17	County Fire Marshal, and also the Chairman of
18	the Bexar County Local Emergency Clinic.
19	MS. ADAMES: Dominga Adames. I live
17:58 20	in the community; I'm a member of CEJA.
21	MR. POSNICK: Alan Posnick with the
22	Texas Natural Research & Conversation
23	Commission. I'm here in place of Gary Beyer.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: My name is Armando
17:59 25	Quintanilla. I live here in the South San

17:59 1	community, the area impacted by toxic spills
2	from Kelly Air Force Base.
3	MR. ROBERSON: Paul Roberson.
4	Greater Kelly Development Corporation.
17:59 5	MR. JACOBI: John Jacobi. Texas
6	Department of Health.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: My name is Nick
8	Rodriguez. And I'm with the Bexar Metropolitan
9	Water District.
17:59 10	MR. MURRAH: I'm Sam Murrah. And I'm
11	range conservationist, and also with the Clean
12	Air & Water here in San Antonio.
13	MS. HUENI: And I'm Camille Hueni.
14	I'm with the Environmental Protection Agency.
17:59 15	MR. RICE: George Rice. I'm a
16	community member.
17	MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm Ed Weinstein with
18	the San Antonio Water System.
19	MR. PUFFER: I am Mark Puffer. I'm
17:59 20	the alternate for Grady Floyd.
21	MR. SANDOVAL: My name is Damian'
22	Sandoval. I'm a community member.
23	DR. LENE: I am Gene Lene. Community
24	member.
17:59 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. And the

as -- I got to introduce myself, but also
the -- I was reminded you've got to use the
microphone, except for me, because my mouth is
so loud anyhow I don't need one.

The -- I want to tell you, I am Leroy Barnidge. I'm the newest kid on the block here, and I've been at Kelly for a number of months now, but -- but also learning my way around that business. I was up -- in a base up I want to take just a couple of minutes north. here to -- to not only introduce myself and -and tell you a little bit about what I've been doing, but also to -- to give you, at least, a feel for my experience with these sorts of forums. Because I think these are wonderful things, I believe in them, that's the reason I'm here. I -- I was asked whether I wanted to join this -- this RAB business here, and -- and I absolutely do, because I believe in what we're about.

As I said, I have worked with RAB sessions at different bases in the past. I've learned a little bit about what -- how people described how this one has gone. I will tell you that there are those that go worse than this one,

18:01 25

22

23

24

18:02 25

and there are those that go better than this one. And the -- the biggest -- Clearly, the ones that are most effective are the ones where communication takes place, and -- and not just two polar sides in which people sit and throw hand grenades at each other.

The -- The ones that I have seen have had very substantive, corporate people involved in them. And -- and all great people can agree to disagree, but at the end of the day we all walk away shaking hands and saying "Well, we'll come at it another day and -- and figure out a better way to -- to accommodate everybody's needs."

I'm very much a what you see is what you get kind of guy. I do represent the Air Force, but I -- as I said, I also believe in what we're about. I'm going to work to give you what you -- what you, the collective RAB, say you need. I think, I can get my way on a lot of things; I seem to have been able to in past forums like this one. I think it's important, because your attendance here tells me you're interested in this business. If you're interested in this business for the sake of

18:02	1
	2
	3
	4
18:02	5
	6
	7
	8
•	9
18:02	10
	11
	12 13 14
	13
	14
18:03	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:03	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

18:03 25

environmental cleanup and environmental improvement, then we've all got a common cause. If you have a different agenda, then you shouldn't be here.

The purpose of the RAB -- Which by the way, I -- I thought it was interesting that it is read at every forum. I'm going to ask you at the end of this if you still want to do that. Because as with every new guy, you know, you get a chance to change those things you don't like, so I'll bring that up for a vote here in just a minute.

But -- But my business is to serve and answer your questions to -- to the extent that -- that I can. In the same breath, I'm going to make sure that this -- that your questions are what the entirety of the RAB wants. As I said, this is a team, this is not a collection of individuals; it has to be that way if we're going to make any progress in any direction. So it'll be of interest to me that everybody is in sinc at what we're -- what we're after, and then we're going to go after it all together. And it's amazing what we can get done together.

23

24

18:04 25

I hope you also see that as we go along here that -- that we're going to follow the purpose of the RAB. I'm going to cling to that very much. I'm not necessarily for reading it every time, but it should never leave -- never leave our minds. And the reason is, is because this forum is about cleanup. It's not about City issues and it's not about flying operations, and it's -- as much as I love to fly, it's not about that. It's not about anything but cleanup issues associated with this base and this community. And our business is about making that information, that we can make available, available. Let me see, I --Forgive me. I don't -- I often don't use In fact, most of the time I don't, but I want to make sure I didn't skip anything.

Oh, as far as business conduct goes, it's very important to me, for efficiency, as well as just professional courtesy, that when there's -- we're all engaged in the same conversation. So when we see people and it's very easy to -- to hear something that one speaker has given, and then I turn to Annalisa and say "you know what, I think that's crazy,"

18:04	1
	2
	3
	4
18:04	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
18:05	10
· •	11
	11 12 13
	13
18:05	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:05	20
	21
,	22
	23
	24

18:05 25

you know, and we have a little dialogue here. That doesn't do anything but serve as a distraction, so I'm going to -- I'm going to resist -- I'll resist -- I'll call on you and say "hey, have you got something you want to share with all of us or you want to listen to the briefer." So we'll try to keep the side discussions for efficiency as well as for professional courtesy. And after all professionals is what I assume we're all here as. And that's about it.

The -- I've been operating, flying airplanes, breaking them, and then fixing them, and serving on various staffs throughout my career. I now am absolutely delighted to be in the south and in San Antonio, because I can't imagine a better place.

Forty-eight hours before I came down here last summer I was waist deep in snow. And I tell you what, there's nothing like going from waist deep in snow and then 48 hours later in cut-offs and a T-shirt in the streets of San Antonio. It's a wonderful thing, and we're absolutely delighted we're here.

With that, I'll ask, has anybody got any

24

18:06 25

questions for me, about me, or anything that you would want to ask, and then we'll just move on with our agenda? By the way, I will make the comment, Annalisa -- actually, somebody else brought it to me, and I checked with Annalisa, the agenda that she and I agreed on, that I didn't realize, was different than what was mailed out. So what we've got is we've got some items that wasn't included in the -- a few items that wasn't included in the mailed out list, and I'm just going to follow the old agenda. I'm not going to ask for a vote on it; I'm just going to do it, okay, because it's important that what we agree on is what we do.

Has anybody got any questions for me or about me or anything? Anything is fair game; just don't run over me with a bus. Other than that, life is great. Okay.

I propose -- Let me see, maybe I shouldn't be the proposer. Does anybody see a need for us to read the purpose of the RAB at every meeting?

MR. QUINTANILLA: I -- I don't see a need, and I don't agree with it.

MS. PEACE: Can you make a motion to

\_\_\_\_

:	18:07	1	that effect?
		2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Then I Is there a
		3	motion that we discontinue that practice?
		4	MR. JACOBI: I so move.
1	18:07	5	MR. ROBERSON: I second.
		6	BGEN. BARNIDGE: And I third.
		7	Anybody disagree?
		8	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
	*	9	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All right. Done.
1	18:07	10	Okay. Next item. Here, let me make sure what
		11	I've got here. RAB member packets, okay. In
		12	there, there are a number of things that you
		13	should have gotten a packet in the mail out. I
		14	don't even know our ability if we've got any
1	18:07	15	spares, but did anybody not get the packet that
		16	was supposed to be mailed out? You had the
		17	minutes, you had the you had the first of
		18	the items that was omitted in the agenda, and
		19	that was the the cleanup program budget, and
1	L8:07	20	it and it had a sheet in there talking about
		21	groundwater sampling budget. I assume that's
		22	for information someone requested the
		23	information, and those who put these together
		24	mailed that out. And also, a comparison of
1	18:08	25	remediation versus study. Those I know

18:08	1
	2
	3
	4
18:08	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
18:08	10
; ;	11
	12
	13
	14
18:08	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:09	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

18:09 25

those were in the packets that were mailed out. It was an agenda item; I regret that it was deleted off the agenda. Does anybody have any questions about -- about the packets that were mailed out?

Okay. The next thing then is -- is -- I hope you've had time to look through the minutes that were also included there. There were two months or two sessions worth of minutes: September, I believe, and December. Do we have any point to make about the September minutes?

MS. PEACE: Yeah, I do. On Item 5, it's on Page 4 of the September 9th minutes, Number 15 -- And I'm not sure about this, because this was so long ago, but I do believe that that Item 15, though, was a motion that was passed, and that the minutes should say that. If I'm wrong -- Does anybody remember specifically? We could go back to the transcripts, but I believe, that that was passed, as well as suggested.

MR. RICE: My recollection is, that that's right. The RAB actually voted to -- to take some action.

18:09 1	MS. PEACE: Okay. So I think that we
2	need to amend the minutes to say that there was
3	a motion made to this effect, and that it was
4	passed by the members.
18:09 5	And then also, this is this is just
6	a kind of a goofy thing, but on Page 10
7	under Motions and Resolutions, 2a, it says
8	"Approved by show of hands almost
9	unanimous." I think, "almost unanimous" is an
18:09 10	oxymoron. Could we have a number put in there,
11	if there is a count of the vote? So I move
12	that it be amended to strike "almost unanimous"
13	and substitute a number for that.
14	MR. MURRAH: Where?
18:09 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Is that even
16	possible? Does anybody know the number?
17	MS. PEACE: There should be a
18	MR. JACOBI: I don't think there was
19	a roll call vote on that.
18:10 20	MR. ROBERSON: I recall that it was
21	unanimous.
22	MS. PEACE: Then put "unanimous"
23	instead of "almost unanimous." That just
. 24	sounds really stupid.
18:10 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Is that it?

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

18:10 1 Any other thoughts or comments about the 2 September minutes? Yes, sir? 3 MR. RICE: Yes. The comments I have 4 are on -- in the September minutes, you know, on Page 8 under Item 8, "Old Business." 18:10 5 6 The first comment is the last sentence of 7 Item 1, where it says "will agree to turn over 8 all releasable data, he will be satisfied." The word "releasable" should be deleted. My 18:10 10 request was that "all data" be forwarded. 11 BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. I don't 12 know. Obviously, I wasn't there for that, but 13 I -- I do know I -- as I was looking through 14 old stuff for this -- this meeting, past 18:11 15 documentation, that -- and you -- I don't 16 quibble over the word "releasable," but I know 17 that there is draft data in existence that 18 won't be publicized until the time it has been 19 validated, finalized, and therefore, put out. 18:11 20 Now, does that --21 MS. PEACE: No. This is just 22 correcting his statement. He says he didn't 23 say "releasable." 24 BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, okay.

18:11 25

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

MR. RICE: I think that's a topic

23

24

18:12 25

that we can discuss later, but that was my statement.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Like I said, I'm catching up with what we got here. All right.

MR. RICE: Then I have another change on the item directly below that, Item 2.

That's line one, two, three -- four. On the fourth line it says, quote, "that the Air Force is only responsible for the plume for about 300 feet." Actually, I was referring to the PCE plume. It's the PCE plume.

And then on the last line of that same paragraph, where it says "responsible for groundwater contamination beyond 300 feet."

It's once again, PCE contamination beyond 300 feet.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Anything else?

MR. RICE: Yes. One more. Although, perhaps this belongs on the New Business. If it does belong there, just tell me. In these minutes Ms. Hueni, Item 3a on the same page, asked that the Air Force go on record with their position. And my question is: Has the

18:12 1	Air Force gone on record with their position
2	per Ms. Hueni's request?
3	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, let's let's
4	straighten out the minutes. I mean, I agree
18:13 5	with you that it may
6	MR. RICE: Okay. That's fine.
7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Is the record
8	written right as written?
9	MR. RICE: Yeah. The record is
18:13 10	right.
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Any other
12	questions or comments about September?
13	Okay. Let's move to the December
14	minutes. Any questions or comments?
18:13 15	Okay. Can I have a motion that, as
16	amended by the comments we've heard, the
17	minutes I don't know what the right words
18	are are accepted?
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: Stand approved.
18:13 20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Stand approved?
21	MR. ROBERSON: So moved.
22	MR. JACOBI: Second.
23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All in favor.
24	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
18:13 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Anyone opposed?

18:15 25

(Vote taken by RAB members.)

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Guess not. Okay.

Let's see -- Okay. Community statements.

Folks, this is -- I believe, this is where we open it up for the folks in the -- who have come out and joined us tonight.

The point of this -- If you don't know, and if I sound, like, a little different than -- than perhaps you are used to in the past it's because I ain't the same guy. But that's okay. The point is, to allow this time for -- up to four minutes per person for anyone to voice a concern, to make a statement of -- of something that is -- that is on their mind that's related directly to the cleanup program. So I'll invite anyone who wishes to make any comments, please hold your hand up and be recognized, and we'll get you up here.

Yes, sir?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon.

Good evening to everybody here. My name is

Raul Villar (phonetic), and I live -- I reside

at 3903 Beach, and we're on the road across

from where the fuel tanks are.

Now, we've been having a lot of, you know,

18:16 25

health problems, and the 26th of January, you know, our grandson spent -- Pass this around so you can see. Look at the -- the future of that baby right there, my grandson. He -- He has been developing bronchitis for about three or four, five times, and that -- the 26th of January they -- the doctor determined that he had asthma. Now, that's -- that's pretty strange because he was born there -- born there. And all the community there has been having health problems.

So, you know, that's my statement right there. Pass it around so they can look at it, Mr. Rice. Pass it around so they can look at it. And I mean, you know, he's been sick. He's been sick all the time we've lived there, ever since we moved in there. And now he's developed asthma, and that's -- that -- for a baby like that, that's too much.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay, sir. Thank
you. Any other comments or statements by
anyone who is with us tonight? Okay. Thank
you very much. I'm sorry, ma'am, I didn't see
you. I'm sorry.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is

18:16	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
18:17	5	
	6	
	7	
•	8	
	9	
18:17	10	
	11	
	12	
	12 13	
	14	
18:17	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
18:17	20	
	21	
	22	
		l

23

24

18:18 25

Valentina Trinidad. I don't live in this neighborhood, but I was born here. And I went to church a couple of weeks ago and read about the problem -- I've seen it in the paper. And it dawned on me that I've never asked my mother had she inquired about the surveys that I had read about in the paper.

And my question tonight is: What areas have you surveyed? We live -- She lives on the (inaudible) and she has eight children. And out of those eight children six have asthma.

My 53-year-old brother died of lung cancer, my 46-year-old sister died of pancreatic cancer, and my sister and I have been diagnosed with Lupus light syndrome. And we're curious to find out what part of this Kelly Air Force has been surveyed.

MS. PEACE: The surveys for the community health benefit were done by the North Kelly Gardens area, and that was the only area that was surveyed for community health survey.

Now, I think -- I'm not sure -- Does anybody know who the ATSTR surveys?

MR. QUINTANILLA: They surveyed no one. They only reviewed the past studies.

24

18:18 25

However, ATSTR will be here February 9th through the 11th, and -- Get in touch with me, and we'll put you in touch with those people.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. My question

was: --

MR. QUINTANILLA: They want to hear those kind of things.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: -- Did they intend to survey those other areas?

MR. SANCHEZ: My name is Sam Sanchez. And I apologize for being here a little bit late. The ATSTR will be here during that week. I'm with the Health Department and they're looking at everything. The -- The North Kelly Gardens area was a community survey done by the people that lived in that area. They did a good job with that. They're looking at other -- other kinds of health data information. They'll be working with the --They really would like to work with the residents in other areas, and they need to identify some of those people, so they'll be here during the week of February the 8th. again, I invite you to -- you know, if you leave your number -- your name and phone number

18:18	1
	2
	3
	4
18:19	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
,	11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12
	13
	14
18:19	15
·	16
	17
÷	18
	19
18:19	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
10.00	2.5

18:20 25

with me, and we'll see that somebody does get in contact with you.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. Thank you.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: And, Sam, did you
say where -- where you're from? He's from the
City Health Department.

MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm from the barrio, and I can help you also.

MR. SANDOVAL: I have a question
here -- I don't know if this is the appropriate
time -- referencing the health survey that was
done. I know we had a very large effort to go
out and to survey the public who had individual
monitoring on those private wells, and I have
not heard a report back on that issue, and
then, second of all, is there a follow-up
program planned?

MR. SANCHEZ: You're referring to the water well surveys that were done in reference to the -- the Air Force released any information that the underground plume was further -- further -- more extensive than what was previously described. What we've done is we've identified the -- we've sampled 62 different individual households in those areas,

18:21 20

18:21 25

21

22

23

24

that area is primarily served by the Bexar Metropolitan Water Company, all of the water samples came up negative for those four chemicals of concern.

We have kind of brought together the -some representatives from Bexar Metropolitan along with some representatives from the City Public Service Board. We tried to do kind of an electronic search to see if there are any households that could be on water wells that we have not -- that we have not been made aware of or that the Air Force has, you know, not And the methodology, Damian, that we're trying to do is, we're trying to eliminate -- we're asking ourselves a question, people that are living in that area they are -if they are -- if they have electrical connections and they're not being served by the Bexar Metropolitan Water System then what other alternate water systems do they have, what is their other source of water. We hoped that that would kind of bring some closure to the issue in terms of what other water wells may be out there. So we -- that's part of the ongoing thing that the local health department is

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

18:22 25

trying to arrive at (inaudible) the Air Force.

MS. PEACE: If I might add, in the minutes from December 2nd, it was said that -- under Resolutions that we would address the issue of one (inaudible) was talking about he lived in the location where he didn't think the wells had been tested, then we also had said that we would have a meeting in that area in the future. So we need to put that on "New Business" for the next meeting.

MR. SANDOVAL: Then just a last question, and that is: Is the Air Force going to send another survey? And I just bring it up for some -- some of these other folks may have had the survey form and forgot to fill that out, and does anybody plan to go out to the community or was that just a one-time effort?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Why don't you hang on to that. That sounds like we're getting into New Business here. That's a fine question, but let's just put it in its right place.

Any other questions out of the -- out of the community members at all? All right.

Okay. One more.

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

18:22	1
	2
	3
	4
18:22	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
18:22	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
18:23	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:23	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

18:23 25

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hello. This is my first time here. My name is Guillermo Morey (phonetic) and I live on 2909 Quintana. My question is -- I'm not too up to date on what's going on, you know, as far as producing and contamination that's been going on for several years. I believe, they've been going on now for 23 years, maybe 24 years. The problem I have is drainage in front of my house. I know this is probably nothing to do with it. My concern is the contamination, and trying to control this is an issue. How are we going about it in controlling the -- the drainage and contamination that stanks there -- or stays there and doesn't run off anywhere?

Mr. John German from the City of San Antonio
Public Works. He's on the agenda for this -for this last item before the break. If you
could ask that question again when he's up
here, he'll be up there on the microphone, so I
think he could better answer that.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Thank you. Okay. Very good. Well, thank you very much for the statements that we did get.

18:23	1
	2
	3
	4
18:23	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
18:24	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
18:24	15
	16
	17
	18
•	19
18:24	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	ì

18:24 25

Now, we'll close out that section, and we'll move to the next portion of the meeting, which is kind of a bittersweet sort of deal, at least it seems like that to me from the interface I've had with Annalisa, but you may -- everyone may not know, but Annalisa has been in office for -- for the full term, and is about ready to graduate, as we say. And that means that we need to think about a new co-Chair -- a new community co-Chair for this.

The new community co-Chair -- Annalisa's term is actually up in the month of February, and the new person should take over in March and -- which means they'll be in the saddle for the next forum. Why don't -- What I would like to do is to suggest or -- I'm sorry, sir, you've got something -- Mr. Quintanilla, you've got something for all of us?

MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes, sir. I've got something for -- for all of us, but I want to wait for the time.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Okay. The -- For this new community co-Chair a way to work it -- And we will work it anyway you want to. A way to work it is,

24

18:25 25

first of all, we need to know who the people are who would like to throw their hat in the ring. And you can hold your hand up and say "pick me" or you can do anything you would like, and we will -- we will certainly consider you a candidate.

What I would then like to do is get a list of the candidates, those few who would like to be considered, allow them each three minutes a piece or up to three minutes a piece to explain why they feel like they would be the right person for that job. And then we can either do an open vote or we have, I believe, in our handout packets here a ballot, so to speak, that you can mark it, and do it either open or closed. Your choice.

But at this point, let me open the floor for people who would like to throw their name in the ring for consideration for the community co-Chair.

MR. RICE: May I ask a question before we do that. The community co-Chair is to be elected by the community members of the RAB, so --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Is that right?

18:25	1	MS. PEACE: Yes.
	2	MR. RICE: That's in our Charter.
	3	What I would like to do is just ask is just
	4	see the the hands of the RAB members that
18:26	5	consider themselves to be community members and
	6	eligible to vote on this.
	7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, wait a minute,
	8	wait a minute Wait. Hold on Hold on a
	9	second. I've never seen a RAB operate that
18:26	10	way.
•	11	MR. RICE: This is in the Charter
s to the	12	that our community provided.
	13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Do we have the
•	14	Charter here?
18:26	15	MS. KELLY: Yes, sir, that's
	16	correct. It is in the Charter.
	17	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I I'll drop
	18	my new guy card and say I'm sorry. I needed to
	19	make sure on that.
18:26	20	MR. ROBERSON: I think, when we voted
	21	for Annalisa everybody on the RAB voted.
	22	MR. RICE: Yeah. And we were in
	23	error. All I'd like to do is just find out
	24	which members of the RAB consider themselves
18:26	25	community members and eligible to vote.

18:26 1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, let's key on
2	what Mr. Roberson said. As a first point, I'd
3	like to know if the RAB would like for the
4	whole RAB to to vote on the new community
18:27 5	co-Chair or the the community members?
6	MR. RICE: Well, that would require a
7	revision of the Charter.
8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: The whole RAB is
9	here.
18:27 10	MR. PERSON: I believe, the Charter
11	said you had to be a member of the community
12	the San Antonio community or was it Bexar
13	County a requirement of Bexar County. I
14	believe, that's what the Charter says, that you
18:27 15	had to be a Bexar County resident.
16	MS. PEACE: Anybody here on the
17	Charter Committee?
18	MR. HAGELTHORN: Yeah, I am. I'm the
19	chairman of the Charter Committee. It
18:27 20	doesn't We don't have a specific geographic
21	restriction for a member of the the
22	Board. It is anybody who is affected, either
23	economically or environmentally, so it could be
24	anybody all the way down to the Gulf of Mexico
- 1	animoni arr the may down to the darr or herroo

if they were so affected.

18:27 25

		_
18:27	1	
1012,	2	
	3	
	4	
18:28	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	. 9	
18:28	10	
	iı	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	12	
	13	
	14	
18:28	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
18:28	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	

18:29 25

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, in the past at other places, that has been interpreted to be simply a non-DOD related counterpart to co-Chair with the DOD representative. And obviously, I'm the DOD rep, so -- so I think the geographical, I think -- I have never seen it played before. We'll play it anyway y'all want, but geographical has never entered into it before.

MR. RICE: Yeah. Maybe if we could just see hands of those members that consider themselves community members, that might just answer the question, sir.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Sir -- Sir, wait just a minute. Wait. Just wait. The question is: Is -- Does the RAB want to vote with all members voting for the RAB for the community co-Chair or do we want to keep it community, i.e., non-DOD related voting?

MS. PEACE: Actually, it wouldn't be non-DOD related, it would be --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I'm sorry. You're right. Non-regulatory and non-DOD, yeah, that would make sense. The only way I know to do it is all in favor of -- of all members voting for

18:29 1	community co-Chair, hold your hand up.
2	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
3	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Everybody voted for
4	you, so let's I didn't count. One, two,
18:29 5	three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine,
6	ten.
7	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: And then opposed?
9	MR. QUINTANILLA: We're going against
18:29 10	the Charter, but that's all right. You enforce
11	it.
12	BGEN. BARNIDGE: We can amend the
13	Charter.
14	MR. RICE: You have to realize we've
18:29 15	actually revised the Charter by what we're
16	doing here.
17	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Doesn't it take
18	two
19	MS. PEACE: Okay. It takes
18:29 20	two-thirds of a majority vote to revise the
21	Charter. So we have how many votes?
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I had three against,
23	and ten for is what I counted.
24	MR. PUFFER: I have the definition of
18:30 25	the membership right here.
ŀ	

10.20	•	
18:30	1	MR. RICE:
	2	vote will still excl
	3	voting members of the
	4	BGEN. BARN
18:30	5	RAB membership if
	6	Charter to allow all
	7	community co-Chair.
	8	MR. RICE:
	9	BGEN. BARNI
18:30 1	.0	RAB that want a fo
1	.1	members, I believe, a
1	.2	got to I think, so
1	3	added up to 13.
1	4	MS. HUENI:
18:30 1	5	comment. The regulat
1	6	unique position, I th
1	7	well as at other RABs
1	8	electing the communit
1	9	think, we, as EPA, wo
18:31 2	0	that.
2	1	BGEN. BARNI
2	2	MR. POSNICK
2	з	position.
2	4	MS. PEACE:
10.21 2	_	

18:31 25

MR. RICE: General Barnidge, so this vote will still exclude DOD and regulatories as voting members of the -- is that correct?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I'm asking, does the RAB membership -- if they want to amend the Charter to allow all members to vote for community co-Chair.

MR. RICE: Including DOD?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: All members of the RAB that want -- a formal listing. There's 21 members, I believe, and we've got to -- we've got to -- I think, some people didn't vote. It added up to 13.

MS. HUENI: I'd like to make a comment. The regulators here are in sort of a unique position, I think. In the past here, as well as at other RABs, we have abstained from electing the community co-Chair, and -- and I think, we, as EPA, would like to continue to do that.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay.

MR. POSNICK: TNRCC has the same osition.

MS. PEACE: According to the Charter it takes two-thirds to amend the Charter and

24

18:32 25

that vote did not --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Then we reduce it by the number of at least these two -- the number that it takes --

MR. MIXON: May I address that?

That's not right. If we're going to amend the Charter, in all fairness to those folks that are voting, you need to know that you -- you're going to amend the Charter before you come here. You need to have some kind of notice that we're going to actually undertake such a major thing. Having said that, I don't know that the vote that we took is legal.

MS. PEACE: I gotcha.

MR. MIXON: Now, having said that, I don't know that what I'm being told is, that as a RAB Board member I now can't vote as a RAB Board member because I'm not either -- or someone else may or may not be a community or a non-community member. So that disturbs me quite a bit, that now we're being told "you've served here, you're a Restoration Advisory Board member, but now you can't vote."

MS. PEACE: Frankly, Mr. Mixon, I would assume that you would be representing the

But I

That all

18:32 1 community --2 MR. MIXON: I am representing the 3 community, and some of those folks that can't 4 vote is on the -- is in the community. 18:32 5 exactly my point, the regulatory community or 6 any other part of the community. 7 MS. PEACE: I think, that the 8 regulators that we're all talking about, TNRCC 9 and EPA, are not local. 18:32 10 MR. MIXON: I understand that. 11 am voicing my concern for those positions that 12 are now being told you can't vote. I'm not 13 speaking specifically for myself. 14 MS. PEACE: Okay. 18:32 15 MR. MIXON: I know my position. 16 BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, we have a 17 dilemma. 18 My position is: DR. LENE: 19 members of the RAB Board are members of the 18:33 20 community and represent the community. And if 21 we want to exclude the DOD as not being part of 22 that, then that's okay. But I'm saying that 23 all -- But all the rest of us are members of 24 the community and represent the community.

18:33 25

MR. MIXON: When -- When we started

18:33 1 out there were several DOD members on the RAB. 2 Do we still have DOD members other than the 3 co-Chair -- chairman? MR. RICE: Besides the General, we 18:33 5 currently have three people who are either in 6 the Air Force or work for the Air Force. 7 MR. PUFFER: The Charter states that 8 government agencies, community groups, citizens, and special interest groups may be 18:33 10 nominated to the Restoration Advisory Board. 11 The definition of a "member" is also in here, 12 so I think that answers our question on that. 13 MR. HAGELTHORN: Go ahead and read 14 the definition. 18:34 15 MR. PUFFER: The definition of -- The 16 individual community member must reside in 17 Bexar County or currently work or live on Kelly 18 Air Force Base. So that -- combined with what 19 I just read, that describes what we are as a 18:34 20 RAB, and "government agency" is in the Charter, 21 as well, so that includes DOD. 22 MS. PEACE: So technically all 23 members should be eligible to vote as members 24 of the RAB. 18:34 25

> KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX

MR. PUFFER: Everyone as a voting

18:34	1	member.
	2	MR. RICE: I I disagree with that
	3	interpretation, because the Charter
	4	specifically refers to Air Force, other things,
18:34	5	and community members.
	6	MS. PEACE: Can I please make a
	7	suggestion here. Can we take nominations
	8	first, because if we only have one person
	9	nominated this is kind of a moot point. Is
18:34	10	that
	11	MR. QUINTANILLA: I agree with that,
	12	and I would like to enter a nomination.
	13	MS. PEACE: Okay.
	14	MR. QUINTANILLA: I would like to
18:34	15	enter Mr. Damian Sandoval for the community
	16	co-Chair. Mr. Damian Sandoval for the
	17	community co-Chair.
	18	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, we'll do
	19	we'll collect the nominations, but we have to
18:35	20	come to closure before we do any voting on
	21	on on this other.
	22	MR. RICE: May I make a suggestion.
	23	My opinion on who a community member is, is all
	24	members of the RAB who are not members of
18:35	25	regulatory agencies and who are not DOD
	Į	

18:35 1	employees.
2	MR. HAGELTHORN: That goes against
3	the Charter then, and we can't change the
4	Charter.
18:35 5	MR. PUFFER: That goes against the
6	Charter.
7	MR. RICE: You gave me the definition
8	of a RAB member not of a community member.
9	MR. PUFFER: A community member is
18:35 10	anyone who resides inside Bexar County. I live
11	and reside within Bexar County.
12	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. I'll tell you
13	what do, hold the mic close to you and read it
14	out read the words out of the Charter one
18:35 15	more time, and then we're going to press on.
16	MR. PUFFER: I'm going to read
17	"government agencies, community groups,
18	citizens, and special interest groups may be
19	nominated to the Restoration Advisory Board.
18:36 20	Those are the members who can be elected to the
21	Restoration Advisory Board as a member."
22	The definition of a member as per your
23	Charter let me find it here is "an
24	individual community member must reside in
18:36 25	Bexar County or currently work or live on Kelly

18:36	1
	2
	3
	4
18:36	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
18:36	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
18:36	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:37	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
18:37	25

Air Force Base." So that includes everybody.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: That includes

everybody here.

MR. PUFFER: We are a government agency, we do work and live on Kelly Air Force Base, and we are part of the community, and otherwise, this would contradict itself.

MR. MIXON: Thank you. We were told, and I think the attorney behind me said that apparently this Board voted some time back that the community co-Chair could only be elected by community members. Now, could we find that in the Charter where that explains that.

MS. KELLY: That isn't in the Charter. Let's see, we're flipping around here. 5B in the Charter, "community co-Chair will be selected by a majority vote of the RAB community members."

MS. PEACE: But does it say who those members are?

MS. KELLY: It does not specify. And I think, as it has been pointed out, there is a little bit of inconsistency. It isn't real clear who the community members are. I would suggest that a reasonable interpretation would

	il il	
18:37	1	be all the RAB members except the ex-officio
	2	DOD members, which would be General Barnidge,
	3	and the agency members who are themselves kind
	4	of ex-officio. Other DOD employees who are
18:37	5	here, because they live on base, would be
	6	included in the sphere of the definition of
	7	MS. PEACE: You mean all agencies
	8	state and local?
	9	MS. KELLY: No. No. Only regulatory
18:38	10	agencies. That would be TNRCC and EPA. And
	11	that is, I think, consistent with what has been
	12	followed up to this point.
	13	MS. PEACE: So can somebody make a
	14	motion on that?
18:38	15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. We need a
	16	motion that we agree with her.
	17	MR. MIXON: So moved.
	18	MR. ROBERSON: I second.
	19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All in favor,
18:38	20	right?
:	21	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
:	22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Very good. Okay.
:	23	Now, let's get back to the Opposed?
:	24	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
18:38	25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: One opposed. The

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

18:38	1	
10:30	_	motion carries. Okay. Let's get back to the
	2	business at hand, and that is nominations for
	3	community co-Chair. The floor is open.
	4	Mr. Quintanilla?
18:38	5	MR. QUINTANILLA: I nominate
	6	Mr. Sandoval.
	7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Mr. Sandoval, do you
	8	accept his nomination?
	9	MR. SANDOVAL: I accept the
18:38	10	nomination.
	11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. That's one.
	12	MR. MIXON: General, do I understand
	13	you have to be nominated or could you just
	14	throw your hat in the ring and
	15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: You can throw your
	16	hat in the ring.
	17	MR. MIXON: Then I would like to be
	18	considered as a community co-Chair.
	19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Mr. Carl Mixon as a
18:38	20	nomination too.
	21	MR. HAGELTHORN: That was my
	22	nomination.
	23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: And that's been
	24	seconded. Okay.
18:39	25	MR. PERSON: I second.

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

1	MR. HAGELTHORN: You third.
2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Any others, please?
3	No others. Okay. We have Mr. Sandoval
4	_
18:39 5	and Mr. Mixon as nominees for the community
	co-Chair.
6	Now, I would like the RAB membership to
7	decide whether they want to do it in open vote
8	or with a closed ballot. All in favor of open
9	vote, raise your hand.
10	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. All in favor
12	of a closed ballot, raise your hand.
13	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
14	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. The motion
18:39 15	carries. It will be a closed ballot. You got
16	to find your own ballot, Folks. It's somewhere
17	in your stuff.
18	MR. CRIST: If you'll look in your
19	packages, there's a roster that just has a name
18:39 20	and address of all the members.
21	MS. PEACE: That's the ballot?
22	MR. CRIST: That's the ballot. No.
23	Not that one, that has the phone numbers.
24	There's another one in the back of the
18:40 25	right-hand side. It's this one right here; it

	_	
18:40		has one
	2	you're v
	3	
	4	
18:40	5	Everyboo
	6	what you
	7	looking
	8	only two
	9	Oh,
18:40	10	y'all wa
•	11	Anybody
·	12	about it
•	13	you want
	14	· ·
18:40	15	reverse
	16	
	17	guy; I d
	18	·
	19	thank y'
18:41	20	the Rest
	21	Force Ba
	22	of '97,
	23	things i
	24	Pre

18:41 25

has one column. And you can circle the person you're voting for.

MS. PEACE: Here it is. Here it is.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Circle the -Everybody look at this guy if you don't know
what you're looking for. This is what you're
looking for. Circle the one you like. There's
only two choices. Very good.

Oh, I -- I forgot the three minutes. Hey y'all want to make speeches, three minutes.

Anybody want to make a speech? I forgot all about it. You were first nominee, Damian, do you want to say something?

MR. QUINTANILLA: Usually, it's in reverse order, but that's --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. But I'm a new guy; I don't know that. Go ahead.

MR. SANDOVAL: I'd first like to thank y'all for allowing me to participate in the Restoration Advisory Board at Kelly Air Force Base. I've been a member since October of '97, and I think we've done a lot of good things in the last three or four months.

Presently, I'm the chairperson of the Technical Review Subcommittee for Kelly Air

18:42 25

Force Base. We have established protocols, and we've actually made progress on making comments on documents that are remitted not only affecting base cleanup but also affecting local community members.

Just a quick background on myself. I got back to San Antonio from California. In California I was working as a consultant to base restoration -- to the Base Restoration & Closure Commission, and I actually participated in closures of a couple of bases. One was Presidio of San Francisco, the second one was in Nevada, California. So I have been on both sides. I've been both on the regulatory side and also on the community side.

Air Force Base. I have grown up here, so I've seen Kelly in its good times and involved in its bad times. I think, with the new leadership and the participation of the entire Board, as it stands, it will lead us in a good direction and to good positive community relations, and a very good successful cleanup program here at Kelly. Thank you.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Good. Thank you

23

24

18:43 25

very much. And that only took two minutes. Very good. Mr. Mixon, over to you.

MR. MIXON: Well, if I'm going to lose at -- the -- Damian is an excellent guy to lose to. So win, lose, or draw, you're a nice gentleman to be in competition with.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I'm going to give you my Teddy Roosevelt speech here if you keep talking like that.

MR. MIXON: I have served on the RAB Board since its inception, and I've seen a lot of faces come and go. I've enjoyed a lot of the meetings and also the consternation in some of the meetings. I think I could add to the -- to the meetings and also the -- the community link that the Restoration Advisory Board really needs to explore more. And really that's the purpose of the community co-Chair is to bring the message of the Restoration Advisory Board, and the restoration work that's being done, to the community. And I would like an opportunity to -- to explore several ways of doing that.

The other thing is, I have served my community for a number of years as Chairman of the Local Emergency Planning Committee. The

18:44 25

Local Emergency Planning Committee is a committee that deals specifically with hazardous materials. The Local Emergency Planning Committee for Bexar County includes all the Bexar County -- not incorporated Bexar County and all the 24 cities within Bexar County. So by being the chairman of this committee, for these number of years, I've had the opportunity to work with a number of professionals, and also community groups and community members, in dealing with hazardous materials for an extended period of time. In fact, I've been the chairman of that Committee since 1988.

I also have had experience with the military. I've served in the Navy, worked at Lackland Air Force Base when I was a young fire fighter and fire inspector, and worked at Ft. Sam Houston. I've seen a lot of things come and go as far as how we handle hazardous materials; I can speak to how we used to do it and how we should be doing it. And so I had that experience, and I think that I can add to that also.

And also as -- as -- my official job as

18:44 1	County Fire Marshal and County Emergency
2	Management Coordinator how my community goes is
3	very important and critical to me.
4	And having said that, I would finish and
18:44 5	say that if you vote for me or you vote for
6	Damian you're voting for two good folks one way
7	or the other. Good luck to you, Damian.
8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Very good. And two
9	minutes forty-three seconds. Not bad. Okay.
18:45 10	Now, we'll get to the ballot. If you will,
11	Ms. Johnson We have no Ms. Johnson.
12	MR. JOHNSON: I'm her alternate.
13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: You're her
14	alternate? Okay. I was told Ms. Johnson would
18:45 15	help collect the ballots and count them. We
16	need somebody to help count the ballots along
17	with one of the staff here.
18	Ms. PEACE: David will.
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: David. Okay.
18:45 20	Michael, you going to go around and collect the
21	ballots. Everybody ready? Just go collect the
22	ballots, and then y'all go count them.
23	Let's see, did we get all ballots?
24	Everybody who was voting got their ballot in?
18:46 25	Okay. Real fine. What I'm going to do

18:47 25

then is while they're counting that -- because that won't impact the conduct of this meeting, because Annalisa and I will be teamed up for -- for the rest of this one, for sure. Let's --

The next agenda item was the Cleanup

Program Budget. Again, this was omitted off

the last -- or off the agenda that was mailed

out. I will tell you that two information

sheets was included in there: Groundwater

Sampling Budget, Remediation versus Study

Projects. I will invite any comments about

those -- those documents. That was -- As I was

told, that was the effort that was -- was

brought forth to answer the question. So in

a -- the floor is open for any comments about

those two documents that were presented.

MR. QUINTANILLA: On the percentages for the cleanup cost is that the 65 percent for cleanup and the balance, 35 percent, for management studies; is this in line with the other bases?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I'd like to ask our regulators based on what they've seen. Any thought on that?

MS. HUENI: I'm sorry. Would you

18:47	1
	2
	3
	4
18:47	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
18:47	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
18:48	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:48	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

18:48 25

repeat the question.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: The studies show 65 percent for actual cleanup versus 35 percent for studies and management combined. And before, I think, I -- I've noticed that too. Comments?

MR. POSNICK: Yes. Alan Posnick for TNRCC. I know in the past most of the funding that I have seen from the Department of Defense was heavily weighted towards the study phase. And several years ago I know Congress mandated that DOD spend 80 percent towards cleanup, I believe, and only 20 percent towards study. So there's been a big push by Congress to shift from study to cleanup.

And I know there's been a lot of internal dialogue that that may not be the smart way to go, but I would say, that the ratio there is -- is -- I don't know what the curve training is right now, but it is quite different from what I have seen in the past where most of it was towards investigation.

MS. HUENI: And I think I would like to make a comment also. Particularly, BRAC closing bases is an expedited process, so there

18:48 1 is an emphasis on cleaning up as opposed to 2 studying, you know. We have a certain time 3 frame that we have to address also for -- you 4 know, for transfer property. And I think that 18:48 5 we -- I think, in particular, too, that Kelly 6 has -- has really made a lot of progress with, 7 you know, getting interim systems in for 8 groundwater remediations. A lot of those will 9 be optimized and going into final remedy. 18:49 10 lot of those are already in place for -- as I 11 understand, too, for soil cleanup. 12 Force is obligated to do a lot of soil removals 13 as opposed to on-site treatment. So again, 14 that is a -- you know, a positive that will 18:49 15 expedite the process. 16 BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. The only 17 other --18 MR. QUINTANILLA: My concern for 19 asking this question is: Will there be enough 18:49 20 money to clean it up since the BRAC cleanup 21 funds end in 2001, unless --22 BGEN. BARNIDGE: No, sir. 23 MR. QUINTANILLA: -- the Congress 24 comes up with additional? Right now, from what 18:49 25 I'm reading, there's a 3.3 billion dollar short

18:50 25

fall. Where do we fit in this short fall? BGEN. BARNIDGE: There's two issues that I can say confidently, both from past experience as well as what I know to be the history and statutory requirements here. Number One, the Air Force has to maintain responsibilities for cleanup actions for all of those things that are deemed Air Force responsibility. The -- That certainly invites a lot of debate or will make people think that it'll invite a lot of debate, but regardless, statutorily, the Air Force is responsible for those things that is -- that is its responsibility. Number Two, is this -- this always catches my eye, too, quick to note, the thought of how much are we studying versus how much we're actually doing. And at -- in this phase as -- And the regulators can confirm or deny this. But as I have studied programs that have progressed along, early on you always get more study than you do toward the tail end of the thing. And we -- it's my sense and -- And y'all know better than I do, it's my sense, though, that we're kind of in the mid -- in the mid phase with this one. We're -- We're

getting past	1	18:50
phase and we	2	
remediation p	3	
at least in m	4	
are. I would	5	18:51
staff at Kell	6	
if they want.	7	
MR.	8	
comment. The	9	
in thousands	10	18:51
MR.	11	
millions.	12	
MR.	13	
millions, but	14	
says the clea	15	18:51
then you add	16	
numbers.	17	
BGE	18	
Any other com	19	
MR.	20	18:51
statement. M	21	
would like to	22	
we had a prog	23	
very quickly	24	
we hope to be	25	18:51

phase and we're approaching that heavy remediation phase. But that's the reason why, at least in my mind, I see the numbers as they are. I would like to invite the environmental staff at Kelly to make any comments about that, if they want.

MR. JACOBI: If I could make a quick comment. These -- These numbers, I think, are in thousands of dollars, so that --

MR. QUINTANILLA: They're in millions.

MR. JACOBI: Well, the total is in millions, but if you look at the numbers it says the cleanup for 1995 was \$6,440,000, and then you add three zeros to any of those numbers.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Any other comments?

Any other comments from the Kelly staff?

MR. BAILEY: If I could make a statement. My name is Larry Bailey, and I would like to make this comment. Specifically, we had a program that's put together so that very quickly over the next two to three years we hope to be in a position to where we have

18:53 25

installed all the final remedial actions where needed. So that's why, as you look at those figures, we are progressively moving to that point. There are some additional studies should something be found, so there's money that has to be spent there. But as you'll notice, the vast majority of the funds are going to the cleanup phase.

MR. ROBERSON: Larry, before you leave, I think, Armando was concerned that the BRAC -- the money for cleanup for BRAC will end in 2001, could you comment on that?

there is some information out right now stating that the -- there is a potential for the Air Force Base Conversion Agency not to be around to actually work with a BRAC budget. We have been informed that because we plan on getting our remediation action in place by the end of the year 2,000 we will be in very good shape for the remainder of that time, so -- I can't speak for the United States Congress, but I can say that the information that we have put together as a plan, and the plan goes all the way through the year 2030, and that plan has

18:53	1
	2
	3
	4
18:53	5
•	6
	7
	8
	9
18:53	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
18:53	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:53	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
10-51	

18:54 25

been forwarded up to the people in Washington, and they know what we're asking for. So, specifically, I can't answer for the United States Congress. I can say that we've planned, and that we're in a very good position to spend that money as we have shown already in the overall execution plan.

MR. SANDOVAL: Larry, I have a question. Do we have interim remedial action for the long term? Remedial action for the long-term phase monitoring is associated with that. Have -- Have those issues been addressed and been funded as yet?

MR. BAILEY: Well, there are -- we have some of the interim systems that are in place. We have twelve interim systems in place on the base. For those people who are not aware of -- "Interim" means that we have already put in a cleanup system somewhere on the base -- on the base boundaries or further into the base to -- to contain the overall types of contamination.

What we've also done is to plan a -- Well, we've already received money to monitor those particular -- and to maintain those interim

18:54	1
	2
	3
	4
18:54	5
	6
	7
	8
4	9
18:54	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
18:54	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
18:54	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
10.55	2 -

18:55 25

systems which are in place. That goes out for the most part to the year 2,000 right now, so we've received funding through that. We've already planned and programed from the year about 2014 through the year 2030, which is our long-term monitoring time. So we believe the systems should reach their goals before that. So we have planned for a time period when we have to monitor the system's efficiency.

MR. SANDOVAL: Just a quick follow-up to that. Is there any documents or source of documents where we have identified the interim actions already?

MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir. We have handed that out at the RAB meetings. If you have not gotten yours because you have been on board a short time we can provide -- we have the handout, and we can get that to you.

MR. SANDOVAL: Thank you.

MR. RICE: Larry, I know from my experience at these meetings and the operations with the Air Force, but the Air Force requires now that all installations come up with a cost-of-complete number. How much will it cost to have it fundamentally completed, and -- but

18:56 25

I think there are two reasons. One question is, with the budget number whether or not you've been given the funding -- guarantee on funding, is -- is the first question. And I -- I know that I was speaking to someone from the Air Force headquarters who informed me that it was important that these cleanup areas get in place by 2,000, because the Air Force has the money in place for Kelly to get them in. If -- If those milestones are not met, then the money may not be there. So I -- I know he was stressing to me how important it is that this cleanup progress accurately because that money could get taken away.

MR. QUINTANILLA: One final question. Those moneys that are available for on-site and off-site; is that correct? Enough funds are available to clean up our neighborhoods?

MR. BAILEY: The moneys that we planned for are to take care of the final cleanup actions, yes, all the cleanup.

MR. QUINTANILLA: Now, these cleanups that are going to happen are going to be for the highest most practical levels, this is what

18:57 25

we were promised way back in 1990.

MR. BAILEY: The standards established by the regulators. That's the way it's always been. And the regulators will define the standards for us.

MR. QUINTANILLA: But let -- let me go ahead and just say, in 1993 the objective developed for cleanup was consistent with the plan reuse, and currently because of the allocations of the dollars -- the cleanup dollars we're favoring reuse plans that are consistent with lower cleanup costs. It's not the same as it was for the --

going to -- I'm going to cut him off for a second here and say that -- that it's -- it's very clear and very well known that we're going to clear it up to the degree that we're -- that we -- that the government is going to clean it up to the degree that is required of the Air Force. And that -- that degree comes from the regulators who judge the -- the level that -- that we have to clean this thing up to. We can't answer that question here, is the point.

	11	
18:57	1	MR. QUINTANILLA: In other words, you
	2	don't foresee any dirty land transfers; the
	3	property will be cleaned when we turn it over
	4	to Mr. Roberson?
18:57	5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I I have been
	6	told more more times than than any of us
	7	wish to know that that no transfer can
	8	happen until, and the phrase was used "clean
	9	closed." And And the definition of "clean"
18:57	10	is, of course, what you're getting at, and
•	11	that's determined by regulators that that we
	12	don't We're not setting the standards. But
:	13	I can guarantee that we they're not going to
	14	let us turn it loose till till they're
18:57	15	satisfied.
1	16	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's great.
1	17	That's great. Right now I'm looking at the
1	18	little pamphlet of cleanup systems from closed
1	19	sites, were these sites closed based on risk
18:57 2	20	assessments or how what was the criteria
2	21	used?
2	22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I don't know the
2	23	answer to that.
2	24	MR. BAILEY: We have three criteria
18:58 2	25	that we've outlined. And those out and that
	ll l	

18:58 1	is The first and foremost is, is there
2	contamination leaving the base? We want to go
3	after those particular areas first our
4	priority was after those.
18:58 5	MR. QUINTANILLA: No. But these
6	sites that are already closed, what was the
7	criteria used to close them? Risk assessment
8	or or what
9	MR. BAILEY: Well, there was
18:58 10	assessments done for all sites on the base,
11	yes, sir.
12	MR. QUINTANILLA: And these that were
13	closed, what was it based on?
14	MR. BAILEY: Well, some of that
18:58 15	MR. QUINTANILLA: Did they clean it
16	up or
17	MR. BAILEY: Some of that is because
18	of the state standard that allows us to close
19	it without doing any formal cleanup that is the
18:58 20	documentations all needed to be done. It was
21	not a matter of going out and doing extensive
22	cleanup like we're currently doing in some of
23	these zoned areas.
24	MR. QUINTANILLA: In other words,
18:58 25	they weren't dirty and you could close them up.

MR. BAILEY: Some were not dirty, some were. But most of them were not dirty as we see regarding the other off-base contamination and on those other contaminated areas.

MR. POSNICK: Yes. These installations they choose -- from our risk reduction, you know, they choose the cleanup they want to attain and -- and they notify us of what standard they want to attain, and then they're required to -- to fulfill the requirement for that standard and re-evaluate.

Under Standards One and Two do not require to do -- for the base on risk assessment to (inaudible) determine you have a small amount of contamination you're going to remove it, dispose of it off site, and take verification samples. We review it so something like that wouldn't be a risk assessment. If they want to leave a land fill in place, that would definitely require a risk assessment.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Good. Thank y'all very much. Good discussion. Good discussion. Okay. With that, I think, we're ready to move to the Storm Water Project

19:00	1
	2
	3
	4
19:00	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:00	10
	11
	12
	13
-	14
19:00	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:00	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:01 25

report. We have a gentleman in the audience, he's standing up, I bet it's Mr. German.

MR. GERMAN: Yes. Can I speak over here?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: How are you?

MR. GERMAN: I'm John German,

Director of Public Works for the City. Can you hear me? I'll be assisted in this presentation with -- by Gabriel Perez, who is the Capital Programs Manager for the City, and by David Newman who is Environmental Services Manager for the City.

We -- We primarily want to talk about, what we call, the Quintana Road Project, and you have labeled here as the Storm Water Project. It's a project that started out in -- in the late 1980s. It was originally funded in an '85 bond election held by the City, that began work in the late 1980s. And in the process of doing the construction, mainly laying a new storm drain, they found petroleum products in the excavated areas. After considerable study they -- they realized that they needed to stop the project, they did that, kind of filled back the hole, and began to

19:02 25

think about how we deal with the -- with the problems. There was a lot of discussions between the City and Kelly Air Force Base officials and DOD officials, over quite a period of time actually, coming up with ideas and ways to resolve the problem.

We began in -- I think, in '93, maybe it was '94, I lose track of time, but the process begining the design of new -- really designed the same project, but in a new location. And we decided not to follow the Quintana Road alignment, but instead to follow Bynum from Quintana Road down to McLoughlin, and then to take McLoughlin across back to Quintana Road. So that's been the design that we've been working on for a while.

In that process the Air Force DOD agreed to join in with us in that pro -- in that project, provide funding that would certainly recognize the additional length and the additional efforts that we would have to go to to -- to build the project, and also to deal with the environmental situation as we build the project. And so we have support -- we have evidence of financial support from the DOD in

19:03 25

that regard.

I'd like -- We're about ready now to begin the process of taking bids and beginning construction on that project. And I'd like first for Gabriel Perez to give you a little overview about that project, and then for David Newman to talk a little bit about the environmental aspects of the project, and then all three of us will be available to answer questions after that occurs. Gabriel.

MR. PEREZ: Thanks, John. Mr. German said my name is Gabriel Perez, I'm the Capital Programs Manager for the City of San Antonio. I hope by now, it's definitely a little bit late, we've passed out kind of a three-page handout. The first page basically gives you kind of a synopsis, what we call, the status for this project relates to being our Capital Improvement Project. Page 2 actually gives a map of the scope of general areas that's going to be constructed, and then Page 3 basically gives, what I would call, a cross section of actual construction, excavation, which will probably be useful for David Newman's conversation or presentation.

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

19:03	1
	2
	3
	4
19:03	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:04	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:04	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:04	20
	21
	22
	23

19:04 25

I'll go briefly into my status report on Page 1, and kind of talk about the project itself and what our time lines are. And as you probably -- you can assess, the limits of the project are on the attached map, which is Page 2.

Our current estimate for this overall project is approximately 9.3 million dollars which includes all the construction of the streets on the map, as well as all the environmental controls that are being put in as part of the underground drainage system, which we've been working with the Department of Defense, slash, Kelly Air Force Base.

There are engineering fees associated with this project in the process of \$700,000. Our funding source currently, as Mr. German said a minute ago, is our City of San Antonio bond, I believe, in both '85 and '94 Geo bonds, as well as commitments from the Air Force and Department of Defense.

Currently, our plan is to advertise for bids for the project. Let's just say we'll do advertising in the normal publications that we do advertise for bids beginning sometime in

19:05 25

February of 1998. We are mandated to run our advertisement for bids for about thirty days, which says that we will open our bids in March of '98. And following -- Part of our agreement with the Air Force, the -- the folks over at Kelly, is basically provide them an opportunity to review all bids that do come in. We'll sit down together and meet with them, but barring any delays we anticipate going to City Council to award the contract sometime in April of 1998, and hopefully begin construction in June of 1998, summer of 1998.

Let me mention right now, as I said, you know, we're going to have Code review on this effort, and we don't foresee any problems. I will share with you that we have in the past come across projects of this magnitude where we've received good bids. In the event all the bids are over, obviously, we'll have to sit down with the Department of Defense and ourselves and kind of discuss where we're headed to. But at this time we don't see that sort of problem.

One of the things I will say for those folks that are here, that are from the

19:06 25

neighborhood, part of our process is that the City of San Antonio Public Works has had meetings out in the area with the neighbors. I know for a fact that Patricia Moelter, Capital Projects Officer assigned to this project, a lot of you here know her -- She will actually come out to the neighborhood again and set up maybe one or two more meetings before this entire construction process begins.

Now, at those meetings she will have actual sets of plans, she will address the citizen in the area, the different safety precautions that we're taking, along with the front of houses, how we will continue delivery services, including trash pickup, mail, things of that nature. So unless you want to hear more details tonight I know Patricia Moelter will address those with the citizens that are being directly impacted by that project at a later meeting.

As it says on the sheet our estimated construction duration can run anywhere from 18 to 24 months. It's a very large project. Estimated completion of the project would run from October of '99 up to June of the year

19:07 25

2000.

Some of the issues that I mentioned a minute ago on construction deal with schedule, basically phasing of the work, and we've worked quite diligently with the engineers and also citizen representation to determine the best phasing of this project.

If anything, one of the benefits of this project is that all the utility companies have joint bids with us, and basically what we'll be doing is we'll be constructing along this project, and we'll be also addressing -- Bexar Met has the water in this area, and this is one of the first times that CPS will allow someone to bid their gas relocations, so we won't have construction -- you know, come in and do this first, and then come back again with some other company and -- kind of a three-fold approach. We'll basically be doing it under one contract, and we'll take care of the entire process.

That basically benefits the citizens of the area, that expedites the process. It also benefits the actual project from any issues of environmental contamination or any other environmental issues that exist out there.

19:07	1
	2
	3
	4
19:07	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:07	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:08	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:08	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
19:08	25

And with that, that's kind of my introduction to David Newman who will basically address any environmental issues and kind of describe the different aspects.

MR. NEWMAN: Thanks, Gabriel. For the City, basically we have two environmental issues. One is the contaminated groundwater, which for that what is proposed in this thing is -- what's going to happen is we're going to install -- we're going to have the contractor install a temporary above ground line that will be placed at the project over -- it will run over Kelly Air Force Base. It will be -- It will take contaminated groundwater to the water treatment plant there. This line is basically a two edge PVC line, it will be an above ground temporary -- except at road crosses it will be above ground for obvious reasons.

The other issue is that the soils below the water bearing status, below the water table which -- which could potentially be contaminated from the groundwater, those will be characterized and disposed of properly. We're looking at about 30,000 cubic yards. This soil will be excavated as the project

19:08	1
	2
	3
	4
19:08	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:08	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:09	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:09	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
10.00	

19:09 25

progresses. That soil will be hauled to Kelly Air Force Base, I believe, it's Building 545, in very small trucks actually, 5 cubic yard trucks. They will be covered, they will be lined, they will -- you know, this is in an effort to keep them from leaking or spilling anything along the route.

Once it gets to -- to Kelly Air Force Base it will be characterized for disposal. We estimate that this is -- this more than likely is going to be Class II Nonhazardous Waste, so it will be able to go to a Type I Municipal Solid Waste Landfill.

From our environmental assessments the surface soils, the soils above the water table, are not contaminated. If they -- if -- if they become -- If we find out later that they are contaminated we'll deal with that at that time.

Health and safety issues, we will have an environmental consultant for this project.

We'll also have a building construction inspector overseeing this project, overseeing the whole thing. We understand that Kelly Air Force Base will have one as well. The

19:09	1
	2
	3
	4
19:09	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:10	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:10	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:10	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
19:10	25

specifications require a health and safety plan and a quality assurance plan. The contractor will have to prepare this, submit that to the City and to Kelly Air Force Base for approval. These plans will have to address air monitoring for safety engineering controls, things of that nature.

Additionally, the specs also have quite a bit of language about -- about the contaminated soils and things like that. So there are spill prevention plans, soil management plans, and things of that nature that the contractor will have to take into consideration during this project.

And with that, if you have any questions, please feel free to ask them.

MR. GERMAN: On the third page of your handout there is just kind of a cross section of the road with the storm -- storm drain characterized as the big box down in the middle of the picture. You'll notice that the bottom of that is often times as much as 30 feet below ground, so there will be quite a bit of digging as we do the project. Once the storm water is -- the storm drain is finished,

then certainly other utilities will be finished. The street will ultimately be rebuilt with sidewalks and curbs added and all the -- all the appropriate driveway connections, etcetera, so that when it's done it will be an asset to the neighbors who live along these streets where the project will go.

So at this point, I think, that's a good overview, and we'll attempt to answer any questions that you may have.

MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. German, going down McLoughlin, you finished about 8 years ago, you did a complete drainage program, put in sidewalks, drainage, curbing, and the whole thing. Is there an option to that, so that you won't have to redo what you've already done with the CDBT grant moneys?

MR. GERMAN: Well, it's unfortunate but we do have to undo that. But we looked at several options, that's the best option for us, the best alignment, and the best grade opportunity to keep the storm water moving. And that's what we want to do is eventually get -- get it out of this area. We have selected that street, and we understand that we

19:12	1
	2
	3
	4
19:12	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:12	10
	11
	12
, *	13
	14
19:12	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:12	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:13 25

are tearing up some improvements that have been there maybe just a few years, but when we get done it will look better even than it does today, so we'll certainly be treating those property owners fair.

MR. QUINTANILLA: We hope so. We've been waiting a long time for the drainage project in this particular area; however, we have one other concern. You are also putting in a containment in there that will contain the contaminated groundwater from going further; is that correct, for the Air Force, and the seven and a half million out of that total nine million?

MR. GERMAN: We originally designed it thinking that most of the contamination was close to the base from the project, and -- and then have realized in recent times that that contamination may be further even beyond our project. Perhaps David can address the exact design feature of the environmental aspects.

MR. NEWMAN: You're right. There is going to be a synthetic liner, I believe it's constructed of HTPE, that will be in front of this culvert. The culvert itself is made out

19:13 1 of concrete so it will act as a barrier as 2 The back fill on top of this culvert --3 Let me back up just a bit. This culvert is 4 going to be keyed into Navarro Clay which is a 19:13 5 confining layer. So on top of this -- this 6 culvert will be impacted clay. I believe, the 7 specification is -- is to a permeability of less than ten to minus seven centimeters per 8 9 second, which is pretty low. So there should 19:13 10 be very little, if any, groundwater that gets 11 past this thing. 12 MR. QUINTANILLA: Very good. My next 13 question is, since this is -- involves cleanup 14 and cleanup dollars from Kelly Air Force Base 19:13 15 why hasn't Kelly Air Force Base applied for a 16 permit or the City of San Antonio applied for a 17 permit for this from TNRCC? MR. NEWMAN: We -- We've spoken about 18 19 the -- the permit before, and I'm -- I'm -- you 19:13 20 know, I think, that Parker has talked about it, 21 Mr. Beyer has, and at this point in time, there 22 is no permit that's required for, you know, the 23 project that we're doing --24 MR. QUINTANILLA: Why? Isn't this 19:14 25 cleanup?

19:14 1	MR. NEWMAN: from the TNRCC.	
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: And I'm asking the	
3	question of Kelly Air Force Base, isn't this	
4	cleanup funds that are being used for this, why	
19:14 5	isn't there a permit required?	
6	MR. GERMAN: Well, it's really not	
7	characterized as cleanup. And certainly Larry	
8	and others can speak to that, but what we're	
9	going to do is dig a hole and put in a storm	
19:14 10	drain and rebuild a street. Any contaminated	
11	materials that's in that excavation we'll have	
12	to deal with in an appropriate manner. If	
13	there's any kind of contamination in any of	
14	those soils we'll have to have them tested,	
19:14 15	evaluated, and ultimately disposed of	
16	properly. But we're not going beyond the	
17	limits of our construction in doing other	
18	cleanup, so I don't really think we can	
19	characterize it as a cleanup that way.	
19:14 20	MR. QUINTANILLA: If it's not cleanup	
21	then we cannot use federal funds for that from	
22	Kelly.	
23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I think, the	
24	MR. GERMAN: I think we are because	
19:15 25	of whereever we dig there are there will be	
	·	

19:15	1
	2
	3
	4
19:15	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:15	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:15	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:16	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:16 25

some contamination that we have to deal with.

We're going to clean up that space where we

dig, but we're not going beyond the limits of

our project.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: TNRCC?

MR. POSNICK: Yeah. We review what (inaudible) the excavating contaminated soils that's -- they're generating soils. It's not -- that's very distinct from -- A permit is required when you're doing treatment, storage or disposal. In this case, generation of waste is not -- does not necessarily require a permit. And the -- the soils -- It's my understanding the soils are going to be considered Kelly's waste, and they will take responsibility for waste characterization, and disposal to a landfill or whatever, depending on what the waste classification is.

MR. QUINTANILLA: I still have a question on the permit, you know, since contaminated soil is going to be taken to Kelly.

MR. BAILEY: Yeah. If the soil is taken to Kelly, and if it's stored -- it depends on the waste determination by Kelly

19:16 1	conclusions. If it's nonhazardous then a		
2	permit is not required. If it's hazardous it		
3	still may not be required if it's disposed of		
4	within 90 days. If it's stored over 90, and		
19:16 5	it's hazardous, then then a permit would be		
6	the most likely would be required. So it		
7	really depends on (1) how if that waste is		
8	hazardous or not, and (2) how long it's		
9	stored. The volume of the waste is also a		
19:16 10	factor.		
11	MR. QUINTANILLA: Also		
12	MR. NEWMAN: Let me add one more		
13	thing. We already have a a special waste		
14	authorization from the TNRCC to dispose of		
19:17 15	these soils. That's not a permit, but it is a		
16	special waste authorization based on the		
17	information that we have today.		
18	MR. QUINTANILLA: But this is not		
19	cleanup, so how can we be using Air Force funds		
19:17 20	for something that is not cleanup? General?		
21	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I don't know the		
22	answer to that.		
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: Could you find out,		
24	please?		
19:17 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Sure. I Sir,		
1			

19:17 1	hang on just a minute. We had one hand over
2	here, and then one lady back over here.
3	MR. RICE: Yeah. I I have a
4	question about your keying into the Navarro
19:17 5	clay. You know, the the Navarro surface
6	underlings, and I'm sure you know and in
7	this area in some places you may you may
8	cross a portion where the Navarro clay is down
9	maybe as much as 50 feet. Do you then intend
19:17 10	to excavate all the way down to the Navarro and
11	back fill?
12	MR. NEWMAN: I believe so, yes.
13	MR. RICE: Okay. And another
14	question is: How will this will this thing
19:18 15	be laid on a gravel layer or gravel bed? And
16	if so, what will prevent ground water from
17	passing underneath it?
18	MR. NEWMAN: There is a bedding
19	material that the the culvert is placed on.
19:18 20	The The liner which is in front of it will
21	also extend below that that bedding
22	material.
23	MR. RICE: It will sort of have like
24	a curtain on the upgrade side
19:18 25	MR. NEWMAN: That's correct.
11	

19:18 1	MR. RICE: that is keyed into the	
2	Navarro, would that be a way to look at it?	
3	MR. NEWMAN: Yes, sir. I believe,	
4	it's on both sides.	
19:18 5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. We have a	
6	lady back over here.	
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.	
8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Ma'am, you're either	
9	going to have to talk loud or get near a	
10	microphone.	
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm not a committee	
12	member or anything like that, but these guys	
13	are confusing me with the with the word	
14	"cleanup." I understand what y'all are	
19:18 15	talking about, but I don't know what he's	
16	referring to when he says "cleanup." Can you	
17	please explain?	
18	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. I think	
19	the the short answer to the question is	
19:19 20	is Mr. Quintanilla is saying that this	
21	because there may be contaminated soils or	
22	or material removed in the course of this	
23	project, it shouldn't that fall under the	
24	heading of a cleanup project as well, and also,	
19:19 25	as he points out, and I assume he's correct,	

19:19	1	cleanup funds are being used in this deal.		
	2	So he questioned was a permit needed for		
	3	this item, but it's but the term "cleanup"		
	4	just meant, because there is possible		
19:19	5	contaminated soils in this deal shouldn't that		
	6	be called cleanup. Did I do you fair, sir?		
	7	MR. QUINTANILLA: You did me fair.		
	8	The area here has been contaminated by jet fuel		
	9	leaks at Kelly Air Force Base, okay. And I'm		
19:19	10	just asking the question, is this a cleanup		
	11	project for that particular area?		
	12	BGEN. BARNIDGE: And I took, for the		
	13	record For the record, I took the question,		
	14	we need to answer whether we're going to get a		
19:20	15	permit or is a permit required.		
	16	MR. QUINTANILLA: Is this cleanup or		
	17	is this not cleanup, and can we use cleanup		
	18	funds for non-cleanup work?		
	19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I don't know		
19:20	20	whether the I'll answer the latter, I don't		
	21	know about the former and is it cleanup or		
	22	is it not cleanup, because it's apparent to me		
	23	that this is that's a fuzzy answer. But		
	24	I'll tell you whether we're using cleanup funds		
19:20	25	or not, and I'll certainly tell you whether we		
	ļ			

	ll l			
19:20	1	think a permit is required or not. Okay.		
	2	Mr. Mixon?		
	3	MR. MIXON: Yes. The question I		
	4	have, it was mentioned that the contractor is		
19:20	5	going to be required to submit a plan, and then		
	6	that plan will be reviewed by the City and also		
	7	be submitted to Kelly Air Force Base. When		
	8	Kelly gets that plan will it also be submitted		
	9	to the RAB also?		
19:20	10	BGEN. BARNIDGE: For what purpose,		
:	11	sir?		
	12	MR. MIXON: They were referring to		
1	13	the transportation and the method of insuring		
1	14	safety and and control of hazardous		
19:21	15	materials that are going to be removed from the		
1	16	dig site.		
1	17	MR. SANDOVAL: And really		
1	18	specifically the air monitoring plant for that		
1	19	area.		
19:21 2	20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: You got me.		
2	21	MR. GERMAN: It has to be submitted		
2	22	to TNRCC and have their approval, so, you know,		
2	23	if it becomes a public document. Whether it		
2	24	comes to this Board or not, I guess, is up to		
19:21 2	25	the Air Force really to decide.		

19:21 1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Again, once it's a	
2	public document we don't we don't have a	
3	problem with sharing I mean, you shouldn't	
4	have a problem; I certainly don't have a	
19:21 5	problem with sharing public information. I	
6	want to guard against Let's make sure we	
7	stay true to our Charter, though. Our purpose	
8	here is to address the cleanup actions that	
9	relate to Kelly.	
19:21 10	This is related, and I you know, I	
11	certainly I certainly agree with that. So	
12	once we have something to present, let's	
13	let's then get together and we'll talk about	
14	the time then to do that, I mean.	
19:21 15	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's fair.	
16	MR. PEREZ: Let me mention one thing	
17	in regards to the cleanup plan. The document	
18	that the contractor will submit to us, keep in	
19	mind, that's being submitted for review.	
19:22 20	There's no problem turning it out. Keep in	
21	mind, that there are three entities reviewing	
22	that, there's probably going to be some	
23	modifications and corrections there.	
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, we're not	
19:22 25	No. I'm not in the business of giving work in	
	•	

19:22	1
	2
	3
	4
19:22	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
et.	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:22	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:23	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:23 25

progress. When we've got a plan laid out, then by all means, certainly the citizens should see that.

MR. PEREZ: Okay. Fair enough.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Very good.

I'm going to start controlling time here.

Let's go to the gentleman I haven't heard from yet.

MR. JOHNSON: My name is name David
Johnson.

MR. POSNICK: I'd like to make one quick point in the way of this project here that's putting in the liner and then collects the ground water, it is all part of the cleanup of the off site -- the cleanup of the off-site contamination, and that is something that is being covered under the Post Closure Care Permit that is pending for Kelly right now. So in a way this is part of Kelly's cleanup, and -- and it is something that is being -- the public has a chance to comment on the -- through the permit process.

MR. QUINTANILLA: And I most certainly will do that, because the permit process for the Quintana Road Project is not

19:23	1
	2
	3
	4
19:23	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:23	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:23	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:23	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
10-04	۰.

19:24 25

mentioned at all.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Well, we -
I'm not sure whether we've got a permit or not,

so -- but we'll find out. We owe you that

answer. Okay. Over here.

MR. JOHNSON: My name is David
Johnson. I'm Yolanda Johnson's alternate.
There were a couple of questions here that I'm
concerned with, especially since it deals with
cleanup issues and whether or not information
will be exchanged during the construction
phase.

If we're digging up to the depth of

Navarro clays I don't know if there has been a

plan to actually take samples of the Navarro

and to see whether or not -- to calculate what

has been calculated into the Navarro clay soils

can actually be -- actually be looked at and

help in the remediation process.

The other area that concerns us, if you break into the Navarro clay and getting into these particular types of inorganics or volatiles in -- at what range and what is the monitoring supposed to be for that particular area so that we can see whether or not there is

19:24	1	chronic exposure at that particular time?
	2	And I know that that's dealing with the
	3	
		regulatories as well, but I'd be interested to
	4	find out with the City of San Antonio what that
19:24	5	actual contract is going to be and how it's
	6	going to be implemented.
	7	So there's a couple things here. One is
	8	whether or not soil samples would actually
	9	be would Kelly actually go out there, since
19:24	10	they have opportunity, of actually getting into
	11	the Navarro and actually taking a true picture
	12	there versus the the wells and sampling? I
	13	mean, it allows you the chance to get some
	14	actual soil samples other than what has been
19:24	15	done
	16	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. We'll take
	17	that, for the record
	18	MR. JOHNSON: in relation to the
	19	cleanup (inaudible) drainage as well.
19:24	20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: We'll take that, for
	21	the record, as well. That's fair. Okay. Last
	22	question. Damian?
	23	MR. SANDOVAL: I have a couple of
	24	quick questions about the (inaudible)
19:25	25	environmental issues. You've identified a
		·

19:25	1
	2
	3
	4
19:25	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:25	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:25	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:25	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:26 25

ground -- a copy route for the dewatting excavations and a 2-inch PVC pipe across the community -- across Quintana Road into Kelly. Has that route been identified?

MR. NEWMAN: That will actually be addressed in the contractor's plan to us. And I would suspect that this -- this thing would actually move as the work progresses. It's a temporary thing.

MR. SANDOVAL: The second question I had, you identified that the soil above the existing groundwater table is considered clean. With groundwater fluctuating, how are you going to do that on-site assessment based on the technical reading (inaudible) how are you going to make that call?

MR. NEWMAN: There's actually a -- a couple of things. One, I believe, Kelly Air Force Base will be doing some studies on some of the monitoring wells before we actually get to it. Additionally, the -- the consultant will be doing some field training with a pit of ionization detector or flame ionization detector.

MR. SANDOVAL: The last quick

19:26 1	question I had was, you had identified possible
2	new water lines being installed in that area.
3	MR. NEWMAN: I'm sorry. What?
4	MR. SANDOVAL: New water lines. Have
19:26 5	you identified the profiled elevation
6	(inaudible) that area of contaminated material,
7	and if so
8	MR. NEWMAN: No.
9	MR. GERMAN: It's reflected on your
19:26 10	graphic. It's only generally four feet deep,
11	and in an area that we think is not
12	contaminated at this point.
13	MR. SANDOVAL: Okay.
14	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Very good.
19:26 15	MR. WILSON: Parker Wilson here,
16	TNRCC. Perhaps at the risk of adding confusion
17	to other confusion maybe I can clear things up
18	a little bit. This is sort of difficult to
19	understand, but Kelly is required to get a
19:26 20	permit not to do the cleanup, and that
21	sounds well, wait a minute, they're supposed
22	to get a permit for cleanup, they are.
23	They are four different regulated units on
24	this facility which require the application of
19:27 25	Kelly Air Force Base to get a permit. As a

19:27	1
	2
	3
	4
19:27	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:27	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:27	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:28	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:28 25

part of that application, as part of the permit, they're required to investigate releases elsewhere hazardous waste on the facility and emanate funding for it. This is how they get into the job of cleaning up under the permit.

What the City of San Antonio is doing is sort of a dual-purpose project. They're putting in a culvert, but also in order not to waste money, and maximize the use of money and efforts they are doing something that will assist in the remediation of waste that has gone off base. This does not require a permit, even though it's part and is going to assist Kelly Air Force Base in their cleanup. If Kelly Air Force Base -- all they were doing was just cleaning up, they had a spill they were cleaning up they would not be required to get a permit. You have to keep, store or dispose of hazardous waste in order to have to get a permit -- or to be required to get a permit. Now, I don't know if that was clear to anybody, if not please ask your question.

Mr. Quintanilla?

I'm just going to MR. QUINTANILLA:

19:29 25

wait to see if this is cleanup or not cleanup based on what the Air Force does.

MR. WILSON: Well, even if it is cleanup it would not require a permit. If you spill something in your yard and you want to go clean it up you're not required to get a permit to do that. You're required as a generator and you had a spill.

MR. QUINTANILLA: They did have a spill. They spilled thousands of jet fuel in that area.

MR. WILSON: Absolutely. Listen to me. If there is a spill, and you go out and you put a shovel in and you start digging it out, and you're using things that cause it to be generated you become a generator, you then must manifest that and ship it off for proper treatment, storage or disposal.

MR. QUINTANILLA: Now, this happened when they started the project in 1988 when -- when the Public Works Department tried to put a drainage for the community there and they ran into the jet fuel and they had to cover it back up.

MR. WILSON: They did not require a

19:29 1	permit.	
2	MR. QUINTANILLA: They didn't require	
3	a permit. The contamination is still there.	
4	MR. WILSON: The contamination is	
19:29 5	still there and is being cleaned up, and you're	
6	trying to distinguish I know it's very	
7	confusing is when you need a permit versus	
8	when you do not require a permit.	
9	Now, the fact that you do not require a	
19:29 10	permit does not mean that it goes unregulated.	
11	It doesn't mean that you don't have to comply	
12	with the law. When you generate hazardous	
13	waste you must dispose of it properly. You	
14	must haul it off, if you manifest it you	
19:29 15	generate a trail of paperwork, it is tracked by	
16	the state.	
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: Try to look at it	
18	from my point of view. That community has been	
19	dirty. Is it cleanup and what is cleanup?	
19:29 20	That's all that I want to know.	
21	MR. WILSON: That's two different	
22	questions.	
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: Who's going to	
24	clean it?	
19:29 25	MR. WILSON: One is, do they require	
1		

19:29	1
	2
	3
	4
19:30	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:30	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:30	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:30	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
10.00	<u> </u>

19:30 25

a permit to do the cleanup? And I think clearly they're digging up the soil, and you're gauging them, and you test them, you say, hey, guess what, it's hazardous waste, then you send it off. That sounds to me like cleanup.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, my question for Mr. Quintanilla is to -- to confirm whether we require a permit or not. I will reaffirm or not reaffirm what he said. He said one is not required. I'm going to go find out, from our perspective, and I will report that back.

The other item is, is I will promise you whether we're going to use cleanup funds for it or not and I'll give that answer to you.

Okay. Appreciate it. All right. I said he was the last one. Short?

MR. RICE: Yeah. Thank you. This
was a question to Parker, but perhaps you can
answer it. Does the fact that the Air Force is
taking these wastes from the trench and
treating them on Kelly and paying money to
treat them, does that mean that the Air Force
acknowledges responsibility for the
contamination that comes out of that trench?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I have no idea, but

19:30 1	I can guarantee you that the bureaucracy will
2	not give you an answer to that. How is that?
3	MR. RICE: That's a fair answer.
4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: The the Well I
19:31 5	mean, that that would generate a
6	debate that would lead to no in my humble
7	opinion, to no answer to your question, sir.
8	So I can't answer it. Okay, ma'am, real short.
9	MS. ADAMES: It requires just a yes
19:31 10	or no answer. Now, that the City
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: That's what he said.
12	MS. ADAMES: Yes. Now, that the City
13	knows there is contamination there, they're
14	doing a simple cleanup a simple project,
19:31 15	does that mean that the City takes
16	responsibility or part of the responsibility
17	for the work that they're going to do for that
18	contamination that is there? At first they
19	used to deny all this knowledge, but now
19:31 20	they've been coming out especially on this big
21	project. Does the City acknowledge part of the
22	responsibility of the Air Force?
23	MR. GERMAN: The City does not
24	acknowledge any responsibility for what's out
19:32 25	there in the ground that might have been

19:41 25

contributed there by some other party.

The City, though, does, as they build this project, have a responsibility, as has been identified here, to -- if we find contaminated materials, to properly handle, manifest, examine, study, and dispose of that material. So we do have a responsibility for anything that we might dig up, and for the disposal of that, and we certainly will recognize that responsibility. We're actually joining in that regard with the Air Force. It's a joint project between the City and DOD, slash, Kelly Air Force Base. So we'll be sharing in that responsibility as we dig the project, and build the project, and we recognize that.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Very good.

Thank you, Mr. German and all your folks.

Thanks to the TNRCC lawyer. I love lawyers.

And the -- What we have to do is we have to take a ten-minute break ten minutes ago. So what that means is everybody out and back in in five okay? Five minutes.

(Short recess taken.)

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. The next item of business. Are y'all jogging to your

19:41	1	chai
	2	busi
	3	Let'
	4	outf
	5	
	6	
	7	mili
	8	outf
	9	
19:42	10	insi
	11	meet
	12	
	13	repor
	14	is,
19:42	15	it lo
	16	time
	17	sure
	18	recon
	19	input
19:42	20	you'ı
	21	
	22	is Da
	23	Techr
	24	as a
_		

19:42 25

chairs? Okay. Okay. The next item of business is the sub -- Okay. Folks. Let's -- Let's go. This is a military -- military run outfit.

MR. QUINTANILLA: No.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. This is not a military run outfit. This is a citizen run outfit.

MR. QUINTANILLA: You can do that inside the base. Got it. But we can get the meeting going, though.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Subcommittee reports. The thing that we want to be sure of is, is we've allotted -- I can't read that -- it looks like 15 or 20 minutes to -- to the time line. Abide by the time line, and make sure that we end up in the reports with recommendations or some -- some substantive input to the group. And, Damian, I believe, you're first here, Bud.

MR. SANDOVAL: Thank you. Again, my is Damian Sandoval. And we've formed a Technical Review Subcommittee back in October as a result of many discussions with the Restoration Advisory Board.

19:44 25

What we want to do tonight is basically present two components of the TRS and what we've been up to in the last couple of months. The first part of the presentation is -- is the accomplishments that we've been able to achieve through a lot of participation from a lot of different people. And the second portion of the presentation is we actually want to make recommendations from the Technical Review Subcommittee to the Restoration Advisory Board for acceptance and adoptance.

With this -- There should be a packet on your desk, and I apologize for not starting without reiterating that. There should be a packet of information that was handed out. The information that we're going to talk to tonight is included in that packet. This is just a copy of our typical meeting agenda. Every time we have a Technical Review Subcommittee we do have an agenda with a list of topics with individuals scheduled for presentation. And again, this is just basically information in your packet.

One of the main things we wanted to establish way back in October was to establish

19:44	1
	2
	3
	4
19:44	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:44	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:44	15
÷1	16
	17
	18
	19
19:44	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
19:44	25

a Charter, kind of a mission statement. And as you can see, we started with a couple of mission items and it has grown to what this list is now. And I won't go over every single item, just kind of hit the highlights.

The first issue, of course, is Zone 4, the monitoring of private wells. You know, we're really trying to tract that -- the information that's out there working with Health Department.

The second issue, again, we heard presentation from the City of San Antonio tonight for the Quintana Road Project.

The third issue is the North Kelly Gardens, the health risk assessment. We'll talk a little bit about that later.

We want to monitor the interim and final remedy selections for all sites on Kelly Air Force Base.

Review the development and modification of the community relations plan.

And provide technical review and guidance on the documents. This we feel is one of the major portions and elements of the TRS.

Initially, we all met together and established

19:46 25

which documents we thought were priority, and as you see listed, the Zone 5 Remedial Investigation, Building 1592, the Risk Assessment report, the Building 1592 Air Monitoring Results, and the Focus Feasibility Study that we're going to be reviewing in February for sites SSO 51 and 40. Now, what we're going to do just real quick on the status is bring everybody up to date on what was done with those documents.

On the Zone 5 RI, what you'll see next is comments to the RAB established, formalized last night. We had a presentation on Building 1519 Risk Assessment yesterday; we have a presentation scheduled for 1592 on the 24th of February; and we should be reviewing the documents for the SSO 51 and 40 in February of '98. And the last one, I don't want to touch too much about that right now, but this is a -- an idea that we established last night with great participation and approval on developing a Kelly Air Force Base summary contamination map.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Slide the thing up a little bit, please. Thank you.

This is a lot of MR. SANDOVAL: information. And I'm not going to take the time to go through it, but what -- what this process was, is the documents came out to all the TRS members at least a month in advance of the meeting. All the individuals are required to go home and read the document, and bring comments to the meeting. We then had a presenter from -- from Kelly Air Force Base present the details on, not only, the Zone 5 RI investigation, but also some information of what the risk assessment was. These documents were then discussed and questions addressed and compiled at the meeting, and yesterday at the meeting these questions were distributed and approved for formal submission later on this evening.

As -- As all the TRS members and
Restoration Advisory Board members know most of
us donate our time to get involved in these
committee meetings. And we met and sat down
and said let's come up with some dates out
there in the future and project what we want -when we want to meet, and hopefully they don't
coincide or don't conflict with the Restoration

19:47	1
	2
	3
	4
19:47	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:48	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:48	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:48	20
	21
,	22
	23
	24
10.40	۰.

19:48 25

Advisory Board meetings. So for the record, we identified the 24th of February, March 10th, April 21st, May 19th, June 23rd, and July 21st.

Another issue was -- was discussed. We had several different locations proposed. We feel that the St. Mary's University, Garni Science Hall has been a great host for us, and it's also accessible to a lot of individuals, so the objective last night was to select that as the host location. If -- If appropriate, we can move those locations, but we'll decide those at the individual meetings.

Another responsibility that we first decided upon way back in October was, it's not just a name on a list to become a part of the TRS member, but you actually have to show up, you actually have to participate to get the information out. So what I did -- And I may be off one or two, I apologize, I had to do this real quickly this morning. But basically we listed all the individuals, TRS members, and the public participation -- which David Johnson has been at almost every single meeting. At the creation of this system we initially

19:50 25

started off with five community members and one representative from the EPA and the TNRCC. As we grew and established our goals and criteria we decided that we needed a full representation, so we invited a member of the installation, which you see is Captain Tom devenoge, and then we decided to give us more validity that we should probably escalate from the Local Reuse Authority, which is the GKDC, so we invited Mr. Dean Pound to participate in our meetings. And I think our purpose is to present this information so that all the RAB members know who is showing up and who is not.

Another -- Another high topic was, we don't have enough funds -- we don't have -- We don't have the funding source basically to have a dedicated secretary or Court Reporter. So what we decided to do, reluctantly of course, is share the responsibility of being the minute taker. So the individuals -- As they come in each individual is responsible to have -- to take meeting minute summaries. We delegate that person at each individual meeting. There was a little confusion within our organization on how those meetings get summarized and

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

19:50	1
	2
	3
	4
19:50	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:50	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:50	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:51	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	1

19:51 25

distributed, so as you see we developed a little flow chart. And this is the first month we tried to implement this, and I think it's going to work. Of course, like anything new, we will modify to make the adjustments. And I'm not going to go through all the details.

Okay. That -- That basically concludes the first part of the presentation of the information sharing with the Restoration Advisory Board. I guess, we open it to a brief set of questions.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, let me -- let me make an a point here, Damian. The -- I don't know. Had everybody seen all of this before tonight? I haven't, so the -- Have we seen it before or not? Yes or no?

MS. PEACE: No.

that we ought to -- we ought to schedule our meetings in the future to where this stuff can get mailed out, you know, and then the people get it, and then we can have this -- an intelligent conversation rather than seeing stuff cold on the front end. Can we take your report from tonight and -- and propose --

19:51 1 because a lot of it really looks good to me, 2 but at a quick glance -- and you offer that or 3 recommend that we take this home with us, and 4 then come back at the next RAB and -- and deal 19:51 5 with it; is that -- is that a fair statement? 6 And the only reason is, is I think the TRS or 7 the -- this Committee ought to be the pillar of 8 a RAB in terms of -- of technical interphase, 9 if you will, and -- and I -- I think that every 19:51 10 RAB is structured that way to where this is the 11 key -- If there was ever a keystone this is it, 12 but in the same breath we need time to think 13 through what you've got. I'm sorry. Ma'am? 14 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Could I have a copy 19:52 15 of that report? And also it was talking about 16 having the meetings at St. Mary's University, I 17 believe, the meetings should be held out here 18 at the south side. 19 BGEN. BARNIDGE: They should be 19:52 20 what? 21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Held out here on 22 the south side for community involvement. 23 MS. PEACE: She wants the meetings to 24 be held on the south side. And the reason 19:52 25 they've been held at St. Mary's is because

19:52 1	Dr. Lene, who is a member of that subcommittee,
2	teaches there and was able to establish that
3	location for free. If If anybody can come
4	up with a south side location they should
19:52 5	let
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: The recreation
7	center down the street.
8	MS. PEACE: Okay. So that's
9	something that y'all should take into account
19:52 10	then.
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Let's leave that in
12	with them. But how Sir, go ahead.
13	MR. RICE: Regarding your idea of not
14	approving this cold, I think that's a good
19:53 15	idea. But there was one rather hot topic that
16	we might want to ask the RAB's approval for
17	tonight, and that's the development of a map.
18	MR. SANDOVAL: To follow up on that,
19	General, I I agree. I don't have a problem
19:53 20	with that, especially since the technical memos
21	are so complicated, to leave on the table for
22	the next agenda. That's fine.
23	But I think there's two issues, one of
24	them is the groundwater contamination summary
19:53 25	map, that if we talk about the general

19:53 1	contract, that's something that's within the
2	TRS we're going to get developed that will help
3	the installation. The second point is
4	accepting and nominating a couple of
19:53 5	individuals who have been attending the
6	meetings but are not formally part of the TRS.
7	I think, those two issues can be easily
8	discussed and understood at this point.
9	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I don't fully
19:53 10	understand the first one. The second one I
11	certainly would throw open for discussion,
12	yeah.
13	MR. SANDOVAL: Then if I could just
14	at least attempt to present that, and we'll
19:54 15	leave it at the discretion of the RAB if we
16	need to table it for the next meeting.
17	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Sure. Sure.
18	Comments on these two items that Damian has
19	brought up? Discussion?
20	(No audible response.)
21	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. So what
22	what is what is your proposal on the first
23	item, Damian?
24	MR. SANDOVAL: Let me see if can say
19:54 25	this. Mary, I might need you to help with

19:54	1
	2
	3
	4
19:54	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:54	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:55	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:55	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:55 25

this. As chairperson I would like to move to put the -- the formal comments on the Zone 5 RI report to the RAB for the next Restoration Advisory Board meeting.

MS. KELLY: What you would do is ask the Chair to put that on agenda next time. It isn't proper to table that, because then it takes a motion to take it off the table. You really want to postpone consideration, and the Chair can do that without a motion.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. And we're sitting in the chair, so we can do that.

Okay. So we'll take it up next time on the first one. What's the deal with the second one now?

MR. SANDOVAL: There was a lot of ideas yesterday. There's a lot of information out there that's very valuable and very useful if you have a little bit of technical knowledge. There's been a lot of good maps in the local paper recently out there that shows large boundaries for different types of general contamination, specifically fuels or solvents, and lists about the boundaries of the contamination. So what we wanted to do is --

19:56 25

is -- as we discussed last night, is talk about some of the general criteria to develop a Kelly Air Force Base general site map. And again this is my summation of the purpose, and I apologize if it's not true TRS representation, but my attempt was to define a purpose to provide a general groundwater contamination map as well as community -- showing activity to promote information shared with the local community. It's a lot of verbiage. Basically, what that would allow is -- and -- and these are the map criteria below.

We would like to have a map that's easily read and understandable, that identifies the major types of contamination, specifically fuels, metals or solvents, using major streets and arteries as recognizable boundaries and limits, and up -- insure that it's a snapshot in time, which means it's going to have to be redone at every quarter or semiannual basis. That the map is multilingual, specifically English and Spanish, and that the map is designed so it's a fold-out map that's easily distributed to the public. That also, this map or this information has the appropriate

19:56	1
	2
	3
	4
19:56	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:57	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:57	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:57	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	1

19:57 25

telephone number and contact phone numbers for who to call, and any location of the additional information of the repositories specifically.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. It's open for comments or questions.

MR. JACOBI: I think it's a great idea, and we ought to go ahead and undertake it immediately. Although, it may take some time to develop such a map to be agreed upon by the full RAB.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Other comments or questions? How would it be funded?

MR. SANDOVAL: Those are some of the issues that we discussed. And luckily we had a participant from the installation there, and we discussed those issues, and I think that the objective or the tentative schedule that we proposed was present this at the -- at the RAB tonight, get approval from the RAB, the TRS -- the committee members would actually start to develop that in-house with local resources as possible, develop a draft map for the next TRS meeting scheduled in February. As far as actual funding, that was not discussed.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, that's -- I

19:57	1
	2
	3
	4
19:58	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
19:58	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
19:58	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
19:58	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

19:58 25

mean, I agree with -- with at least the one comment. I think that having a good map is a wonderful idea. As all things in life nothing is free, and so we've got to figure out how to -- how to be able to fund the thing. So you think from -- Am I understanding, from your -- from your comments, you're developing the draft with -- with what resources? You said "local" --

MR. SANDOVAL: Maybe -- Tom, can you add to that or is that too premature, Tom?

MR. DEVENOGE: We certainly have -actually have a map such as this, and all we'd
do is take an aerial picture (inaudible) thing
needs of what the folks are looking for, and we
have a couple of options. We can do that
in-house or we can travel to contract support
staff and do that. Basically, it's taking one
of the existing maps that we have from the
Basewide Remedial Assessment Project and
putting it in more generic, broad categories,
kind of classifications, something like PCE,
solvents, fuels, metals.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Sure. That would make sense to me. Any comments based on what

19:59 1	we've heard?
2	MR. ROBERSON: It seems to me like a
3	really good idea. One of the problems I think
4	we have is people just understanding what this
19:59 5	all really means, and this could be a very
6	useful aide in that process.
7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Then I Can
8	I make a motion? I move that you that you
9	do that.
10	MS. KELLY: You can't do that.
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, I can't do
12	that. Never mind, I can't do that.
13	MR. JACOBI: I so move.
14	MR. PUFFER: I move.
19:59 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: You guys and your
16	rules. We're going to do this, Damian, all
17	right?
18	MR. ROBERSON: I make a motion that
19	the RAB approve the TRS to press on with
19:59 20	developing the map.
21	MR. JACOBI: Second.
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Good.
23	Excellent. Everybody's in favor of it.
24	MR. MURRAH: Sir, I'd like to make a
19:59 25	comment there.

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

	1
	2
	3
	4
20:00	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
20:00	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
20:00	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
20:00	20
	21
	22
	23

24

20:01 25

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I'm sorry?

MR. MURRAH: I say I would like to make a comment there. That -- That sounds like a real good idea, but why not put the technical name or whatever name of the metal or whatever --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: In parenthesis.

MR. MURRAH: -- in parenthesis right there with that name to make it to where it would -- maybe it'll help each other -- some of us get educated.

MR. HAGELTHORN: Can I address that, please? The reason we decided to break it down into four basic categories, there is a number of reasons. One, we're looking at an eight and a half by eleven tri-fold map that will be limited in space and limited in readability, so to clutter it up with additional information that really, for the purpose of it, is just to show the community what areas are involved, it would serve no purpose to put all the specific chemicals and the -- the hazardous materials or whatever it may be onto that map. We just want to have an overview so that the community can see, okay, I live right around here so I'm

20:01 1	affected by metals, or I live around here so
2	I'm affected by fuels. We didn't want to get
3	in There's information in the repositories,
4	there's information at Kelly, we can get more
20:01 5	information if somebody wants it. We just want
6	to show them, because that's where the question
7	is, how am I affected by this, that's the
8	purpose.
9	BGEN. BARNIDGE: May I offer or
20:01 10	recommend a compromise, can we do this in
11	draft, take a look at it, and see if you've got
12	room to put the things on there as the man
13	suggested
14	MR. HAGELTHORN: Yes, sir.
20:01 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: and and we can
16	produce a couple of drafts, in fact, I suppose.
17	MR. HAGELTHORN: Yeah.
18	BGEN. BARNIDGE: And then you can
19	pick the one you like.
20:01 20	MR. HAGELTHORN: Those were all
21	brought up last night, sir.
22	MS. PEACE: I'd just like to state,
23	for the record, that the motion did carry, so
24	that we agree on it.
20:01 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Thank you very
I	

20:01 1	much.
2	MR. SANDOVAL: The second issue is a
3	lot easier. The Since the the inception
4	of the TRS Mr. Larry Hoffman has been
20:01 5	invaluable as far as the parliamentarian
6	portion of the TRS; however, due to his many
7	conflicts and being pulled in different
8	directions he has asked to resign from the
<u>,</u> 9	Technical Review Subcommittee, so I'd like to
20:02 10	deal with that situation first.
11	I think, as a Technical Subcommittee we
12	feel that we would like to accept his
13	resignation, and would like to pose that to the
14	Restoration Advisory Board as well.
20:02 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Discussion?
16	Okay. A motion?
17	MR. JACOBI: I move we accept
18	Mr. Hoffman's resignation from the Technical
19	Subcommittee.
20:02 20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Second?
21	MR. RICE: Second.
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All in favor?
23	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All opposed?
20:02 25	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
I	·

20:02 1	MR. ROBERSON: What would happen if
2	we weren't in favor?
3	(Laughter.)
4	MR. SANDOVAL: So in every every
20:02 5	rainfall there's always a sunny day. So the
6	next three things we want to do is add two
7	individuals, and then once specific individual
8	for his participation as a public member.
9	Again, Captain Tom deVenoge, who
20:02 10	represents the installation and gives us a lot
11	of validity and credibility, and also takes
12	back a lot of information directly to the
13	installation, that you can get firsthand. The
14	other addition is Mr. Dean Pound from the GKDC,
20:03 15	and again, he knows the future of the Local
16	Reuse Authority's plans and projects that would
17	be able to help us in our assessing sites. And
18	then thirdly, again, Mr. David Johnson to
19	incorporate him as a replacement for
20:03 20	Mr. Hoffman.
21	MR. ROBERSON: I move that we accept
22	all three individuals and get on with the
23	meeting.
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Second? Anybody?
20:03 25	MR. WEINSTEIN: Second.

20:03	1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All in favor?
	2	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
	3	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Anybody opposed?
	4	(Vote taken by RAB members.)
20:03	5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: It carries. You got
	6	one minute left, Big Guy.
	7	MR. SANDOVAL: That's all I need.
	8	Story of my life. The last thing we would like
	9	to do is summarize that, you know, the
20:03	10	meetings, as you know, are open to the public.
	11	Depending on the amount of people, sometimes we
	12	have to limit participation. However, if
	13	anyone has any comments or questions, please
	14	submit those to us if you can't attend the
20:03	15	meeting. Our phone numbers are listed in the
	16	Restoration Advisory Board listings, so please
	17	feel free to give us a call. Thank you.
	18	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Good. Good, good,
	19	good. Well done. And we have one item of
20:04	20	that will be carried over to the next. That
	21	means that everybody's got to study before they
	22	come to the next one, okay, so we can talk
	23	intelligently about it. Okay. Rules
	24	Administration Subcommittee Report.
20:04	25	MS. PEACE: That's me. Okay. We met

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

20:04	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
20:04	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	,
	10	•
	11	] 1
	12	
	13	1
	14	
20:05	15	1
	16	
	17	1
	18	
	19	
20:05	20	ć
	21	t
	22	
	23	ď
	24	
20:05	25	t

this afternoon at 3:30 before the meeting, and we have an agenda -- Now, the -- the reason we were supposed to be meeting was to schedule six months worth of particular RAB meetings. decided to postpone that in light of the fact that we have -- we will be having a new community co-Chair, and then also that General Barnidge had not been consulted about the dates of those, so we decided in reference to the (inaudible) we would get their input before we set those dates. And it turns out it's good we did in light of the suggestion that we have the -- these subcommittee meetings come a week before the -- the regular meetings. That will give us some help in scheduling too. So that will be postponed for New Business at the next meeting.

Now, we had a discussion on participation of both community and both co-Chair in developing the RAB agenda, and -- I believe, that was postponed also, wasn't it?

MR. RICE: No. We were going to vote on it.

MS. PEACE: Okay. So we need -- What the problem has been, and I'll summarize

briefly, is that the draft agenda typically has been sent out -- I will have an opportunity, and I'll send it out to the community members to make sure that they have an opportunity to -- to make sure all items they wanted are on there, and then I'll come back -- you know, whether I approve or disapprove -- but we get something set, okay -- As with tonight, General Barnidge and myself both approved the draft, but what was mailed out to the members was totally different, and this has happened a number of times. So what we wanted to vote on was that the agenda would require -- the agenda that's put in the people's packets would require the approval of both co-Chairs, and any agenda that did not have that approval would not be legitimate. So do we have a motion?

MR. MIXON: Could I ask a question on that? That's not required, is it? I mean, it's already required that the agenda be approved by both co-Chairs.

MS. PEACE: That's right. That's right. But I have not gone -- Well, because I have not had one single agenda that has worked that way.

20:06 1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Let me make a
2	comment, okay.
3	MR. MIXON: Then let's
4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I If y'all will
20:06 5	trust me and your new co-Chair we'll take care
6	of it; is that fair?
7	MR. RICE: So what you're saying,
8	General, is that every agenda that we see here
9	will be approved by you and the community
20:06 10	co-Chair?
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Absolutely. We will
12	agree on that agenda that Charter that's
13	going out.
14	MS. PEACE: That sounds good to me,
20:06 15	actually.
16	MR. MIXON: Could I ask a question on
17	that? Do y'all both review the minutes before
18	they go out?
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I don't know the
20:07 20	answer to that.
21	MS. PEACE: No. I've never gotten a
22	copy of the minutes before they go out.
23	MR. MIXON: You should be getting
24	both the agenda and a copy of the minutes
25	before they go out.
	·

20:07	1	MS. PEACE: I never have.
	2	MR. MIXON: So then the General could
	3	make that promise also?
	4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Sure. I'll read as
20:07	5	fast as I can along with the rest of the stuff.
	6	MS. PEACE: Okay. Now, we Also,
	7	the minutes and the transcripts were As
	8	y'all know, that's been a problem that's been
	9	ongoing, so we came up with the recommendation
20:07	10	that the minutes will be sent to the members
:	11	wait 20 business days after the meeting
:	12	no later than 20 business days after the
:	13	meeting, and that the transcript will be made
:	14	available to the public in the designated
20:07	15	repositories no later than 20 days after the
:	16	meeting. So that's We'll go ahead and, I
:	17	guess, take a vote on this now, if that's
:	18	okay? All in favor?
:	19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, let me tell
20:07	20	you if you vote, and you say "Yes," I've still
2	21	got to find out contractually what the what
2	22	the what's possible. I don't know whether
2	23	that's possible.
2	24	MS. PEACE: But if it's not
20:08 2	25	contractually possible I would say or my

20:08 1	recommendation would be to do another contract.
2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. But that
3	takes time. So you can you can put that in
4	and I'll
5	MS. PEACE: It's just a
6	recommendation. I understand.
7	MR. MIXON: Can I address that,
8	again? It's required that it be made available
9	to the members and the public within two weeks
20:08 10	after the meeting.
11	MS. PEACE: Well, we voted at the
12	last meeting, one reason we were going to
13	MR. MIXON: That's a standard
14	requirement.
20:08 15	MS. PEACE: amend that to 20 days
16	is
17	MR. MIXON: It's a standard
18	requirement.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: Three months is too
20:08 20	long.
21	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, yes. I agree.
22	MS. PEACE: Well, at the last meeting
23	in the minutes we have that we had asked that
24	it be mailed out one week after the meeting.
20:08 25	We had decided to change that to 20 business

	- 11	
20:08	1	days so That was decided on because we
	2	thought that even if it's not in their
	3	contract, I think, that it's a reasonable
	4	request.
20:09	5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Can I offer to
	6	report back next time with how soon we feel
	7	that we can get the stuff out, and then let
	8	y'all hear that?
	9	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's fine. A
20:09	10	General can do that for a Two Star General on
	11	your base.
	12	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah, I know. I
	13	think things have slowed down since you left.
	14	MS. PEACE: Do y'all want to postpone
20:09	15	that item as well then?
	16	MR. RICE: May I ask for
	17	clarification? What we're talking about here
	18	is the meeting minutes and the transcript of
	19	the meeting?
20:09	20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I will report
	21	back. That's the best I can do for you right
	22	now.
	23	MR. RICE: Sure. But I just want to
	24	make sure both items are included.
	25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Now, I think that's
	ji ji	

		_
20:09	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
20:09	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
20:10	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
20:10	15	
• •	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
20:10	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
20.10	25	ı

20:10 25

the next item on the -- I have to tell you how soon can we have these things out, and I assume that we put the transcripts out. I don't even know that, to be honest with you.

MS. PEACE: I can tell you you'll have the report on this as the administrators of the --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I'm going to bring it back to the next RAB, that's what I'm going to do.

MR. RICE: Yeah. This is --

MR. MIXON: Excuse me. I just want to say, why don't we just stick to the standard? We've got a book that tells us what the standards are, why don't we stick to the standards, and let it make the --

MS. PEACE: Well, it's not the problem of -- we have -- We have been sticking to it, it's the contractor that hasn't.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I don't know -- I don't know that that's true. I will give you your answer in terms of the standard, and -- and again, I -- I suggest, if you'll just let me do that, we'll soon figure out what the standard is. I'll tell you whether we can

20:10 1	make it or not. If I tell you we can make it,
2	we're going to make it.
3	MS. PEACE: Okay. Now, we have
4	also
20:10 5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: It's just that
6	simple.
7	MS. PEACE: we had a
8	recommendation here that both co-Chairs,
9	that that will be Damian and General
20:10 10	Barnidge, attend the next Administrative
11	Subcommittee meeting. The suggested date was
12	to be February 24th at 3:30 at the conference
13	room PA conference room, if that's
14	acceptable to y'all, if not let us know, that
20:11 15	will be discussed issues regarding, but not
16	limited to (1) how much money has been spent on
17	the RAB; (2) the sources of funds for RAB
18	activities; (3) types of activities funded, for
19	example, RAB meetings, technical support
20:11 20	training and outreach to the community; and (4)
21	availability of funds for activities in
22	furtherance of the RAB.
23	So that was our the other
24	recommendation. And that doesn't require a
20:11 25	vote, but we are hoping that y'all will agree

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

20:12 25

to that.

my -- for my new buddy here, but I'll tell you that I will come as a guest -- as an interested person. I'm not going to engage in a lot of -- a lot of debate about money. The -- Because I'm just not going to do that. But the -- But I would love to hear what it is we have.

Now, the -- Now, I'm coming to that, but let me stress, that I want the ideas -- the good ideas that generate here and -- and at the Technical Review Committee to bubble up to the RAB for the RAB's consideration.

MS. PEACE: Okay. I'm sorry. I left off a part of that. The Administrative Committee will develop a list of RAB support items to present to y'all, also, that we would like to see at the --

BGEN. BARNIDGE: That's what I want to see, stuff bubble up to the RAB. That's the way it should work. But I intend to come to a meeting when I can as an interested party, and I would invite Damian and I to go together permitting schedules.

MS. PEACE: And that way it would be

20:12 1	easier to schedule, also, to make sure that it
2	would be in in terms of your schedules when
3	you schedule the six months of the RAB
4	meetings, since you all have to be here.
20:12 5	MR. RICE: Just a comment on that.
6	An idea was broached by Damian, I think, rather
7	than having the meeting at the PA office, and
8	then a lot of us have to go to St. Mary's to
9	meet for the Technical Committee meeting, that
20:13 10	we have this Administrative Committee meeting
11	at St. Mary's also so we won't be going back
12	and forth.
13	MS. PEACE: Okay. So the members of
14	the Administrative Committee will be notified
20:13 15	before that meeting, right?
16	MR. RICE: I had guess they will.
17	MS. PEACE: Okay. That's my report
18	for the Administrative Subcommittee.
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh Are you going
20:13 20	to stay on as the administrative person?
21	MS. PEACE: I'm on that committee.
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: In the past. Make
23	sure that Damian and I know when these meetings
24	are, so I can't say We've got to talk
20:13 25	after this meeting.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

20:13 1	MS. PEACE: Well, we'll make sure	
2	it's a date that you both can attend. We will	
3	not set a date that y'all can't attend.	
4	MR. SANDOVAL: I think, also, there's	
20:13 5	a lot of different committees that I don't	
6	know many (inaudible) what just like we did	
7	with TRS establish a system and we stick with	
8	the system, that way everybody knows, whether	
9	it's 20 days, 14 days. This way there's no	
20:13 10	question.	
11	MS. PEACE: Well, the Administrative	
12	group has been scheduling them as needed. I'm	
13	hoping that these issues will be ironed out, so	
14	that it won't have to be a regular subcommittee	
20:14 15	meeting.	
16	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Very good.	
17	Now, that's the reports.	
18	MR. JACOBI: Could I make a comment?	
19	By the nature of this conversation the	
20:14 20	election results have not been released, but I	
21	assume that	
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I was going to save	
23	that to the last and	
24	MS. PEACE: Oh, I'm sorry.	
20:14 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I guess, I blew	

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

20:14	1
	2
	3
	4
20:14	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
20:14	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
20:15	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
20:15	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	į

20:15 25

it, didn't I? That's your fault. And the results is Damian. And I got to tell you, it was a very, very close, close vote. And this is one where just raw votes and majority counts, but it was very, very close. And so I look forward to working with Damian, and I would have looked forward to working with Carl as well.

MS. PEACE: Frankly, I have to say that y'all are both great choices.

(Applause.)

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Very good. Keen observation. He's awake and listening. Okay. New Business. Where are we at here? New Business. Sir?

MR. RICE: Yeah. I'd just like to make an announcement having to do with Armando's lawsuit with the Air Force. Armando has claimed that contaminants in the ground from Kelly have entered his property, and Kelly Air Force Base has claimed, among other things, that that's not possible because there is no water under Mr. Quintanilla's property. And tomorrow we -- and I'm acting as a technical advisor to Armando and the Air Force -- are

20:15 1	going to drill a well
2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Make sure, now, this
3	is RAB business, okay. I mean, we're we're
4	there. Make sure you're talking about RAB
20:15 5	business.
6	MR. RICE: This is certainly closely
7	related if not RAB business. Tomorrow we're
8	going to drill a well, and one thing we will
9	find out is who's right about whether or not
20:16 10	there's water under Mr. Quintanilla's property,
11	and we'll let y'all know the answer at the next
12	RAB meeting.
13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Fair. Okay.
14	Other New Business?
20:16 15	MS. PEACE: I don't I'm not sure
16	if this appropriate or not, but I guess, it's
17	okay.
18	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. I was going
19	to say that.
20:16 20	MS. PEACE: Okay. Good. There's
21	going to be a forum, it says Bexar County
22	Commissioners Court This is a draft. But
23	Bexar County Commissioners Court, the City of
24	San Antonio City Council will hold the first of
20:16 25	two public forums to provide an opportunity to

20:16	1
	2
	3
	4
20:16	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
20:17	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
20:17	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
20:17	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

20:17 25

respond to citizens' concerns regarding an environmental cleanup plan for the areas surrounding Kelly Air Force Base.

The forum is open to the public and will be held 6:30 p.m. Wednesday, February 11th, at McCollum High School Auditorium, 500 West Formosa. And the forum format includes invited briefings from Kelly Air Force Base, San Antonio Metropolitan Health District, TNRCC, and then the community, I believe. Like I had said, this is a draft, but there's been -- it's subject to debate right now whether there -- there will be other -- But they did say the community would also have an equal opportunity to -- an opportunity for citizens to interact with elected officials and technical (inaudible) the environmental action plan.

And then one of the things, I think this will be really good, because it's the first of these kind of forums where there's -- actually accountability will be built into the program, because what they're going to do is after the briefings they'll be breaking out into small groups. Group numbers, I guess, will depend on how many. There's going to be one Council or

20:17 1	Commissioner person in each group.
2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Elected.
3	MS. PEACE: Yeah. Local elected
4	official, so Then those groups will
20:17 5	prioritize what their concerns are, and then
6	the City and the County will have to take those
7	listed priorities There will be a report
8	from each group, and then, you know, that will
9	be prioritized also. Then the City and County
20:18 10	will have to address that, and then they have
11	promised to mail that back to everybody who
12	attends and participates in this forum.
13	So I think this is really good because,
14	like I said, it's the first one where I've seen
20:18 15	any accountability actually built into the
16	program. So I urge y'all all to attend, and
17	any community members here, not just say RAB
18	members, community members as it is such an
19	important issue to the community.
20:18 20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. This is going
21	to be a good one. The first one is going to be
22	the 11th the 11th
23	MS. PEACE: Yeah. It's a Wednesday.
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: 6:30, McCollum
25	High School.

20:18 1	MS. PEACE: And then there's another
2	one, if I could, this is just because we've
3	discussed this in the RAB, at Las Palmas
4	Shopping Center is that "Shopping Center"?
20:18 5	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yeah. Shopping
6	Center.
7	MS. PEACE: Okay. At 10:00 o'clock
8	in the morning on the 10th. It's Sam
9	Sanchez, I think, this is your party, right?
20:19 10	That's It's going to be ATSTR, and then the
11	UT medical branch.
12	MR. SANCHEZ: It's going to be at
13	8:00 o'clock with the ATSTR. It's going to be
14	(inaudible).
20:19 15	I think, that the the item that
16	Annalisa Peace is talking about is the meeting
17	between the ATSTR and the community people
18	that did the Community Health Survey to try to
19	get together with their information and how
20:19 20	they can make that information better. But I
21	don't believe that that is open to the public.
22	I think it's just between those two
23	participants to try to
24	MS. PEACE: Oh, I'm sorry. I was
20:19 25	misinformed then (inaudible) the community
	· ·

20:19	1	forum at 10:00 in the morning.
	2	MR. SANCHEZ: I think that was going
	3	to be a working meeting between those two
	4	parties.
20:19	5	MS. PEACE: Oh, okay.
	6	MR. SANCHEZ: That's all I can say to
	7	you about that.
	8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Other New
	9	Business?
20:19 1	10	MR. QUINTANILLA: General, I have one
1	11	item unless you want to recognize somebody
1	12	else.
1	13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Go ahead.
1	14	MR. QUINTANILLA: The Defense
20:20 1	15	Environmental Restoration Task Force with Barry
1	16	Winwood has some discussion papers on the
1	L7	disestablishment of RABs. Could your staff see
1	L8	if we could get a hold of those what is in
1	19	that in that paper?
20:20 2	20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: We will attempt to,
2	21	but I I have know I've never even heard
2	2	of it.
2	3	MR. QUINTANILLA: The reason I ask is
2	4	I have to go through the Freedom of Information
20:20 2	5	and all the bureaucracy, you go straight to
	- 11	

the -- to the people there.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Write it down, give me the name and whatever info, and -- because, yeah, I guess, that's of interest to the RAB.

Okay. Other New Business? Yes, sir.

MR. JOHNSON: If I may, I'd like to backtrack in relationship to what was brought up in the meeting. What CEJA, the Committee for Environmental Justice Action, has been trying to do is actually work with ATSTR, the City Health Department, and additional epidemiologists to do -- or consider a case study in the surrounding areas. With -- The information that we've gathered show that we have illnesses that exceed national averages. We know or we feel that an event or series of events may have happened. I have asked that we get the Regulatory's endorsement to proceed with this, and I will also ask that we can get the RAB's endorsement to proceed with this. is RAB and it isn't RAB. It's not in the technical portion but, I think, it's something that the RAB, in relationship to the community, can have some positive PR with it as well by endorsing this type of study.

20:22	1
	2
	3
	4
20:22	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
20:22	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
20:22	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
20:22	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
00.00	

20:23 25

We've not yet -- We have not gotten to the real nitty-gritty of the funding sources and things of that nature. But again from CEJA it is something we definitely would like to bring to the RAB. We are trying to work with all the different health agencies. We feel that there is a signature, so to speak, of illness out here, and we would like to take that a little bit farther -- the next step farther in terms of a case study.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I will -- I will -MR. JOHNSON: We're not asking the
RAB to fund it, do anything, we're just asking
for a recommendation from the RAB to be an
agency as well as also the regulatory agencies
to endorse it.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. The RAB, as sponsored by the U.S. Air Force, cannot endorse any specific group or groups activities. And yet, I will tell you that the U.S. Air Force is absolutely, as espoused by Leroy Barnidge, committed to getting to the bottom of the answers that we need to get to. So -- so the -- it is -- while you -- I'm not sure what you wanted to do with an endorsement, per se.

20:23 1	We certainly support getting to the the
2	answers that we need, but but the Air Force
3	sponsored RAB cannot be listed as an endorser
<b>. 4</b>	of some some activity like that.
20:23 5	MR. JOHNSON: Well, I guess, what we
6	would really want is a formal "yes," we
7	we we do support the effort, not necessarily
8	in terms of of trying to drive it, but just
9	as opposed to not having any answer or a formal
20:23 10	decline saying "no," we do not endorse it
11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: How about
12	MR. JOHNSON: or we do not support
13	this effort.
14	BGEN. BARNIDGE: How about just
20:23 15	Well, since you don't want that, and since
16	you How about withdraw the question. And
17	the only reason I say that is because, again,
18	we the the Air Force sponsored RAB cannot
19	endorse a particular activity by anyone just
20:24 20	because we don't just simply for the same
21	reason we don't allow politicians to come on
22	the installation to run for election.
23	MR. ROBERSON: What if the RAB
24	endorsed I think I know where David's coming
20:24 25	from the general idea of of a

20:24 1	comprehensive health study
2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Absolutely.
3	MR. ROBERSON: program
4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I wish I had said
20:24 5	that.
6	MR. ROBERSON: without being CEJA
7	or
8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Absolutely.
9	MR. JOHNSON: I was just naming the
20:24 10	agencies who have already come together.
11	And And thank you very much for that. I was
12	looking for that.
13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. Thank you
14	very much.
20:24 15	MR. ROBERSON: I think I think
16	I I don't think anybody at the RAB would not
17	totally buy into the idea. We all need to
18	understand what's going on out there at North
19	Kelly Gardens and other places. I think all of
20:25 20	us really want to do that, and I think the idea
21	of endorsing the concept of a comprehensive
2 2	health study is something we can legitimately
23	do.
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: It was the CEJA

activity, per se, that I don't think we --

20:25 25

20:25 1	MS. PEACE: Is that a motion?
2	MR. ROBERSON: Yes, that's a motion.
3	MR. PUFFER: I'll second that.
4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: All in favor?
5	(Vote taken be RAB members.)
6	MR. SANCHEZ: I just wanted to say
7	that this RAB Board did make the original
8	recommendation to the Air Force for a health
9	study to be done by ATSTR. So I think, this
20:25 10	motion is very much in keeping with that same
11	spirit to provide some kind of direction to the
12	Air Force to continue that kind of cooperation
13	with the community groups to arrive at some
14	answers.
20:25 15	MR. ROBERSON: You know, I think the
16	issue here is that we have a group of people
17	who really believe I mean, there are some
18	people that have illnesses and really believe
19	that this problem is related to Kelly. I
20:25 20	think, that it's a very I think, it's just
21	great that we've come out, you know, and
22	formally endorsed the idea. We need to
23	understand what's really going on here.
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Without regard to
20:26 25	any specific organization. Yeah. Wonderful.

20:26 1	MR. ROBERSON: Yeah.
2	MR. JACOBI: I can I would ask
3	that you keep me posted on the progress, and
4	I'll see what I can dig up in terms of support
20:26 5	for the Texas Department of Health.
6	MR. JOHNSON: We'd be really
7	interested actually, and we'll get I'll be
8	back and forth at the Las Palmas
9	MS. PEACE: Y'all told me it was on
20:26 10	the 10th of
11	MR. JOHNSON: February 10th. You can
12	mark it on your calendar, and possibly have a
13	representative come in. We'd be happy to take
14	in any health agency.
20:26 15	MS. PEACE: And I apologize to Sam
16	for getting I thought that y'all were
17	referring to the meeting you were having. I
18	didn't realize there was a separate one.
19	MR. QUINTANILLA: The ATSTR people
20:27 20	will attend the meeting February the 11th at
21	(inaudible).
22	MR. JOHNSON: Yesterday.
23	MR. ROBERSON: That's good.
24	MR. JOHNSON: One last thing. Part
20:27 25	of the nature of this was also to help

20:27 1	disseminate some of the information, as well as
2	trying to teach the medical community,
3	currently epidemiologists go and do basically
4	the work groundwork. And as Mr. Sanchez has
20:27 5	pointed out in the other meeting, right now
6	case studies are based on lead because the
7	symptomatologies are easier to follow.
8	Unfortunately, the data gap missing with these
9	particular medical repositories for that
20:27 10	information exclude by default, certain of the
11	symptoms that we may be considering with this
12	contamination. So we're also looking to
13	educate the medical community with this study
14	as well.
20:27 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Good. Okay. Any
16	other New Business?
17	MR. SANDOVAL: I have two questions,
18	quick ones, initially concerning the context of
19	initial survey for the Zone 4 area for Private
20:28 20	Monitoring Wells. I know we've a very
21	extensive and expensive effort to put
22	information out to the public, and I'm sure
23	we're going to get a report on that eventually,
24	but my question is: Are there any plans to
20:28 25	send out a second survey or was it just a

20:28 1	one-time shot to at least put in paper to try
2	to get any additional information, if we can?
3	<u>-</u>
4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Do we have a current
20:28 5	answer for that or do we need to take it for
	the record?
6	MR. BAILEY: Yes. We
7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: The chair recognizes
8	Mr. Bailey.
9	MR. BAILEY: Larry Bailey. Yes, we
20:28 10	have a plan. We have a plan put together, we
11	are in the process of coordinating it with the
12	regulators to go back out in the community to
13	take additional samples out of the ground, and
14	that is we anticipate (inaudible) like we did
20:28 15	previously, probably, to do a few other things
16	too.
17	MR. SANDOVAL: And just the other
18	question. Carl Mixon and I were discussing
19	this on the way in. There is a group, CEJA,
20:29 20	outside denouncing or announcing "No Cover Up,
21	Clean Up" or vice versa. And I don't know if
22	there's a way that we can bring in that entity
23	to kind of sit down with us and discuss the
24	issues, because we'd really like to talk about
20420 25	, IIIIII wa a Isalia i Isalia wa ana i

20:29 25

And just the other nd I were discussing ere is a group, CEJA, nnouncing "No Cover Up, And I don't know if an bring in that entity h us and discuss the ally like to talk about those issues. I mean, we'd like to really sit

20:29 1	down and listen to those folks, and not just
2	kind of walk away or walk around them. I don't
3	think that's appropriate.
• 4	MS. PEACE: Well, I'll tell you one
20:29 5	thing that I believe, the next CEJA meeting
6	is going to be at St. John's Berchelman's
7	Church at 7:00 o'clock on February the 12th,
8	that's a Thursday night, so if you have an
9	opportunity right there.
20:29 10	MR. ROBERSON: But
11	MR. SANDOVAL: Can the installation
12	attend?
13	MR. ROBERSON: Damian raises a
14	good question. Maybe we ought to invite them
20:29 15	in and just have a
16	MS. PEACE: You mean right now?
17	BGEN. BARNIDGE: No.
18	MR. ROBERSON: You know, I I felt
19	really bad just walking in this evening
20:30 20	because, I mean, we need to have a dialogue. I
21	mean, there's a lot going on, and I think,
22	there's something wrong here that we're not
23	talking with one another and doing
24	behaving well, both behaving. I mean, we're
20:30 25	not talking, they're not talking.

20:30	1	MR. PERSON: They have an opportunity
	2	to come to this meeting; it's a public meeting.
	3	MR. QUINTANILLA: I come to the
	4	meetings and report back to
20:30	5	MS. PEACE: I tell you what I
	6	think part of what set that off was the fact
	7	that a different agenda was mailed out from the
	8	original draft that was approved.
	9	MR. ROBERSON: Really?
20:30	10	MS. PEACE: Yeah. Yeah. And that's
	11	why I want to get those issues cleared up, so
	12	there's not the perception that the community
	13	is being ignored.
	14	MR. SANDOVAL: Ms. Adames, you're
20:30	15	part of CEJA; is that correct?
	16	MR. QUINTANILLA: I'm part of CEJA.
	17	MS. ADAMES: Yes.
	18	MR. SANDOVAL: Maybe if y'all bring
	19	up those issues at a RAB meeting, just help us
20:30	20	get the
	21	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I tell you
	22	what, I kind of side with Mr. Roberson. The
	23	I think that we ought to invite them I mean,
	24	you know, we'll pick the time and get it
20:31	25	organized and everything else, but I think that

20:31 1	there are groups that that feel like that
2	we feel like a dialogue would be useful to
3	create with. We ought to bring them in and we,
4	as the RAB Because this is a community deal,
20:31 5	I mean, this is not 21 people sitting around a
6	table, you know, and and invite them in.
7	I would say that that, at least, I
8	would have no problem with with organizing a
9	forum to sit down for them to present their
20:31 10	concerns to this body, and and I guess,
11	really, you know, that that Mr. Quintanilla
12	can take can collaborate with them to help
13	make that
14	MR. QUINTANILLA: They don't want to
20:31 15	come in, sir, unless you can offer solutions.
16	They've had problems, and they want solutions
17	to their problems. They don't want lip service
18	or
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I I
20:31 20	understand
21	MR. QUINTANILLA: a phony
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I understand that.
23	And the I'm going to tell what I can tell
24	you, and I'm going to tell what I can't tell
20:32 25	you, you know, it's kind of that deal. The

20:32	1	I think, that as Paul brought up, dialogue is a
	2	very useful thing, and I'm very happy to talk
	3	with anyone at any time. And I I understand
	4	that we don't need lip service, we need action
20:32	5	and
	6	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's what they
	7	want.
	8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I don't debate
	9	that. Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry. I talked
20:32 1	LO	through you.
1	11	MS. ADAMES: Yes. I apologize for
1	L2	our behavior, sort of, but it's just for a long
1	L3	time before I joined the RAB we were, I could
1	L4	say, totally ignored. I would make comments or
20:32 1	.5	ask for help, and they would say give me your
1	.6	name and number
1	7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, you're a member
1	.8	of that group?
1	.9 ∥	MS. ADAMES: Yes. I'd come to see
20:32 2	0	and that's the reason why you see what's going
2	1	on. So the reason I joined the RAB was to see
2	2	what input or, you know, we could get out of
2	3	it, but sometimes it's very hard to speaking
2	4	when you know nobody's listening.
20:32 2	5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Let me

20:33 1	MS. ADAMES: We've kind of slacked
2	off a little bit. We're getting very good
3	at
4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: suggest, unless
20:33 5	Damian's got a in other words, dealing with
6	next week's stuff, but let me suggest that you
7	come back to the next RAB with a proposal of
8	of what you would what you would recommend
9	in terms that would create a dialogue for us.
20:33 10	One meeting, come in, let's have a dialogue for
11	20 minutes or pick the time, you know,
12	MS. ADAMES: Where?
13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: and you list
14	concerns, and we'll generate dialogue.
20:33 15	MS. ADAMES: We've had community
16	meetings where some of the people were invited,
17	and they never did show up. So they kind of
18	make you feel like they're not interested in
19	knowing, you know, what's happening.
20:33 20	MS. PEACE: One thing is, I think,
21	just to be Well, General Barnidge has is
22	a new co-Chair and
23	MS. ADAMES: That's why I
24	apologized. You know, we've been going through
25	this

20:33	1	MS. PEACE: at least, give him a
	2	chance for y'all to
	3	MS. ADAMES: Yes, I believe that.
	4	And for the last few months we've been getting
20:34	5	very good, you know, encouraging, you know,
	6	like you can talk
	7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: That's the way it
	8	should be. Positive notes. I'm Okay. If I
	9	take this question in the audience that means
20:34 1	Lo	we're going to open it up to final community
1	.1	comments, and then we're going to be done.
1	.2	We're running a little
1	.3	MR. RICE: Excuse me. I think we
1	.4	skipped over Old Business.
20:34 1	.5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. What is it?
1	.6	MS. PEACE: The RAB budget.
1	.7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Oh, did I miss the
1	8	RAB budget? The answer is The answer is,
1	9	there is a line item in the contract U.S. Air
20:34 2	0	Force has with SAIC, Incorporated that says
2	1	and I can't tell you to the penny, so I'm going
2	2	to round it to the nearest hundred dollars
2	3	\$8400 for RAB meeting support. That's the
2	4	answer. What that provides you is everything
20:34 2	5	you see, and and the the that budget
	11	

20:34 1	is too high given the current fiscal
2	environment. And I have a charter and
3	environmental management has a taxing right now
4	to go renegotiate that contract to reduce that,
20:35 5	because we we have short falls now that we
6	can't stand and and I mean, it's just
7	kind of that simple.
8	MR. RICE: Well,
9	MR. MIXON: Can I ask Let me ask
20:35 10	this question: And And, you know, from the
11	start we've had a Court Reporter, and from the
12	start I've been impressed that we have a Court
13	Reporter, but I'm wondering why we have a Court
14	Reporter, because there is nothing in the RAB
20:35 15	rules or regulations or anything else that
16	requires a Court Reporter. We usually have
17	somebody take the minutes. And And that's a
18	big expense.
19	MS. PEACE: Well, that
20:35 20	MR. MIXON: The other thing Wait.
21	I'm not finished. We used to have refreshments
22	and cookies when we came to this, and we don't
23	have any. I don't know where they're at.
24	(Laughter.)
20:35 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: What happened,

20:35 1	Guys?
2	MR. MIXON: And y'all are going to
3	cut I don't want the cookies We want the
4	cookies back.
20:35 5	MS. PEACE: Can I just address the
6	part about the transcript. I myself have found
7	the transcript very, very useful, because the
8	minutes typically have been of such poor
9	quality that I have had to, on several
20:36 10	occasions, refer to the transcript. Or like
11	tonight, I didn't get a chance to look at the
12	transcript so I had to ask y'all, because I
13	couldn't remember, frankly. But typically, the
14	minutes have been of such poor quality that I
20:36 15	think we really do need a transcript.
16	MR. MIXON: The question is: That
17	transcribers, no offense, they get paid very
18	good, and that's a very high, high ticket item,
19	so that's
20:36 20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, the my
21	My pledge to you and my statement to you is
22	if We're reviewing the contract, and those
23	things that we can bring in-house, because it
2 4	is our responsibility, we We take on the
20:36 25	responsibility to host or to sponsor these get
	II

20:36 1	togethers, and those things that we can bring
2	in-house we're going to have to because we
3	necessarily have to. This fiscal year, and you
4	know we run on a fiscal environment, is much
20:37 5	more frugal than in the past.
6	MS. PEACE: Can I make a suggestion?
7	I would suggest that you take the the cost
8	of the transcriber and deduct it from the cost
9	that you pay SAIC for the minutes.
10	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, see, that's
11	that's
12	MS. PEACE: Can we do that?
13	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I Y'all can make
14	all your suggestions. We're going to get this
20:37 15	thing cheaper, and I'm going to figure out how
16	to do it, and that's the answer to the
17	question.
18	MR. SANDOVAL: Is that \$8400 monthly,
19	per RAB meeting?
20:37 20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: It's per RAB. Per
21	RAB. That That includes a lot of things,
22	which I don't have the entire list memorized,
23	but all for but for for practical
24	purposes everything you see right here
20:37 25	happening and that went into the creation of

20:37	1	this was mant as that simms
20.37		this was part of that figure.
	2	MR. SANDOVAL: One thing we can take
	3	look at and suggest is a percentage of
	4	advertising for what we're doing is cost
20:37	5	effective as it should
	6	BGEN. BARNIDGE: We're going to
	7	the I have been told, and you guys know
	8	better than I do, guys and ladies, know better
	9	than I do what has caused microphones and Court
20:38	10	Reporters and all these things to appear. I'm
	11	not going reengage in all that. I've got to
	12	make it cheaper. I'm going find a way to do
	13	it.
	14	MS. PEACE: I bet you can too, but
20:38	15	you've got some people with expertise here that
	16	might be able to help you, if you want.
	17	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I understand what
	18	exists, but I I'm just That's my job, and
	19	I'm going to do it.
20:38	20	MS. PEACE: Good.
	21	MR. QUINTANILLA: General,
	22	MR. RICE: Excuse me.
	23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: You guys are
	24	fighting so
	25	MR. QUINTANILLA: Well, we're not

. 911 0 1 11 9 .

MR. QUINTANILLA: My question is, is you've got \$8400 per quarter for the RAB budget, how much do you have for training of the RAB members? That's very important. We haven't been doing this. There should be a line item for that. If you want a good effective RAB you should have a good effective training.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Go ahead.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: I don't disagree with that. Let -- Let me look at that.

MR. SANDOVAL: We did have some good health risk assessment training at the TRS meeting, and we did have a couple of individuals from both the EPA and contractors come out and actually give us a refresher course on risk assessment. So in support of what's happened so far we have at least had that in TRS.

MR. QUINTANILLA: We have had the people after we've come in, we don't have (inaudible) although, some bases -- there's some bases that have some people come after they come in.

20:39	1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Let Let me just
	2	look at training.
	3	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's a good idea.
	4	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I think, that's
20:39	5	That's not a bad idea at all.
	6	MS. PEACE: When I first joined the
	7	RAB there was a training on risk assessment
	8	that Kelly had for us that I found very
	9	useful. Since there's several new members it
20:39	10	might be something that we could do.
	11	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. I would I
	12	would bring that one back here and let's
	13	strategize and see what we can do. Yes, sir?
	14	MR. RICE: Yeah. Thank you for that
20:39	15	piece of information. There's a whole lot more
	16	information I'd like to see about the budget,
	17	but I think, that we can try to take care of
	18	that in an Administrative Committee meeting
	19	like we talked about.
20:40	20	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I'll tell you
	21	what, sir, there ain't no more information
	22	you're going to get, that's the end of it,
	23	\$8400 was a single line item, Line Item Number
	24	2, on a whole list of things on the contract.
20:40	25	MR. RICE: And that's There is no
	1	

20:41 25

other money spent on the RAB, at all, other than that?

BGEN. BARNIDGE: Sorry?

MR. RICE: There is no other money spent on the RAB other than that.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: There is -- there is -- there is -- depending on situations that -- that get beyond -- I don't even have the list of things that \$8400 includes committed to memory, but if you get outside that bounds, that laundry list, then, yeah, there's other money for it.

I think that the -- the point really doesn't matter, because I've got to reduce it anyhow, and -- and, quite frankly, it's an Air Force contract to -- to help host this thing, so -- so whether it's \$8400 or \$6400 doesn't really matter.

MR. RICE: I think that my point was a little bit broader, because what I was hoping we could talk about in this Administrative Committee meeting are items, like how much money has been spent on the RAB to date, what are the sources of that funding, and what are sort of the broad categories for which that money is spent? That's the source --

20:41 1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yes, sir. If I can
2	ask you, toward what end This is my
3	close-out comment on this. What Towards
4	what end do you need to know all that what
20:41 5	for?
6	MR. RICE: Well, I guess, there's any
7	number of reasons. One is that I'm a taxpayer
8	and I'd like to know how much money is being
9	
-	spent on this. But also, I'm a member of the
20:41 10	RAB, and money is being spent in the name of
11	RAB, and as a RAB member I have no idea whether
12	we're talking \$50,000 a year or \$500,000 a year
13	for RAB.
14	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I just told you,
20:41 15	\$8400 per meeting, and I'm going to reduce that
16	number.
17	MR. RICE: And that's all the money
18	that is spent on RAB?
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: That is the I'm
20:41 20	not going to say that, because over the past if
21	things exceeded that list then then extra
22	moneys went for it. What I'm telling you is,
23	that from from today there's a Line Item
24	Number 2, it says, \$8400, RAB meeting support,
20:42 25	and I've got to reduce that number. And what

20:42	1
	2
	3
	4
20:42	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
20:42	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
20:42	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
20:42	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

20:43 25

that number is going to be is the best I -- the lowest I can get it, and that's -- that's the end of it. I mean, I have nothing else I can say.

MR. RICE: Well, I -- I hope we can broaden it a little bit in the next meeting of the Administrative Committee.

MR. QUINTANILLA: I would like to ask this question. It's not a question, it's just a comment -- comment. If you compare it with the other DOD agencies they also have line items -- they also have some training so much for RAB that can travel to other RAB members. It's not a travel (inaudible) it's only about \$1,000 off of that training is so much, but I think you could also look at what other DOD line items are in other states.

participated in only three, I admit. I've never seen RAB members travel, but besides that I'm for expanding the training, I think it's a wonderful idea. We'll see what the doable is, but I've been charged right now, because of my professional job, not my RAB job, to reduce that budget, and I've got to do that. So -- I

	ll .
20:43 1	mean, I will let you know the outcome of that.
2	I mean, I don't have any secrets in this deal,
3	and I'll let you know the outcome of that.
4	It's just that easy.
20:43 5	MR. QUINTANILLA: The reason for
6	bringing this up is I went to a RAB conference
7	in Phoenix, Arizona, where the Defense
8	Environmental Restoration Task Force is
9	meeting, and there were some people in that
20:43 10	task force that had been funded for traveling
11	plus, you know, quotas, and all that sort of
12	thing, who attended that RAB conference
13	relaying that information too. You know, I
14	don't want to be you know, how come we're
20:43 15	paying for them and not for us, that's what it
16	is. If DOD allows it for others, it should
17	allow it for Kelly Air Force Base.
18	MS. PEACE: Mr. Quintanilla, would
19	you be prepared to give a report on that
20:43 20	conference that you went to at the next
21	meeting?
22	MR. QUINTANILLA: I can give one,
23	yes.
24	BGEN. BARNIDGE: A short report,
20:43 25	sure.

20:44 1	MR. QUINTANILLA: Five minutes, no
2	longer.
3	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. That's on the
4	agenda for next time. Okay. Oh, Annalisa's
20:44 5	got more. All right. That's it. Community
6	Community comments?
7	MR. RICE: I had another item of Old
8	Business.
9	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Hurry up.
20:44 10	MR. RICE: It was what I had
11	mentioned during the beginning on the minutes
12	that I was asked to defer to later, and I
13	figured that Old Business would be the best
14	place to put it.
20:44 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: What's that?
16	MR. RICE: In the minutes of
17	September 9th, Page 8, Item 3A, the last
18	sentence of that paragraph referring to Camille
19	says, she asked the Air Force to go on record
20:44 20	with their position. So my question is: Has
21	the Air Force gone on record with their
22	position?
23	MS. PEACE: Okay. That's referring
24	to under item Does that refer to Item 2?
20:45 25	MR. RICE: Yes. That also refers to

20:45

1

items -- That refers to Item 2, that's correct.

MS. PEACE: Okay.

MS. HUENI: I would just like to make a comment on that, since -- since it is my statement -- a clarification. The comment that I made was really to clarify a -- a statement that George had made in the presentation that the Air Force was only responsible -- or was saying they were only responsible for contamination at 300 feet from the base boundary. And the point I wanted to make was -- was I -- it was my impression there was a different source other than the Air Force who had made that comment. And I would like to make the statement that really in all the reports, in all the negotiations, in all the news we've had with the Air Force we have never heard that statement, and it would be very counter to the progress that is ongoing now at the base. So I just wanted to frame that comment.

BGEN. BARNIDGE: So you were responding to him; is that what you said?

MS. HUENI: He was raising a comment regarding my comment in the minutes. So I just

20:46 1	wanted to clarify that the question I had asked
2	was very specific, not a broad question, and it
3	was regarding the 300-foot comment.
4	MR. RICE: So my I guess, my question
20:46 5	is couple maybe these notes don't don't
6	accurately reflect what you asked, but the
7	notes say that Camille asked the Air Force to
8	go on record with their position regarding
9	Item 2 above. And my question is: Has the Air
20:46 10	Force gone on position with gone on record
11	with regard to that?
12	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Are the minutes
13	wrong, ma'am?
14	MS. HUENI: I'm not sure that they're
20:47 15	really complete, because Number 3
16	BGEN. BARNIDGE: 3A?
17	MS. HUENI: Yeah. 3A really is in
18	reference to the preceding comment, so and I
19	was asking for clarification as to whether or
20:47 20	not the Air Force had made that statement,
21	which which when I heard it, and it was I
22	was I was very surprised, so so
23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Do we know Let me
24	ask the EM staff. Do we know the answer to the
20:47 25	question? Do y'all?

20:47	1	MR. BAILEY: The answer to the
	2	question is and I'll pass off to our legal
	3	staff in just one minute is: That there is
	4	currently an ongoing lawsuit. The Department
20:47	5	of Justice is representing the United States
	6	Air Force, and they have witnesses that might
	7	make statements. But this is part of a
	8	lawsuit, this is not part of us in pertaining
	. 9	to this particular area, so what Mr. Rice is
20:48	10	introducing is court information, which we have
	11	said the United States Air Force will not
	12	debate this lawsuit in a RAB session.
	13	MS. BROWN: Yes. I'm Lesley Brown
	14	with the Kelly Environmental Legal Office. And
20:48	15	I believe what Mr. Rice is referring to is
	16	directly related to the federal lawsuit that
	17	Mr. Quintanilla has filed, and because it is a
	18	direct issue in the case the Air Force cannot
	19	comment on it.
20:48	20	MR. RICE: So that answers my
	21	question: You have not gone on record with a
	22	position?
	23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I think so. I don't
	24	know.
20:48	25	MS. PEACE: I think that this
	11	

20:48	1	BGEN. BARNIDGE: I think, the Air
	2	Force just said "No Comment" is what the Air
	3	Force just said. Okay. Any other New
	4	Business?
20:48	5	All right. Community. Any other comments
	6	out of the community? Okay. Where are we at?
	7	Date and time of next RAB meeting. Damian,
	8	since since we've now let the cat out of the
	9	bag on you I'll look at - at least my schedule
20:49 1	0	and the 29th of April works for me.
1	.1	MR. QUINTANILLA: Wow, that's a long
1	.2	time off.
1	.3	BGEN. BARNIDGE: It's quarterly,
1	.4	right?
20:49 1	.5	MS. PEACE: Well, it's been asked to
. 1	.6	have them every two months.
1	.7	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Can we do
1	.8	this one then, and during that time then
1	.9	we'll we'll get together and because you
20:49 2	0	and I need to get together anyhow and and
2	1	but can we set this as the date? Because right
2	2	now the month of March I'm not even here.
2	3	MR. SANDOVAL: 26th? Is the 26th
2	4	Fiesta? I was mentioning Fiesta. The 29th of
20:49 2	5	April.

20:49 1	MR. QUINTANILLA: That's too far.
2	BGEN. BARNIDGE: That's three
3	months. That's quarterly, the end of March.
4	MR. RICE: Yeah. I think that some
20:50 5	people are hoping that we can have meetings
6	much more frequently than quarterly.
7	MS. PEACE: I think that's something
8	we'll have to take up in Administrative when we
9	set those days.
20:50 10	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. If that's an
11	agenda item for future discussions, that's fine
12	with me, but
13	MR. RICE: May I make a comment?
14	MS. PEACE: What is here?
20:50 15	BGEN. BARNIDGE: next The 29th
16	of April; is that all right with you, Damian?
17	MR. SANDOVAL: I think that's a
18	little bit too far out. Name a date
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Not March. We can't
20:50 20	do it. I'm not here.
21	MR. PUFFER: Not before the 7th, 8th
22	or 9th of April.
23	MS. PEACE: We have a subcommittee
24	meeting.
20:50 25	BGEN. BARNIDGE: When is your When

20:50	1	is your TRS meeting? You've got to have that
	2	before the RAB.
	3	MR. SANDOVAL: Oh, we'll definitely
	4	have at least one before that.
20:50	5	MS. PEACE: Oh, yeah, they will. The
	6	24th of March. You're April on the 21st,
	7	and we had
	8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Can we continue,
	9	because I don't have my I didn't bring my
20:51	10	schedule?
	11	MR. SANDOVAL: We can talk about it.
	12	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Can we just announce
	13	it, and it'll be in April now, I'm not going to
	14	fuss with that. And we'll pick a Wednesday in
20:51	15	April, is that
	16	MR. QUINTANILLA: The first Wednesday
	17	in April.
	18	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Well, I don't know
	19	what my schedule says, but I will communicate
20:51	20	with Damian, and it will be published a date in
	21	April a Wednesday in April.
	22	MS. PEACE: Okay.
	23	BGEN. BARNIDGE: And then New Agenda
	24	items. Let's roll.
20:51	25	MS. PEACE: Okay. I have three. One

		<b>!</b>
20:51	1	of them is the the Technical Review
	2	Subcommittee report, Item Number 1, that we had
	3	said, and then this is kind of embarrassing,
	4	but in the Administrative Committee meeting
20:51	5	there was an item that we had left off our
	6	recommendations when I was going through the
	7	minutes.
	8	It was Item Number 2, when we had a
	9	committee meeting, nobody could remember what
20:51	10	that was. We needed to have a vote on that in
	11	the next meeting and find out what that is.
	12	Also, we have We also Administrative
	13	Committee about scheduling the meetings. So
	14	the schedule of of the RAB meetings, we're
20:52	15	going to try to come up with a six-month
	16	schedule so that we won't have to be doing this
	17	every meeting, and then Mr. Quintanilla's
	18	report on on the restoration in Phoenix.
	19	MR. POSNICK: We got the report on
20:52	20	the (inaudible) discussing some of the
	21	highlights of the forum.
	22	MS. PEACE: Oh, that's a good one.
	23	MR. MCGEE: Could you repeat that for
	24	the Court Reporter.
20:52	25	MR. POSNICK: Alan Posnick, TNRCC, I

20:52 1	suggested that we be reviewing some of the
2	highlights of the community forums. There will
3	be one in February, and one in March, so it
4	sounds like we'll have two.
20:52 5	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay.
6	MR. QUINTANILLA: A report on the
7	disestablishment of of the RAB.
8	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Yeah. I owe you
9	that one. Okay. Very good. Anything else?
20:53 10	Let's go down the side here? Folks up this
11	side?
12	MR. SANDOVAL: The report for
13	getting Sam, from the Health Department on
14	the results of the survey.
20:53 15	MR. SANCHEZ: Yeah.
16	MR. SANDOVAL: And then also the
17	follow up on the distribution of additional
18	information out to the public.
19	BGEN. BARNIDGE: You lost me with
20:53 20	that one. Is that I didn't hear half of it.
21	MR. BAILEY: Yeah. All right.
22	BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Good. Sir,
23	anything else? David? Okay.
24	MR. PERSON: I make a motion to
20:53 25	adjourn.
	· ·

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./ESQUIRE COMMUNICATIONS 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230

20:53 1 BGEN. BARNIDGE: Okay. Let me tell 2 y'all, number one, a disappointment to me in 3 the past RABs is the number of people that are 4 sitting in the wings. I hope that you will 20:53 5 invite your friends. This is -- This is a -for the community. It's not for us to sit here 6 7 and talk to each other; it's for the 8 community. And I'd love to have -- to organize a 9 20:54 10 session with your group, and you're going to 11 come back, that's an agenda item, and tell us 12 what your proposal would be. But these are good. And all we've got to 13 14 do is just keep talking, and I guarantee you 20:54 15 we'll take on any -- any mountain that's in 16 front of us here, and then we'll just deal with 17 the cards as we've got them. But this is a 18 good forum, Gang, and all we've got to do is 19 just keep pressing with it. So thank you for 20:54 20 your time, and have a good life. The meeting's 21 over. (Applause and meeting adjourned.) 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF TEXAS *
2	COUNTY OF BEXAR *
3	I, DICIE LEE EYTCHESON, a
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public
5	in and for the State of Texas, do hereby
6	certify that the above and foregoing contain a
7	true and correct transcription of all
8	proceedings, all of which occurred and were
9	reported by me.
10	WITNESS MY HAND, this the July
11	day of February, A.D. 1998.
12	
13	$\left( \left( \left$
14	Cert No. 5392 Alle Sec Escheron
15	DICIE LEE EYTCHESON
16	Expires: Dec.'98 Certified Shorthand Reporter
17	and Notary Public in and for
18	(210) 377-3027 the State of Texas
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
,	·

## FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE