

## KELLY AFB TEXAS

## ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD COVER SHEET

AR File Numbe 3364.10

KELLY AR # 3364.10

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD FEBRUARY 5, 1996

ORIGINAL



## KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES

7800 W. IH-10 - STE. 100 San Antonio, TX 78230

(800) 969-3027 (210) 377-3027 FAX (210) 344-6016

Dallas, TX (214) 720-4567

Austin, TX (512) 452-0011 Houston, TX (713) 681-9800 Midland, TX (915) 683-3032 San Antonio, TX (210) 377-3027

Pecos, TX (915) 683-3032

Odessa, TX (915) 683-3032 Laredo, TX (915) 683-3032 Amarillo, TX (806) 359-8734 San Angelo, TX (915) 658-4143

Lafayette, LA (318) 988-0962

Baton Rouge, LA (504) 343-2020

New Orleans, LA (504) 529-3355

Corpus Christi, TX (512) 949-9555

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	1 [	MR. LARRY BAILEY Kelly Air Force Base Co-chair;
3		MR. JUAN F. SOLIS, SR.
4		Brady Garden Association/Community Co-chair;
5		MR. ALLAN HAGELTHORN
6	11	Community Member;
7	11	MR. GARY BEYER
8	11	Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Austin;
9	11	MR. GEORGE RICE Groundwater Hydrologist;
10		
11	11	MR. ARMANDO C. QUINTANILLA Community Member;
12		PROF. GENE W. LENE
13		St. Mary's University;
14		MR. EDWARD WEINSTEIN San Antonio Water System;
15	11	MR. KIRK LOFTIN
16		Alternate for Sam Sanchez, San Antonio Metropolitan Health
17		District;
18	<b>1</b> i	MR. TOM CULBERTSON Community Member;
19	11	MR. SAM MURRAH
20	]	Broker/Appraiser;
21		YOLANDA JOHNSON  Committee for Environmental Justice- Action;
22		NICOLAS RODRIGUEZ, JR.
23		
24	11	MR. THOMAS E. MOORE Kelly AFB Employee;
25		MR. THOMAS SMITH Union Pacific Railroad;

KIM TINDALL & ASSOCIATES, INC./TEX-SCRIBE 7800 IH-10 WEST, SUITE 100, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230 (210) 377-3027 1-800-969-3027 FAX (210) 344-6016

1	MR. PAUL ROBERSON
2	MS. ANNALISA PEACE
3	MR. MICHAEL ESTRADA Kelly Air Force Base Chief,
4	Environmental Issues, Office of Public Affairs;
5	MR. DANIEL MEDINA
6	Acting Branch Chief, Restoration Operations Branch,
7	Kelly Air Force Base;
8	MR. CLIFF TRIMBLE Chief of Environmental Contracts,
9	Kelly Air Force Base;
10	MAJOR ALLAN DETERT Acquisition Law Division,
11	Kelly Air Force Base;
12	MR. FRANK VEGA City of San Antonio Public Works Dept.;
14	DON WHITE Civil Engineer, Engineer Engineer
15	Fernandez, Frazer & White;
16	JULIE A. SEAL Certified Shorthand Reporter and
17	Notary Public.
18	* * * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

On the 5th day of February, A.D. 1996, 1 the above entitled meeting came on for 2 3 discussion before said LARRY BAILEY, and the following proceedings were had: 4 MR. BAILEY: If I could have 5 everybody's attention, Members of the 6 7 Restoration Advisory Board, Kelly Air Force Base/San Antonio Community Board, welcome to 8 this year's first Restoration Board meeting. 9 There are a number of issues which we will 10 attempt to tie in tonight to the overall program 11 that's happening at Kelly Air Force Base. 12 will do that tonight just in a very cursory way, 13 just in the beginning --14 15 speak louder, please? 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIENCE MEMBER ONE: Could you

MR. BAILEY: Certainly -- we will do that in a very cursory way, and then in the March time period, we are going to have a formal presentation by some people who are more familiar with the privatization effort at the So, we will take up some of the RAB's time in the March time period.

Also, during March, General Childress, the Commander of the San Antonio Air Logistics

Center, would like to come and speak to the RAB. That's in the March time period. So, at the close of tonight's meeting, I will be asking for a tentative date -- and I would ask that you bear with me so that we could pick a Monday like we normally do -- but I would need to ensure that that's fully coordinated with his time. Again, General Childress would like to come to the Board meeting in the March time period.

In the May time period, we foresee -- and it looks as though right now -- so that if you could tentatively mark your calendars for May 8th -- and this is on a Wednesday -- the Defense Environmental Restoration Task Force, which is headed up by the most senior environmental person within the Department of Defense,

Ms. Sheri Goodman, she and her task force will be here in San Antonio. They would very much like to attend a RAB meeting. So, they have asked us to ask you to consider May 8th. So, whereas, tonight we will not vote on it. I will be getting back in touch -- or someone from here -- will be getting back in touch with you to ensure that May 8th fits your schedule.

So, those are the two events that I'd like

1 to talk about. So, again, we will get to the March meeting date when we get to the new 2 business. 3 At this time, I'd like to call the meeting 4 5 formally to order and ask for those people --6 are there any -- well, I would like to ask for approval of the December minutes and ask if 7 there are any questions regarding those minutes. 8 MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes, I have one 9 question. Instead of "Leon Creek," it should be 10 "Six Mile Creek." I'll find the page number. 11 12 MR. BAILEY: While Mr. Quintanilla is taking a look at that, are 13 there any other comments from the Board members 14 15 relative to the minutes? MR. QUINTANILLA: It's on Page 4, 16 17 second paragraph. 18 MR. BAILEY: Okay. Page 4 --MR. QUINTANILLA: It's the first 19 20 paragraph. 21 MR. BAILEY: Page 4, first 22 paragraph. MR. QUINTANILLA: Change "Leon 23 24 Creek" to "Six Mile Creek." MR. BAILEY: Making that 25

correction, then I ask for a motion to approve 1 the December meeting minutes. 2 MR. WEINSTEIN: I so move. 3 MR. RICE: Second. 4 5 MR. BAILEY: Second? We've had a motion and it's been seconded. For all those in 6 favor, please show a sign of hands. 7 (Vote by the RAB members.) 8 MR. BAILEY: All those nay? 9 (Vote by the RAB members.) 10 11 MR. BAILEY: It has been 12 approved. Second item on the agenda is membership 13 action. We have three members that have asked 14 15 to join the Restoration Advisory Board. background, we currently have 17 members that 16 are on the Board. The three new members are 17 Ms. Peace -- could you stand, please. Thank you 18 very much -- Mr. Roberson -- if you could stand, 19 please -- and Mr. Smith -- if you could stand, 2.0 21 please. Historically, the way that we've handled 22 this is, we have asked for the Board members, 23 who have already been sent information relative 24

to each of these three people, to review that

expectant members leave the room. I would ask 2 if that is the way you wish to continue this or 3 would you like to just vote on it as a motion 4 right now whether to accept the members. 5 MR. CULBERTSON: I move we accept 6 them -- all three of them. 7 MR. QUINTANILLA: I second that. 8 9 MR. BAILEY: Okay. The motion has been made. It has been first and seconded 10 that -- that -- on the table is to approve the 11 12 three new members as per the information provided for them. We'll now have a call for 13 those approving the three members. Please raise 14 15 your hand, please. (Vote by the RAB members.) 16 17 MR. BAILEY: For those nay, please raise your hand. 18 19 (Vote by the RAB members.) MR. BAILEY: Okay. If you would, 20 21 please, move to the table. Thank you very much. We have name tags that have been 22 provided, at this time, that we're asking people 23 to wear, if you so choose, simply because 24 meeting every six to eight weeks -- and 25

and we have voted while the -- while the

sometimes people are not here every six to eight weeks -- that there is difficulty in remembering the name, but not the face. We've also included place cards -- or placards -- in -- in front with peoples' names, again, to help us all out in name recognition and to know what people are, in fact, saying. We now have a total after tonight's vote of 20 people being on the Restoration Advisory Board. So, in the minutes that follow -- that go with tonight's meeting and that are forwarded out to the RAB members, we will be attaching the membership, the name, address and phone number for all the RAB members so that the list has been brought up-to-date.

I'd like to go to the second item in the -or the second bullet under the second item,
which is called "Disclosure Statements." For
those of you who were here at the December
meeting, it was asked by the Charter
Subcommittee and addressed by the RAB
membership -- for those of you who were not
here -- it has to do with a conflict of
interest disclosure statement, which has still
not been finalized by the RAB Subcommittee. It
will be. It's just being worked on now. I

25

would ask that, at this time, what we agreed upon -- I'm going to read a statement -- and, specifically, we're not asking for individuals to indicate other -- to indicate specifics behind what I'm going to ask for -- just to indicate if, in fact, your position happens to fall in line with one of these conditions. That's what we agreed upon this last time. So, the specific statement that I'd like to read -and all I'd like to see -- or all we would like to see -- is just a raising of the hand, if your situation today currently falls within this statement. This is to ensure -- the purpose -- that all RAB members are informed of who may fall into this category. That is, for an environmental contractor with contracts at Kelly Air Force Base -- again, if you're on the RAB -- a RAB member who has a claim against the base, a RAB who is involved in or anticipates being involved in litigation against the base or who otherwise has a conflict of interest with the base. So, again, we're not asking specifics. We're just asking that if you happen to fall within any of those conditions that you raise your hand, just so that the other RAB

members know who you are. 1 MR. QUINTANILLA: (Raises hand.) 2 MR. BAILEY: Thank you very 3 much. 4 Going on to issue No. 3 --5 MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. Chairman, 6 7 for the record, I'd like to a make statement concerning my conflict of interest. 8 MR. BAILEY: Expanding what you 9 10 said previously? MR. OUINTANILLA: No. 11 12 entirely new. MR. BAILEY: Okay. 13 MR. OUINTANILLA: I have a 14 conflict of interest. I have filed a lawsuit in 15 federal court concerning the contamination 16 17 nuisance caused by Kelly Air Force Base. there are going to be any discussions on Zone 3, 18 please let me know beforehand so that I may 19 recuse myself. That is the statement. 20 Thank you, sir. MR. BAILEY: 21 22 Yes, sir? MR. RICE: I'd just like to 23 mention -- of my potential conflict. That is, 24 I'm working with people north of the base --25

MR. BAILEY: Excuse me. Could I ask you to speak up just a bit?

MR. RICE: Yeah. I have a -what may be a conflict of interest. I'm working
with people to the north of the base, helping
them to develop a sampling and analysis plan for
soils and groundwater.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you very much.

Going on to the next issue, at this time,

I'd like to introduce Mr. Mike Estrada and

Mr. Dan Medina. There were some questions asked

regarding training for RAB members and these two

gentlemen will address that issue.

MR. ESTRADA: Good evening. My name is Mike Estrada. I'm with the Kelly Public Affairs office. I work entirely in the environmental program at Kelly. This evening, I'm going to -- not take up too much of your time -- but I'm going to cover a few primary topics, that's a request we had from RAB members a couple meetings ago to get a subscription of Defense Environment Alert. We've also got a new project going to get some Restoration Advisory Board information up on the Internet, and we

also had a request to go to Wright-Patterson for some training.

On the <u>Defense Environment Alert</u>, the Kelly Air Force Base library has ordered two subscriptions of that publication. We expect to receive the first issue sometime in March. One copy of that will be going to the community co-chair, Mr. Solis. I would just like to suggest to Mr. Solis that if he sees something in those issues that he thinks might pertain to all of the RAB members, that he make that known to the RAB members. The other copy will be going to the Information Repository at the Kelly Air Force Base library and that will be available for anybody here in the room -- for anybody else who wants to just go in at any time that the library is open and peruse that.

On nights that we have RAB meetings, I am going to bring the copy from the Kelly Air Force Base library here, so the RAB members can look at it, if they haven't had a chance to look at it previous. And, again, I might suggest to Mr. Solis that he might also want to bring his copy so that we've got two of them available.

On the Internet Access, the Kelly homepage

23

24

25

went up about a month ago on the Internet. We're still in the process of building it, which believe me, is quite a process. We are working on a very large environmental setting, primarily on the Installation Restoration Program. A portion of that includes a section on the RAB. Right now, there's nothing specific in the RAB. In the next couple of weeks, we hope to put in there both the announcement of when the next RAB meeting will be. We hope to put in there the agenda for the next RAB meeting. We would also like to get in the membership roster and we would also put in the meeting minutes. probably keep about a year's worth of meeting minutes once the thing is fully up and running. For those of you who have access, the address is right there.

Once you get in, you click on Public

Affairs. Once the Public Affairs' screen comes

up, you click on the Environmental Section.

Once that comes up, you click on Installation

Restoration Program -- and just one more -- once
that comes up, you click on RAB. Okay? Like I

say, the next couple of weeks we should have
that up fully.

MR. RICE: Mike? 1 2 MR. ESTRADA: Yes. MR. RICE: Is there a chance we 3 4 could get the RAB newsletter posted there? 5 MR. ESTRADA: Yes. Are we going to do another one? 6 The first one 7 MR. RICE: I will. 8 was good. 9 MR. ESTRADA: But that's probably something we need to talk about tonight, if we 10 are going to do a second one, because it's due. 11 12 Okay? The last one, we had four members request 13 training with the Air Force Institute of 14 15 Technology at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base for a course they call ENV 021, which is a very 16 17 basic course in geology and how the installation 18 restoration program works. We ran into a 19 problem with this in that the Air Force 20 Institute of Technology was more than willing to take RAB members, but the Air Force training 21 system is not set up to pay for travel, per diem 22 23 and incidental expenses for civilians. So, at this point, unfortunately, we have no way of 24

getting RAB members up to AFIT for training.

There's some option that we're looking at.

Some of that is going through our

headquarters -- the training section. We've

also got a questionnaire down from the Pentagon

that's asking for just -- generalized -- what

problems are we having in the field? I'm going

to report this one as a problem and see if they

can't work it up in the Pentagon, as well.

Unless we have any questions on that, I'm going to turn it over to Dan because he has some options for local training.

MR. MEDINA: Hello, everyone. My name is Daniel Medina. I'm the Acting Branch Chief here at the Restoration -- here at Kelly -- and one of the other opportunities, as far as training is concerned, was one that we presented at the last RAB meeting concerning the folks at Armstrong Laboratories doing some training locally.

The Armstrong Laboratory folks are more than happy to take part in this training and have asked that we establish a time. Now, the last time that we had told everyone, we had received about four responses for training. So, one of the things that we want to try and do is

have as many people come to the training as possible. So, we're going to propose that -- in talking with Armstrong Laboratory folks, we're going to propose that we have training in the evening or on a Saturday the week of February 20th -- and that's -- that's a Tuesday. I'm going to offer a couple of dates and I'd like for you-all to get back with us -- and, again, I'm asking that the RAB take a look at their schedule and provide us some feedback as to when they can come out and take a look at this training.

Again, this training is part of the Armstrong Laboratory's training to show how risk

Again, this training is part of the

Armstrong Laboratory's training to show how risk

assessments are put together -- and a better

understanding of how risk assessments are put

together -- and give you some ideas and take

some of the scientific language that's -- that

you usually associate with risk assessments -
take some of that and bring it to a level that

we can all understand and all appreciate when we

see different values and different numbers and

understand how they're applied.

We have a couple dates. As I said,
February 20th is a Tuesday. February 21st is a

Wednesday, February 23rd a Friday -- and, of course, we could have it in the evenings after 5:30 or 6:00 o'clock -- please let us know what time -- and, then, Saturday, we could always host it on a morning.

MR. SOLIS: Mr. Medina, how many hours is a block of instruction?

MR. MEDINA: This block of instruction is approximately three to four hours. So, you may want to really consider the Saturday session, because -- especially coming out of work and everything -- you may want to have this on a Saturday and start fresh and get started early, so you can ask a lot of questions.

The Armstrong Laboratory folks are more than happy to do so this. And, again, I ask that we have as many people attend as possible so we can hold a good class and ask a lot of questions. Again, if you want to get back with Mike Estrada with Public Affairs, let us know and -- and we'll be more than happy to accommodate this. We'll wait a few days and wait for everyone's responses. If you'd like to let us know tonight, that's fine, too -- and let

us know and we'll go ahead and plan that with the Armstrong Laboratory folks and give everyone a call and let them know when that training will be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

To give you some personal updates, we've had some changes in the organization as -- as you might suspect, some new faces that you may see in the coming -- coming weeks at different presentations. Mr. Ron Catchings has gone on to do other work. He is no longer with us, working on Zone 3 issues. So, I have two individuals that will be working with us -- and that's Mr. Eupi Quinones -- and Mr. Robby Greg will be working and taking a large part of the Zone 3 responsibilities with Mr. Quinones -- if you could stand up -- he's right back there. Mr. Quinones will be active in working on the Quintana Road culvert project and working with the City of San Antonio on the project.

Also, too, we have another individual that has joined our team -- and that's Mr. Paul Hughes -- if I could -- there he is. He's right back there, also. He'll be working mostly on -- on issues related to the operation and maintenance of our systems -- the interim

systems -- which will become the final remedial 1 2 systems. He's working with us on those issues. 3 Getting onto the next slide, talking a little bit -- Yes, ma'am? 4 AUDIENCE MEMBER TWO: 5 Sir, I thought this meeting was more serious than 6 7 this. I thought you guys would be prepared with 8 a microphone. We can't really hear you. MR. MEDINA: Okay. I'll try and 9 talk a little bit louder, if I --10 AUDIENCE MEMBER TWO: Well -- I 11 mean -- Well if somebody -- if they could stand 12 up, it would probably help, you know, when they 13 14 speak. Yes, ma'am. We sure 15 MR. MEDINA: will. 16 17 AUDIENCE MEMBER TWO: Maybe, I suggest, next time you bring a microphone. 18 19 MR. MEDINA: Yes. 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER TWO: Thank you. MR. MEDINA: What I wanted to 21 talk about next is the funding issues. Some of 22 you may have already read this in the local 23 newspaper regarding funding impacts to the 24 restoration programs here at Kelly Air Force 25

Base. And one of the main concerns has been -is, first of all, how is it going to affect the
cleanup actions that we have at Kelly Air Force
Base? And, also, how is it going to influence
the privatization efforts here at Kelly? And
what I'd like to point out, is that we do not
foresee the budget questions that we have right
now -- the questions regarding budgeting and
information like that -- to -- to be a major
obstacle.

We see that -- the privatization effort continuing on down the process. We still see the cleanup efforts going on down their process. We have been fortunate enough that we have quite a few of the cleanup systems already in place and still operating. We're working with both our command -- Air Force Material Command -- and new command that will be overseeing the closure of some of the base, which is Air Force Base Conversion Agency, working with them to obtain the necessary funding for the cleanup.

We're still maintaining our projections.

We're still working toward our goal of -- of, at least, having 20 -- approximately \$20 million

for our funding for this year. So, we're still 1 working towards that goal. What we're working 2 on, also, is working with our command to ensure that areas that are eligible for environmental cleanup dollars are still there. So, I want to 5 6 be sure that everybody understands what is going 7 on. MR. OUINTANILLA: Will this 8 funding concern delay contracts to clean up the 9 10 areas? MR. MEDINA: It may -- It may --11 It may slow down a couple of the impact -- or a 12 13 couple of the projects, but what we're trying to do is identify -- and we have identified with 14 the -- with -- especially, the Air Force Base 15 Conversion Agency -- identify those areas that 16 are high priority and keep them on a high -- on 17 a high list -- high on that list. 18 MR. RICE: Do off-base areas have 19 20 higher priority --MR. MEDINA: Yes, they do. 21 SOLIS: Can you repeat the 22 23 question so people will know what he asked? MR. MEDINA: His question -- I'm 24 Are the off-base 25 sorry. His question was:

areas still being looked at as high priority? 1 And, yes, they are. They are still being looked 2 3 at as high priority. 4 MR. RICE: Excuse me. It was 5 higher priority than on-base areas? 6 MR. MEDINA: Yes, they are. 7 MR. RICE: They are higher priority? 8 9 MR. MEDINA: Higher priority, 10 yes. 11 We're currently looking at receiving --Yes? 12 AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: 13 14 have a question. I wanted to find out if the --15 is going to purchase the property that they 16 contaminated? Currently, the 17 MR. MEDINA: projections are to -- to maintain the same 18 19 property that we have in place right now. not to go out and purchase the property that's 20 been impacted. Now, in other areas, you know, 21 22 we will be doing cleaning up. So, as far as specifics are concerned -- you know, if you have 23 24 any questions on a particular area that you want 25 to talk about, please feel free to come to us

during the break and let us know which area 1 you're concerned about and we'll be more than 2 happy to talk about those areas. 3 This chart summarizes what we have -- what 4 we're projecting on seeing in the coming months, 5 as far as BRAC funding is concerned. 6 funding is funding that's provided for base 7 closures and we're looking at -- at some of 8 these -- at some of these projections here --9 two million dollars in February of '96 and 10 approximately four to five million in March of 11 196. 12 Again, we're working with the Base 13 Conversion Agency to ensure that funding is 14 available and that we're able to apply those on 15 those sites being -- being closed down -- and 16 we'll look to see later in the summer, as far as 17 18 getting additional funds. MR. MURRAH: The remainder 19 that -- no set amount or anything --20 I'm sorry? 21 MR. MEDINA: MR. MURRAH: A remainder that the 22 23 amount --No, not yet -- not 24 MR. MEDINA: That's what we're working on right now, 25 yet.

yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The question was whether -- is there a dollar amount for the remainder of 1996? no, not at this point right now.

On the subject of IRP accomplishments -- I think now that we've gone through our first full year of Restoration Advisory Board -- meeting with the Restoration Advisory Board -- we'd like to highlight some of the accomplishments that we've done -- or have had with the IRP program -- and there are two -- there are two things I'd like to mention -- is that in 1994, the Installation Restoration Program here at Kelly did receive an award in the command as being one of the model Installation Restoration Programs in the Air Force. And, then, also, we were able to get an award for --Ms. Victoria Wark, as part of our staff -- an individual award in -- in our DERA program. We were also able to go out to a community -- to our public meeting and address the final groundwater remedial actions for Zones 1, 2 and 3 and those -- those remedial actions for groundwater were approved by the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, who identified

(210) 377-3027

and looked at our documents and took a look at 1 the remedial actions that were proposed. 2 MR. RICE: Excuse me, Dan. The 3 TNRCC approval, is that final approval or -- or 4 no? 5 That's final MR. MEDINA: 6 7 approval. MR. RICE: Final? 8 MR. MEDINA: Yes. 9 MR. RICE: Is that something 10 that's been done in the last month or so? 11 Because I understood that they hadn't given 12 final approval as of our last meeting. 13 14 MR. MEDINA: Yes. That's --Well, that's on the soils. On the soils, we 15 hadn't received final approvals on those, yet. 16 MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. Chairman, 17 has a permit been issued by TNRCC to start the 18 work or is -- is a permit not required? 19 MR. MEDINA: A permit is 20 currently something that we're going through 21 22 right now -- the permit process. MR. BEYER: Mr. Medina, I don't 23 quess it would be -- do you think maybe --24 talking about the final groundwater remedial 25

actions, we're talking -- still talking about
the feasibility studies. We haven't gone
through those final design considerations.

So --

MR. MEDINA: Thank you.

And then one of the other has been the -with the establishment of the RAB is the ability
to pass out -- and -- and provide us a pathway
to distribute information to the community of
which the community has been -- or the RAB has
been very heavily involved in giving out
information to the public and letting them know
about the program. And as I can see by the
turnout this evening, the RAB has done a large
part of spreading the word of what has been
going on and what is going on and what is
projected to go on at Kelly Air Force Base as
part of the restoration program.

We will continue to operate -- as I mentioned earlier in regard to funding -- we will continue to operate our groundwater remedial systems that we have in place already -- and those are in areas of Zones 1, 2 and 3. For those of you who are here for the first time this evening, we have interim

remedial actions which will ultimately become final remedial actions in Zone 3, Zone 4 -- up on the north side of this area here -- Zone 1 and Zone 2.

2.4

We're also looking at beginning operations in Zone 3 -- a new groundwater treatment plant -- and we've also -- with -- working with the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission and also Base Civil Engineering -- we worked with them in establishing a new industrial waste collection system, which will also help us reduce the amount -- the amount of leakage -- or the chances of leakage from the industrial pipeline coming from the shop areas and we're looking at implementing the groundwater remedial actions with the records and decisions coming out.

We're also working with the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission regarding feasibility studies for soils. We did a similar -- We went through a similar process with the groundwater. We're looking at doing a similar -- going through a similar process for soils out in Zones 1, 2 and 3 -- and we will continue with the Zone 4 remedial investigation

that is still ongoing -- and, as well, the issue 1 of Zone 5. 2 MR. MURRAH: In that soil --3 MR. MEDINA: Yes? 4 5 MR. MURRAH: -- you're --6 you're -- I'm just wondering -- what -- what 7 are you trying to find out -- or -- or what are their guidelines? 8 MR. MEDINA: The guidelines 9 right -- The guidelines we're working with are 10 11 for soils that are -- that are under the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission for 12 background levels for metals -- and, then, 13 working for cleanup on organics, as well -- on 14 15 established levels -- regulatory levels. 16 MR. RICE: A couple of 17 meetings -- Excuse me, Sam. 18 MR. MURRAH: I didn't quite get that. What condition is this soil going to 19 be -- Is it going to be ready to plant grass on 20 21 or --MR. MEDINA: Right. 22 What kind of MR. MURRAH: 23 24 condition is it going to be in? What kind of 25 MR. MEDINA:

condition will depend on -- on the regulatory goals that we set up for the soils. There are soils that we have to achieve as far as its use and as far as its cleanup is concerned and that's where we work with the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission to establish those levels to find out. As far as reuse is concerned, it -- it depends on what -- what our chemicals of concern are.

MR. MURRAH: When you get through, is there going to be concrete on top of it or soil --

MR. MEDINA: In some places, it will be concrete and others there will be soil -- or soil caps on top of those.

MR. MURRAH: -- in other words?

MR. MEDINA: Yes.

MR. RICE: I think it was two meetings ago, Dan, we talked about meeting with the contractor who is responsible for cleaning up the soil and talking with them about the assumptions they made and why they chose certain levels and that sort of thing. Have you got a time for us to meet with that contractor?

MR. MEDINA: We're going -- We'll

let you know. We don't have a time, yet. We 1 don't have a time, yet. 2 3 MR. RICE: Do you have any idea if it will be a month or even six months? 4 MR. MEDINA: I don't have a 5 time. I'll have to get back with you on that. 6 MR. RICE: Will it be before the 7 draft documents --8 9 MR. MEDINA: Well, the drafts are already available. 10 Right. Will it be MR. RICE: 11 before the public comment period, though? 12 MR. MEDINA: Yes -- Yes, it 13 would. 14 15 And, then, the last slide here on work schedules is -- we're also looking at the 16 issuance of the Zone 5 Public Draft Focused 17 Feasibility Study in Zone 5 -- and, then, also, 18 the issuing of the Zone 5 Draft Remedial 19 20 Investigation. We'll also continue with our monitoring 21 program here at Kelly. The monitoring program 22 is information that we get to use as part of our 23 designs and for our operation of our systems to 24 make sure where plumes are located and -- and 25

what concentration are at -- and we keep this as part of our -- as our full-time monitoring program to ensure where -- whether our systems are working or not.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Sir, I'd like to ask: How long has this -- has Kelly known this -- all this groundwater has been contaminated.

MR. MEDINA: Well, the groundwater contamination was identified as part of investigations that were done in the 1980s.

As far as when they were actually found, it depends on when some of these sites were created and when they were disturbed. Sometimes the landfills were put there, for example, in the 1940s, but it wasn't until the 1970s or 1980s when we went in with an investigation that we found some of the contamination.

wanted to say -- for example, like the Alamo

Dome -- they were fined for -- for getting the

dirt and everything and putting it other places

without informing people. This is my first

hearing of this situation and I -- we own

property in that -- in that area -- Zone 3 --

1 and we never heard anything from Kelly about this.

> MR. MEDINA: Well, we've had both public meetings -- sort of like -- like we're having here with the Restoration Advisory Board -- but before that we also had Technical Review Committees where the public is invited.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE:

parents live out of town and I --

MR. MEDINA: Okay. One of the things -- Yeah. One of the things that we can do -- and we ask anybody who is new at attending these, is that, if you could please fill out the roster -- we get address -- get your name and address -- and we do forward information to everyone as far as what the programs is concerning. I understand -- especially, if they live out of town -- that they might not be getting the mail-outs. What we do is, our Public Affairs goes out and identifies as many addresses around the surrounding area of Kelly Air Force Base -- and provides mail-outs and -and updates on work that's going on. So, I'd ask that you --

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: What kind

of fines did Kelly receive for this 1 contamination? 2 MR. MEDINA: It hasn't really 3 been -- as much as fines -- it has been an order 4 to go out and do the remediation or do the 5 investigations to go out and identify --6 AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Who is 7 supposed to order the Government to do this? 8 MR. MEDINA: Well, that's done 9 with the Texas Natural Resource Conservation 10 Commission. That's done with the State 11 regulators. 12 And how AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: 13 long will our water and ground be back to 14 normal? 15 MR. MEDINA: It will depend on 16 the different sites and how the groundwater 17 flows and what kind of contaminants are there 18 at -- at those particular sites. 19 If you'd like, I'd be more than happy to 2.0 answer any more questions you have during our 21 break. We can take a look at the areas around 22 Zone 3. 23 Everyone AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: 24 won't be able to hear the questions I have. 25

1

MR. MEDINA: Okay.

2

MR. SOLIS: You know, as a matter

3 4 of general comment, I think what Richard

Carson -- who wrote that book Silent Springs -that first brought to the attention of the

5 6

entire population possibly the dangers of

7

contamination. Prior to that time, there were

8

no rules. Nobody thought anything of it.

9

open a filling station, it goes, they could

10

leave the gas tank there and nobody worried

11

about it because there was no emphasis on -- or

12

recognized as something that was polluting the

13

environment.

14

Today, we have advanced technology.

Now all the agencies are more aware of the

15 16

responsibilities and this is the initiative that

17

Kelly has taken and this RAB is established to

18

inform you and me -- because I live within a

19

mile radius of Kelly -- and I am concerned,

20

So, it's designed to keep us up-to-date on

21

their efforts to correct identifiable --

22

research into what more can be done -- and

identified areas of concern -- and future

23 24

this -- and that will continue through the --

25

the determination of what will be done at Kelly

in the way of utilization.

Now, at that time, we'll face another kind of problem in that some areas may be designated industrial areas -- and those will allow for certain contamination, if you will -- all the residential will have a different standard.

Those for education will have a different standard. So, that's -- that's yet to come. On the -- those studies will not be conducted -- but it has to be established how that land is going to be used. How is that acreage going to be utilized? But, yes, what -- what you say is true. A long time ago, we never knew about this.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: What about the people that are suffering from it now?

MR. SOLIS: That's you and me.
We're here to listen to these guys. Okay?
We're listening to what efforts are being
made -- and you're right. Prior to this, the
dangers were not -- were not known. Now that we
do, yes, we have an ongoing effort -- and this
is not done by Kelly Air Force Base by
themselves. It's overseen by the agency that

Mr. Beyer represents, which is Texas Natural Resource Conservation Committee, and they review what Kelly does and determine if it's adequate to meet the requirements of EPA. They look over Kelly, EPA looks over his shoulder, to make sure that in fact the work is being done to the best possible -- in the best possible manner and the the quickest time frame available with current technology.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: You're talking about overlooked -- how long has this been overlooked, though? I mean, the people there are still suffering. I mean, we might not be able to see it, but there's a lot of deformities, I know, that come from around there that are unexplainable. The drinking water has always been pretty tasty, I guess you could say.

MR. SOLIS: I drink the same water.

MR. BAILEY: If I could just add a couple things, the mail-out list that Kelly Air Force Base has -- has developed over a 200 -- to now -- about a 10,000 mail-out list -- and it's sent to residences or addresses. So, if there's property that's

owned, information that we have is probably going to the residence. I said probably. If for some reason it's not getting back to the other party, then please let us know and we can send it to that other address.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Regarding the issues that you raised relative to the health -- which are the most critical ones to us right now -- if you know of a situation that is happening, we have a member on the Board tonight -- an alternate -- but a person who is here who represents the San Antonio Metropolitan Health District. We have had issues come up in the past where people have indicated, "We believe that there is a concern in our neighborhood. We don't know whether it's Kelly. You-all are the only ones that are talking to us, but we suspect it's you." So, what we have done is, we've said, "Those are the learned health people from the community. Those are the ones who take that information, evaluate it, then come back, take a look at what we're doing." So, the overlooking or the overseeing is not just left up to the State regulators.

There are local people from the San Antonio

Metropolitan Health District that are involved.

There are people from the Environmental

Protection Agency that are involved. And, most recently, at the request of the RAB Board, we invited the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry to come here and see us.

That's an organization, nationally, that goes out and normally looks at the worst contaminated sites in the country. Kelly has not been designated as one of those, but that agency is still going to send a representative here because of the request by the Board.

At the same time, what Kelly Air Force Base has done in conjunction with the Board, we have gone over to our neighbors at Brooks Air Force Base. There is an environmental health group at Brooks and these are the -- who we consider to be the most knowledgeable people -- some of the most knowledgeable people within the Air Force. These people are also coming over and looking at all the data that's been collected at Kelly Air Force Base to determine, "Are there data gaps? Does it make sense? What's happening out there?" So, by no means, is it just Kelly doing what it wants to do.

In this situation -- and we started this process six years ago -- where we went out into the community -- we started sending information -- this is excellent to see the vast number of people that are here tonight. This is the largest forum, other than the public meeting that we had this last year where people actually showed up. At most forums like this, we send out letters to over 3,000 residences and invite those people to come and to hear what we have to say. We send it both in English and we send it in Spanish.

At the same time, we tell the people, "If you can't come to these meetings because of working schedules and because of children, because of church activities, let us know. We will come into your neighborhoods and talk to you. We will come out and talk on a one-on-one or whatever the situation might pose." So, it's not all answers. We haven't gotten to everybody. We're still on our way there. But I would encourage you to -- to work with us. We will definitely go out there and talk with you. Get on the mailing list, but the mailing list is not everything there is.

It's vitally important that we indicate and inform and attempt to educate you on this information. So, at some times, if it doesn't work, you need to tell us -- which is -- there are some people here that are telling us that -- and thank you for coming.

The other is, we have a very aggressive move where we're going to be going out to the local schools -- private, parochial and public. Over the next two to three months, we're going to be going and trying to get into the schools in and around the Kelly Air Force Base area. There are over 20 schools that fall into that category. We're not going to be able to get to all of them, but we're going to be able to get to a lot of them -- and the purpose is to educate the young, the middle-aged and those of us in my bracket -- a little bit older -- as to what's gone on at Kelly Air Force Base.

We have been open -- seven to eight years ago when we first found out that we had some of these problems. We will continue to be open.

We're genuine about what we say. We have nothing to hold, nothing to hide. We had a letter that was sent by our previous commander

out to the local people saying, "At no time will Kelly Air Force Base nor the United States Air Force, after Kelly is realigned and" -- "and closed, will we detract or deter or turn away from our responsibilities to clean up the soil and groundwater per what the regulators and others have to tell us." So, it's kind of a summary -- I'm sorry to take that -- but I wanted you to know that commitment is definitely what we want to do and we want to make sure that you understand what we're doing.

AUDIENCE MEMBER FOUR: Excuse

R

me. I'd like to comment on that gentleman next to you. He made a remark that he drinks the same water that he does. I think that's very unprofessional on his side, because -- just because he drinks dirty water, doesn't mean everybody else has to drink it. We still have -- and we have Kelly homes -- people in the military live out there. So, if this person drinks that same water, he cannot recommend those people to drink the same water. I think you should keep your comments to yourself, sir.

MR. MEDINA: Well, there's two -- there's two aquifers that occur around Kelly Air

Force Base. For everybody to understand, your groundwater -- or your -- your drinking water comes out of the Edwards Aquifer and that aquifer is separated by several hundred feet to a thousand feet of rock and soils between the shallow aquifer.

What we're doing -- and we have identified our problems with -- are the areas that concern the shallow groundwater -- and that's the groundwater that occurs from -- from -- anywhere from ten feet below the surface to 40 feet below the surface. That shallow groundwater is not connected to your drinking water supply. Most of the groundwater -- or most of the drinking water -- 99.9 percent of that water that services the area around Kelly Air Force Base concern the Edwards Aquifer, which is about a thousand feet below.

Now, there is a couple of areas that -there may be some shallow water -- shallow
aquifer wells that were established that are
used for irrigation or used for watering or
those sorts of things. We've gone out and tried
to identify as many of those shallow wells as
possible and -- to see if anyone is drinking out

of them to determine whether or not they've been impacted. But one thing that -- that I do want to mention is that your -- the drinking water comes out of the Edwards as -- conveyed through a pipeline to your houses and it's separate from the shallow aquifer that -- that's around Kelly Air Force Base.

MR. RICE: Dan, I'd just like to disagree with something that you said about the shallow aquifer contaminants being completely separated from the Edwards, because we do have some evidence that shows that contaminants from the shallow aquifer have gotten into the Edwards in a few cases. Now, in general, these are in very low concentrations. But that evidence does exist. So, when you hear somebody say the shallow aquifer is completely separate from the Edwards, you know that that is not entirely true.

MR. MEDINA: Well, we look at this -- we look at it from the standpoint of Kelly Air Force Base. So, again, that may be the case in other areas outside of Kelly Air Force Base and -- and -- it's not the case that we're finding at Kelly Air Force Base.

MR. RICE: It is the case on several.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. HAGELTHORN: I think what

Mr. Rice is bringing up is the fact that there

was sampling done at some wells in the past and

I think there was one well -- correct me if I'm

wrong -- that showed a hit --

MR. MEDINA: One -- At one time, correct.

MR. HAGELTHORN: -- and they went back and sampled it again and there was never any other contamination found. If that well had been contaminated in the short period of time between test samples, they would have found the same contamination. So, it's my belief from looking at the tech information and from talking to people from TNRCC and the Edwards Underground Water District and everywhere else around here that that was just a false hit. It's never been substantiated and for somebody to bring that up, saying that Edwards has been contaminated -because of one hit -- I think is just trying to throw fear out into the community -- and I really think as a member of this Board that's not your purpose.

AUDIENCE MEMBER FIVE: Last year 1 2 they were coming to our water hydrants and 3 taking samples from that water. It stands to reason that they thought it -- they were 4 watching it. They were -- They were testing our 5 water -- our drinking water from the Edwards 6 7 Aquifer. 8 MR. MEDINA: That was water 9 hydrants? 10 AUDIENCE MEMBER FIVE: MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Medina, I'd 11 like to address that. I think what we're trying 12 13 to do -- I'm with the Bexar Metropolitan Water 14 District -- what we're trying to do is address 15 some of those concerns about the quality of 16

like to address that. I think what we're trying to do -- I'm with the Bexar Metropolitan Water District -- what we're trying to do is address some of those concerns about the quality of water -- and we did have some calls, we did go by and sample the water and everything has come back good. But we do address that's what we were doing in the area. Normally, that's what we do. We flush the systems out and -- that's done daily, but -- you know, it's -- it's -- we've not found anything wrong with the water system.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: Can I talk to you about that? Can I say something about

1	the water? Right now, you can go to my house
2	and I can give you a glass of water and in that
3	water there's going to be a lot of specs
4	black specs in there and I don't think you
5	want to drink that water.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What's the
7	address?
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: You can
9	go 406 McLaughlin. You can go right now
10	and and and 503 my daughter-in-law
11	lives there and she also has the same
12	problem.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: 503 what?
14	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: 406
15	McLaughlin.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Have you called
17	us before
18	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: I have
19	called. I have told them about it. They came
20	and checked it out and I don't get no results or
21	anything.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is your name
23	and I need your
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: My name is
25	Gutierrez Mary Lou and I live at

1	406 McLaughlin. Can everybody hear me? Because
2	you've got to speak out. If you don't, the Lord
3	won't hear you.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I need to get
5	your telephone number, also.
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: Okay. My
7	number is 924-0790 and this has been going on
8	and on and on for years. Okay? I've been
9	living there since 1952 and it's been like this
10	all along, off and on, off and on and you can
11	go right now and I was going to bring the
12	water in a cup so you could see it, so you don't
13	think I'm lying. But I think you should go,
14	personally, to my house right now and check that
15	water. Okay?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let me send
17	someone in the morning
18	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: And my
19	water bill my water bill comes up to \$100 a
20	month.
21	AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: The water
22	comes red out of the faucet.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Pardon me?
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: The water
25	comes red out of the faucet.

MR. MEDINA: Yes, ma'am? 1 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: 2 gentleman standing up in the white shirt, he was 3 just telling me that six years -- seven, 4 eight -- you're telling us to trust you, well, 5 what do you -- how many years is it? You don't 6 7 even know. You said six, seven -- eight -- and you tell us to trust you if we have any 8 It seems like you don't even know. 9 questions. MR. BAILEY: It depends upon 10 which -- The question was from the lady in the 11 back saying: How can we trust you when you --12 talking about me -- don't know whether it's 13 14 six, seven or eight years? Some parts --AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: You know, 15 I'm not going to take chances with my 16 17 children --MR. BAILEY: Sure. 18 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: 19 20 when you don't even know --21 MR. BAILEY: Sure. AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: -- you 22 know, I'm supposed to -- you're asking me --23 telling me to ask you --24 MR. BAILEY: Well, let me answer 25

your question, if I can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay? There were various parts of the base that we started studying about six years ago. There was some we started about eight years ago. That's the distinction that I make in six, seven or eight, because we study all of them at the same time.

There are various things that we found out. As an example, we've had multiple -multiple -- comments from this lady -- not saying previously -- but from others in the past talking about drinking water off of Kelly Air Force Base. So, at that time, we went back and we said, "Okay. Who is responsible for the drinking water in this part of San Antonio? It's not Kelly Air Force Base. It's other parties." So, there are two primary water purveyors. understanding is there might be others. We have so informed those people to let them know that there is a community in and around Kelly Air Force Base that is concerned about their potable water coming out of their pipes -- in their They're concerned about it for their health. They're concerned about it for -- for anybody who is in that house -- and any visitor

25

that comes into that house. So, what we're asking those people to do -- because we have no control over what they do -- is to, hopefully, work -- and to go out and listen to what this lady has said -- and possibly others -- go out there, survey whatever the need may be and determine: Is it a potable water problem for whatever reason? Is it something in the water? Is it something in the pipes? Is there -- I can't go through all the reasons, because I honestly don't know. But I can tell you this, that the efforts that we've done at Kelly have tried to focus on our contamination, what has happened, what has been created on base -which, unfortunately, has gone off base -- and our group setting here is to tackle that problem, get after it as quickly as we can. We're not going to divorce ourselves or take away concerns from the community. This provides a forum for you to communicate with the gentleman like this and with others about your concerns. Even though we may not have control over it at Kelly Air Force Base, it may be controlled by someone else who is on RAB. So, I would encourage you to raise the issue, work

with them -- if we can help you, we are open. 1 We'll be glad to do just that. 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: Like on 3 Holder Street -- I believe it was about three or 4 four years ago -- the street was sinking in. 5 All they came out to do was to put some gravel 6 7 on top of it. That's it. It is still sinking. And between -- what is it -- Fenfield and 8 McLaughlin -- if you walk through the alley 9 there, it's all uneven. I mean, the -- the --10 what is it -- manholes -- I mean, it's sticking 11 12 up that much -- and then you-all went and put one of those pumps, you say. Every time I go 13 out my driveway, I hit one of your pumps. 14 wish you-all would have made a better -- you 15 know, it's -- it's -- you know -- I don't 16 17 know -- you know, I don't know what you-all are doing. But --18 MR. BAILEY: Let me speak to the 19 two points. First of all, the well that is our 20 responsibility -- we will take care of that and 21 22 we will be out there this week.

23

24

25

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: You need to make it a little smoother to match the road.

MR. BAILEY: Well, it probably

was. But the road probably --

AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: Could I ask you something? What are your responsibilities?

MR. BAILEY: Our

responsibilities?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: Yes, concerning the area.

MR. BAILEY: Our

responsibilities, as Kelly Air Force Base, is to make sure that we identify what caused the contamination -- which we think we've done that -- then get the money to correct what caused that problem -- and we believe that we've done that to a very great degree. leaking underground storage tanks, we had fuel lines and we had an industrial wastewater collection system that leaked and we sometimes had some spills, then getting money, after you do those things -- or in conjunction with them -- not that you stop and start -- to go back and to find out where the contamination is, determine is there an immediate health problem, which is what we did when we found out about the Ouintana Road situation where the City went into -- we went into the homes -- first it had

ever been done in the San Antonio area -- to 1 2 bring in an independent party to go in and measure fumes, vapors, anything like that to 3 find out is there a problem. Fortunately, for 4 us, there was no problem. We, then, went in and 5 installed systems to begin to collect that fuel 6 7 that was in the shallow groundwater and also to 8 collect some of the other contamination that is 9 down there. So, our purpose, as a base, is to 10 make sure that we put in a program, make sure it's approved by the regulators, make sure it's 11 12 approved by the local people who have that responsibility, make sure the community knows by 13 setting up a group like this -- which this is 14 15 multiple times now that we've met -- and to make sure that the people fully understand what's 16 17 happening. 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

What we're finding out -- and this is just my observation -- not necessarily shared by everybody on the RAB -- that's why we're a dynamic group -- is that there are a number of concerns by the community that the responsibilities for those, initially, rest with other groups, to find out is there a problem.

The gentleman over here pointed out about the --

25

not to point fingers, sir -- but talking about potential health issues. We are also considering that. At the same time, there is a San Antonio group that should be looking at that and my understanding is that those people should. So, this is a forum for them to look at those things, too. If we find out -- if that group was to come to us, if the State was to come to us, those people that have the authority to tell us what to do -- if they came tomorrow and said, "We believe that you have an imminent health problem in area 'X', then we would have to divert monies that we have and go after that particular problem. That has not happened to date where these organizations and other people have said, "You have an imminent health problem." They have said, "Fortunately for you, Kelly, there appears to be, right now, separation," like what Mr. Rice had pointed out and earlier where it was -- there appears to be some kind of separation. But if there is brought into evidence information indicating that there is a direct connection where somebody's drinking this water every single day and it's contaminated -- and I want to point

this out, because you're going to be brought 1 into where you're going to be hearing about 2 other people or other organizations in the 3 San Antonio area in and around Kelly that have 4 probably contributed to what's in the shallow 5 groundwater. So, it's not going to be us. 6 7 will all be looking to this other group and the State to deal with these private parties and 8 9 individuals who may have lived at home who kept 10 doing certain things -- but that's not to divert from what our responsibilities are. 11 responsibilities are big. They were complex. 12 We're now trying to take the complexity out and 1.3 we're trying to get to the heart of it -- and I 14 15 think we're doing a good job in that area. 16 AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: 17 that's good. But let me tell you one thing: 18

that's good. But let me tell you one thing:
Airplanes go in and out constantly in that
particular area of Kelly. Okay? I live very
close by there and every day -- every day -we have to put up with some fumes, gas and -and also the -- the -- the vibration is so -so immense that it makes the -- the windows of
our homes rattle. Okay? They rattle so much
that it cracks our windows. It cracks our --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 some of our windows. We have -- I have called 2 Kelly. They just put me on hold or they just deter me or -- you know, later for you and --3 "I'll get to you" -- and that's it. Okay? 4 Now, I want -- this is important -- I want 5 to know about these children that are in the 6 7 area that have to be smelling this -- these fumes and this gasoline stuff -- that the 8 9 airplanes vibrate and let go -- and this is a constant day -- every day -- every day --10 Okay? You know how -- how often these airplanes 11 12 take off and come in? But when these airplanes 13 take off, come -- and sometimes when they come in -- they come in so low that you -- you think 14 they're going to fall on top of your house 15 16 and -- and you can feel them. If you're in the 17 house, you can feel the vibration of those 18 airplanes. Well, I can --19 MR. BAILEY: AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: 20 21 me, sir. I'm trying to avoid --MR. BAILEY: If I can --22 23 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: rumors, but I -- I heard that on McLaughlin 24 Street, recently -- maybe you can tell me the 25

1	truth I don't know but that they checked
2	one of the wells and it was contaminated and
3	that some of the liquid or contaminated
4	liquid fell out onto the street. Now, they
5	have to dig they have to dig around it bigger
6	and put a bigger pump. Have you heard about
7	that.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: I have.
9	MR. BAILEY: I think that it goes
10	back to
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: Yes, I have
12	heard, because
13	MR. BAILEY: Right. There was a
14	pumping test
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: I live over
16	in that area and we smelled the gas.
17	MR. BAILEY: there was a
18	pumping test that was performed.
19	MR. MEDINA: In back About
20	Oh, back a few months ago, but that was that
21	was further towards Tampa Street.
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER SIX: It's a very
23	strong odor of gas.
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: It did
25	spill out, right?

MR. BAILEY: It did spill out and 1 2 what we did --AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: Okay. 3 4 Wait. It did spill out. MR. BAILEY: Yeah, that's right. 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: So, tell 6 7 the truth. MR. BAILEY: We have told the 8 9 truth. AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: Are you 10 11 taking care of it or --MR. BAILEY: Absolutely. Let us 12 tell you what we did. We went to the State 13 regulators. That's the first place you go to 14 when you have a spill. People on the RAB Board 15 can tell you and we said, "We spilt this," and 16 they said, "Well, what was in it?" So, we said, 17 "Here is the analysis that tells you what was 18 in it." So, they said, "Okay." It was then 19 worked out with the State regulators -- they 20 took a look at the information, they then said, 21 "Go collect soil samples." Based upon what was 22 in that water -- okay -- they determined --23 coming back to us now -- you have to provide me 24

25

feedback because I have not been involved with

2.4

this for about two months, because this occurred in the November time period -- so, my best information tells me that the State came back and -- and -- and said that the quality of water was such that it did not contaminate as most people think.

The issue is one where there are words that are going out into the community, which, unfortunately, are being used -- which we've lost definition. We use the term "hazardous" and "contamination" together. We use the same thing -- same thing -- "toxic" together. We use the term "dump" for some reason. All in these same lines. They are very distinct terms.

So -- I'm not going to confuse you. I am going to tell you the water had something, but it was not considered, from a health perspective and an environmental perspective, to be a problem.

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: Can you understand how we feel?

MR. BAILEY: Absolutely.

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: The school is contaminated. Now your house is contaminated. Where do you go? You know what I mean? Where do you go?

MR. BAILEY: When you hear that term, I would hope that you come back and -- and ask just that -- and I'm glad you're here. We will get to that and we will take some time -- separate and aside -- I would love to -- and if you don't want to hear from me -- which some people don't want to because they think I'm the director -- we could --

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: I purchased a home. I just --

MR. BAILEY: Okay. We can go out there and we can tell you exactly what we know about that piece of property and what's being done.

when I bought the home -- you know, at 30 years old, they say, "If you don't accomplish your goal, you're never going to accomplish it." So, when I turned 30, I bought that duplex and I said to myself, "I'm going to build this home and, you know, make it a good home. Open it up so that each of my kids have their own bedroom" -- you know -- and, then, now I hear this and it's just like -- all the money I put into it -- you know, my goals and -- for my

kids --

MR. BAILEY: Right.

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: I always told my son -- you know, I told him, "It's not my house, it's yours" -- you know, what I work for, what I live for, are for my kids. What am I going to leave them?

MR. BAILEY: Right.

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: What am I going to leave them -- you know, you get discouraged.

MR. BAILEY: You bet. Well, I would hope that what you do is -- come to us. We've got people from -- from other groups that are willing to explain to you what the situation is so that -- what I believe is -- what I call a fear factor -- doesn't develop or if you've already developed a concern, which may not be a fear, but it's exactly what you're pointing out, which is honest and sincere and you're looking for answers, we will come out there and explain to you the way, the best way we possibly can.

If, for some reason, you do not think that's good enough, there are other people that we can get from the San Antonio community that,

I have a

These

hopefully, will be able to clarify that for you. 1 2 MR. CULBERTSON: I vote we move on with the agenda. 3 4 AUDIENCE MEMBER NINE: question. 5 MR. BAILEY: One last question 6 7 and then, if we could, take it during the break, please. 8 9 AUDIENCE MEMBER NINE: people that live on McLaughlin say that they see 10 the dirt sinking. I live on Price -- and not 11 only myself, but some of my neighbors have 12 commented that they're getting cracks in their 13 walls. What about property damage? 14 We will address that MR. BAILEY: 15 16 outside of this when we get to the break time. Okay? There is an issue before us right now and 17 we will have someone from the base that will 18 19 speak to you and anybody else who wants to hear 20 about that. MR. RICE: May I add something to 21 the point the lady just asked? She's referring 22 to subsidence and some of the members of the 23 Board here have been asking the Air Force to 24

25

conduct a subsidence survey and they keep

telling us they're going to get to it someday.

They just don't know when they're going to get to it.

MR. BAILEY: Okay.

MR. MEDINA: In closing, the last slide that I have here is ending out the work schedule where we'll be looking at performing feasibility work, the feasibility studies for Zones 4 and 5, the two areas that cover the airfield area, as well as East Kelly -- and we'll be looking at doing those in the -- in fiscal year '97 and '98.

Thank you very much. The next speaker will be Mr. Cliff Trimble, and he'll be presenting our next speaker. Thank you.

MR. TRIMBLE: Good evening. My name is Cliff Trimble. I'm the chief of the environmental contract branch at Kelly Air Force Base. This Board had asked us to talk to you a little bit about RAB interaction -- more interaction with our contractors -- direct interaction. I talked to Major Allan Detert, who is the chief of the acquisition law division at Kelly Air Force Base in our Judge Advocate's office, to spend a few minutes with you and

address some of our -- our concerns.

MAJOR DETERT: Thank you, Cliff.

Ladies and gentlemen, as Cliff indicated, my
name is -- I'm Major Allan Detert. I'm the
chief of the acquisition law division for the
base legal office here at Kelly. Part of the -The primary part of our job in my division is to
provide advice to the acquisition community on
Kelly and managers there in the procurement of
goods and services -- or the subset of that is
that we also deal with a huge volume of requests
for information concerning government
contractors.

In many instances, those requests are from other government contractors. They're looking primarily to see who got a contract, what the price was on that, but in more than a few instances, they're also looking for information about their competitors, anything that they can get that would give them some insight into business practices, techniques, pricing information, that might give them an advantage on their competitors.

Part of my job and part of the folks in my office's job is to look at those requests for

information, determine what can be released and what can't be released, because we have some very strict guidelines on what can and cannot be released by us to the public. There are criminal penalties that go along with that, if we make mistakes.

1.5

Now, you probably heard that the Clinton administration's emphasis is on trying to release as much information as possible in this area, and we do that within the bounds of the law. But, again, we have certain constraints that we have to operate under. The Freedom of Information Act -- and I know each of you members -- I was told that you were provided with a two-sheet overview. Again, this is not meant to be all inclusive of what the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act contain, as well as the Procurement Integrity Act. It's to give you a snapshot of what the key exemptions are under the Freedom of Information Act from the release of information.

I'd like to point out a couple of those and how they pertain to our release of information and how they constrict those government officials that are on your Board here from

discussing some of the information about government contractors that you might otherwise like to hear.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first one I'd ask you to look at is Exemption 4, Confidential Commercial These contractors, when they bid Information. government contracts, they're doing so with an implied promise from us -- and it's also captured in statutes -- that that information that they give us about their business, their techniques, how they remain competitive in the business will be kept confidential for -- by We need that in order to get them to bid on If we were forced to disclose that information -- you can imagine how many people we have offering to do our work -- if every time they submit a confidential information, information that might disclose their business practices was made immediately available to their competitors -- under the Trade Secrets Act, we have an obligation not to disclose that information and that's why you're going to find an exemption in there with regard to that type of information.

Exemption No. 5, Inter or Intra Agency

1 I want to point out here on the -- the 2 last line before those three bullets -- it says 3 that the following are some examples of information that may be exempt -- no, they are exempt. So, you can line through that -- "they 5 6 may be exempt" -- they are exempt from 7 disclosure. And if you look at those three 8 bullets, you're going to see a common thread 9 through each of those. They're subjective. 10 They're pre-decisional, preliminary analysis in 11 various areas. And, for that reason, they are 12 not releasable. It helps to maintain a free 13 flow of information within the government, 14 within the body of decision-makers, that you 15 have to have in order to get a fully informed 16 decision-maker. 17 If that type of pre-decisional 18 19 nonfactual parts of Air Force personnel 20

21

22

2.3

24

25

If that type of pre-decisional information -- in No. 2 there it says, "Those nonfactual parts of Air Force personnel evaluations of contractors and their products" -- nonfactual -- again, it's subjective. But I submit to you that if that type of information was disclosed, it gets taken out of context and what was nonfactual suddenly becomes factual and that's not something, once

it's out there, that we can ever recapture and try to undo the damage. That's why those particular things are exempt from disclosure. It's to ensure that we have that free discussion of that information. And, again, it's what constrains those members of your RAB who are government employees.

No. 2, the Procurement Integrity Act.

Disclosure of that type of information -proprietary information -- from contractors or
our methods for evaluating those contractors is
a criminal act, subject to imprisonment and fine
or both. So, again, it's not just because these
guys are trying to withhold information or
because they don't want to disclose it. By law,
they are obligated not to disclose that
information.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Excuse me, sir. You have more obligation to the contractors than to the people who live in those areas?

MAJOR DETERT: You can request the information. You can make a request.

Anyone can request this information. If it falls under one of these exemptions, I have no

choice but to -- and using my best judgment and
those of the folks who work for me -- to
withhold that information.

Now, there is an appeal process. If you

Now, there is an appeal process. If you think that we shouldn't have withheld this, you can appeal that and we could be reversed on appeal. They might look at it -- the appellate authorities might look at that and say, "We think you're being overly cautious in withholding that information. Go ahead and release it or release a portion of it" -- you know, that which we still think would protect the contractor's proprietary interest without violating that Trade Secrets Acts. But we have to act in conformity with the law.

I understand that you have interests
that -- you -- you want to see this
information. But, again, you have to understand
that the members of the Board that are here from
the government --

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Well, wait. We, the people, are the government and we request this and you deny it over money that -- that they'll make helping you cover this up.

Now, the people -- you're finding more -- you're

being more -- keeping your secrets of -- of the 1 contractors than the actual damage being done to 2 the people. 3 MAJOR DETERT: There is a 4 5 process, of course, to change the law. don't like the law, if you think the law is too 6 restrictive, then that is within your purview 7 to -- in forums like this -- to solicit 8 participation to change those laws. We have to 9 deal with the laws as they exist. We have to 10 enforce those laws and then be cognizant of 1 1 12 those laws --AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Everybody 13 that would agree in changing that law right now, 14 15 say "aye." MR. MEDINA: The information --16 The information that he's talking about 17 pertains -- a lot of contractual information. 18 The information that -- that we release to the 19 20 public has --AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Eight or 21 22 ten years later down the road. MR. MEDINA: -- has to pertain to 23 the cleanup process we're going through. The 24 cleanup process and -- and the investigations 25

1 that we do -- that information we present at meetings like this. So --2 AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: 3 I'd like to ask you this: Has this become public because 4 Kelly could not clean it up? 5 6 MR. BAILEY: No, no, no. Let me 7 make sure -- I think we're going down a pathway here. The reason why we asked this gentleman to 8 9 come forward was because of some questions by people relative to contracts. The issue that I 10 11 hear you raising, sir, concerns: Will you, Kelly Air Force Base, release information 12 relative to -- whatever -- and in a timely 13 14 manner? Is that somewhat --AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Pretty 15 16 much so --The answer is --17 MR. BAILEY: AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: -- and 18 19 correct. MR. BAILEY: -- you bet and we 2.0 And in the reports that go to the 21 regulators and all -- it's public information. 22 23 It's --AUDIENCE MEMBER: How much do you 24 25 release?

1 MR. BAILEY: Pardon me? AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: How much do 2 you -- will you release on a request? 3 MR. BAILEY: The information 4 5 that's collected by us that is the final data that goes to the regulators is released so that 6 7 not only the regulators but everybody in the community that wants to see, can see it. 8 There's an issue here relative to contract 9 information separate and aside from data that 10 I'd like to make the distinction. 11 AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Is that 12 13 like a bribe? What you do is you don't -- you won't tell us --14 MR. BAILEY: Well, that's not 15 16 what we're talking about here. 17 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: It seems like it's not right. It seems to me that 18 19 what -- what you-all are coming up with is not 20 right and lot of people that live here in this community don't -- don't speak out. They live 21 here and they don't know whether to do or not, 22 but I think that everyone here has a right to 23 say something -- and if they want to come up 24 knowing what's inside the kettle, I think we 25

1 should know.

MR. BAILEY: Absolutely. There is no question about that and nobody from Kelly Air Force Base will say that not only do you not have an obligation, but you also have a right.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: First of all, you used our tax money to build this establishment and then use our tax money to -to poison -- you used the tax money to get these contractors, but then, yet, when it's time -when contractors or -- or -- or government contractors -- make these mistakes and we don't hear about it until ten years later after these -- especially Leon Creek and stuff -- it comes up like this -- you know, 1996. It's kind of funny because I'm -- I'm expecting this from the government.

I lost my father last year. I received a letter saying that he died from Agent Orange, but for my mother to be compensated he should have died by 1989. So, since he died last year, he's not -- my mother is not compensated for --

MR. BAILEY: Well, let me speak

about the data here. I sympathize --

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: It's the

same government, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BAILEY: No.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: No?

MR. BAILEY: We work with the United States Government but the difference is -- it's different federal agencies and it's who is looking out for you. The people that are running the program have been here -- most -since 1986. Those people have put a very active effort to make sure that the community knows what's going on.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: How many meetings have you had?

MR. BAILEY: How many meetings? Since 1986, I would imagine in the neighborhood of 60 -- 70 meetings -- something like that -with information being mailed out to over 5,000 people in the community of residences outlying the base -- and, you bet, that's a program.

What answers -- and gets back to this lady's comment -- is that when most people get it, they say, "What does it really mean to me?" And our response is, "Please, come forward." it's not information that you can readily understand or find out what it is -- we're not

writing this from Washington, D.C., as it's a faraway letter -- not that everybody from Washington, D.C. writes a letter from far away -- or it's from some other agency. We live here. We've got people that work with us that were raised in the community off of Kelly -- Dan and others. We care about what's here. I can assure you, we're not walking away from this.

So, if there are concerns like what the lady -- I don't see her any more -- but the lady who was sitting here and the lady in the back

lady -- I don't see her any more -- but the lady who was sitting here and the lady in the back have certain questions -- if we can't answer them here, we will come back out and we will answer them the best way that we possibly can. We're not hiding anything behind a cloak as someone may think here. The data that we collect goes out to the community -- and I want to make sure it's clear, because it's a little confusing to me also -- as to what information -- but it's a contractual bid.

But I will tell you right now that if there's information relative to what we collect and you get a report or someone tells you something happens and the situation is, "I don't know" -- "I don't know what's happening" --

there are members on this Restoration Board 1 2 that -- I hope you have their phone number -- if 3 you don't, then you phone me. AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Can I ask 4 a silly question? 5 6 MR. BAILEY: Nothing is silly. 7 Go right ahead. AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: 8 9 were UFOs out there on that property, would you tell us about it? 10 MR. BAILEY: UFOs? I don't deal 11 12 with that. I have no idea. 13 AUDIENCE MEMBER THREE: Well, I'm 14 just using it in accordance with --MR. BAILEY: I don't answer 15 16 hypothetical questions. What I can tell you 17 This is real to me. This is very real to 18 me what happens here, because my integrity and 19 the integrity of these folks and the integrity 20 of Kelly Air Force Base is on the line and that 21 means everything to me. And when the lady says, "Speak the truth," she will hear all the truth 22 that I possibly know of or the staff that works 23 with us. If we don't know, we will tell you. 24

But we would also ask that if you hear something

25

out there, rather than immediately believe it, 1 2 please come back and ask and at least give us an opportunity to voice what we know or what we 3 believe is the situation. That's all we ask. 4 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: I would like some information -- a little bit more 6 information -- concerning this meeting. I would 7 like so much to know what really is behind it. 8 MR. BAILEY: 9 Okay. When we break 10 right after this gentleman, we will meet with 11 you and we will get whatever information we can. And if not, we will then get back in 12 touch. 13 AUDIENCE MEMBER FIVE: 14 contractors are you talking about? 15 The ones 16 The ones that are going to move in --17 MR. BAILEY: No, ma'am. AUDIENCE MEMBER FIVE: -- or the 18 19 ones that are doing -- are going to do the 20 cleanup. 21 MR. BAILEY: He will clarify that. Excellent question. The question that 22 came from the young lady was relative to which 23 contractors is he talking about, those coming 24 into the base like those that will privatize or 25

the contractors that are doing environmental kinds of work?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJOR DETERT: We're talking about contractors that are in place right now that are doing work. There have been some questions about what type of information can the public learn about those contractors, about the work that they're doing and their operations. My purpose for being here was simply to explain that. When it -- When the questions pertain to the operation -- the make-up of that company -- practices that they may use in their operations, how they price their -- their proposal to bid on that contract that got them that contract in the first place -- that sort of information, depending on the sensitivity of it and whether it's proprietary, whether it's unique to that company, has to be kept by the government confidential. They -- They gave it to us in that fashion so that we could evaluate whether they truly could perform to the tasks that we had laid out that we were looking to contract for. And in order to get that type of information, we have to have a pretty good idea of what their -- their structural make-up is,

who they're going to have working on that, the types of techniques that they're going to use -- and, in many cases, that type of information -- we can't -- we can't disclose to the public.

AUDIENCE MEMBER FIVE: Oka;
Then who's going to benefit from all this expense?

MAJOR DETERT: The community at large -- The community at large should benefit, because the contractors are there for the purpose of studying what contamination does and how best to clean it up.

AUDIENCE MEMBER FIVE: But if they don't want to say anything, they don't have to say anything.

MAJOR DETERT: I think what

Mr. Bailey has indicated -- we're talking about

two different types of information here. The

results of their work -- you know, the reports

that they put out -- all right -- that's the

sort of thing that he was indicating should be

public knowledge and has been public knowledge

for some time. But their method of complying

with our contracts, some of the techniques that

they use, those may be proprietary to that

company and that's the sort of thing that -that we can't disclose. Because there may be
people -- just as there were on the IBASC -- who
were looking to do business with the government
or looking to do business with those companies
or competitors to those companies -- and, there
again, we had to be careful about the type of
information that was supplied to the IBASC
members because we didn't want to harm a
competitor of -- of the person that they might
be working against -- or working for in that -that environment.

MR. QUINTANILLA: Major, I have a question. Who are the major contractors that you're talking about? Can you name them or is that secret?

MAJOR DETERT: I -- I personally don't know. I know we had -- we recently awarded some contracts for -- to do some studies on -- on Kelly.

MR. TRIMBLE: Mr. Quintanilla, if you'd like to -- let me go ahead and give you my phone number. If you'd like to, I'd be happy to get you a list of who all our prime contractors are right now.

1	MR. QUINTANILLA: I think you
2	have mine. Just give it to me, please.
3	MR. TRIMBLE: Certainly. I can
4	do that. I'll put a list together for you.
5	MR. QUINTANILLA: And, also, how
6	much has been expended from 1986 to the
7	present?
8	MR. TRIMBLE: You need to
9	understand, sir, that our contracts that were
10	developed at Kelly Air Force Base did not come
11	into being until the end of 1992. So, any
12	information that I can give you that's not to
13	say that there was no work going on at Kelly
14	there were other forums
15	MR. BAILEY: We can get you that
16	information.
17	MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you very
18	very much. Send it to me.
19	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Is there
20	anything else?
21	MAJOR DETERT: Somebody here has
22	asked that for a break. If there are any
23	additional questions of me, I'll be right here.
24	MR. BAILEY: Do you want to ask a
25	question of him or is it general

AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: Well, I 1 It was coming to my mind -- Do you 2 don't know. 3 remember the Heidi Semen -- when they had the search for her and all that -- while we were 4 5 doing -- we were walking back then -- at that 6 time, we were walking around Quintana tracks and 7 I remember -- it came in my head -- that when we walked through there, there were craters along 8 9 the tracks. What are those? They were big where it was sunk in. They were like craters. 10 11 MR. BAILEY: Around what tracks? 12 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: 13 Quintana -- The tracks down Quintana Road on the 14 base. They were like craters. They were big. 15 You could tell that it sunk in. That just came 16 to my mind -- now that I remember that. Because 17 we were walking -- There were all weeds and --18 but you go through the tracks -- you could see 19 all those craters. 20 MR. MEDINA: During the break --21 I've got -- We've got an aerial photograph over 22 here -- if you could show me where that area is 23 that, I would appreciate it. 24 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: Okay. Ι 25 remembered that -- walking --

MR. BAILEY: Okay. Why don't we 1 take a --2 AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: 3 Mr. Bailey, before -- I understand you said 4 something about you'll answer us, you know, 5 after the break or during the break. 6 I'm sure that many of us would like to hear each one's 7 question, so you can -- you know, instead of 8 going a one-on-one basis -- I'm sure we would 9 all like to hear what you're telling one 10 person. Don't you-all agree to that? 11 MR. BAILEY: Okay. There is a 12 forum -- During the break, there is a lady who 13 had the question relative to cracking and some 14 things like --15 AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: If you 16 could address it to all us -- We're here from 17 South San Neighborhood Association and many of 18 us that live here in our area -- and I'm sure we 19 all have the same --20 MR. BAILEY: We will address 21 Ιf 22 everybody during the break on that question. you have others, just ask us. We'll be standing 23 24 right over here. AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: How long 25

is the break?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. BAILEY: Well, we're going to take ten to 15 minutes. If you've got more questions, we can come to your group setting, we can have a special setting just for you, if it's just Kelly Air Force Base -- other than RAB members -- or if you'd like to have the RAB members, we can ask them, also.

So, why don't we take a ten- to 15-minute break and we'll meet right over here. you.

(Break taken.)

If I could have MR. BAILEY: everybody's attention, please. I'm sorry that the break took so long, but I felt that the questions that were coming from the public -the concerns raised by the folks out there -needed the time that it took and need a lot more time. So, there is a meeting that some of these fine folks are going to be holding later in the month of February that we will be attending -some of the people from Kelly. There will be some other people from Kelly that will also be there in attendance. We will share that with the people from the RAB Board should you wish to

(210) 377 - 3027

be there.

So, at this time, I'd like to go to the next item on the overall agenda and that is the issue concerning the Quintana Road project --commonly called the Storm Water Project. At this time, I'd like to ask Mr. Vega from the City of San Antonio to please come forth and give us an idea -- I don't know all that there is involved with this presentation -- other than he will be discussing the project and the scope and the schedule.

MR. VEGA: Thank you. All the RAB members should have a three-sheet packet in front of them. If you don't, we have one or two more that we can get for you. The second sheet shows a map of the area of the project or the alignment. We also have a projection up on the board that is roughly the same. The difference between what's up there and what we have before you is a section of King Street, which is omitted from what is projected up there on the board.

MR. BAILEY: Could you speak up just a bit so they could -- I'm sorry.

MR. VEGA: I'll try.

The third page indicates an automobile there over a typical trench section. That is strictly to give you an idea of the scale of the project and give you, also, an idea on how it's going to impact the community.

We can discuss it a little bit more in a couple of minutes. But the -- I would like to

We can discuss it a little bit more in a couple of minutes. But the -- I would like to say that the engineer for -- or the designers of the project have been Fernandez, Frazer & White. We have one of their representatives here. They have done a marvelous job by working diligently, long hours, and they have completed the project design and turned the plans over to Kelly Field and the various utility companies for review.

The -- The revised alignment is what is actually shown up here on the screen and it roughly goes down McLaughlin and north up Bynum to about Gerald -- up there on the top -- on top of the drawing -- or on top of the map there.

The environmental provisions for remediation of any contamination that we might find have been incorporated into the plans and -- hopefully, because of this new alignment, we will minimize the possibility of hitting any contaminated

soil.

The -- When the plan -- Of course, when the comments are received by the reviewing bodies, we will incorporate whatever comments we have to -- into the plans -- and, at that time, advertise the project. We have also a slight problem of getting the funds together on the part of the City of San Antonio. Unfortunately, we cannot identify money. At one time -- They usually use money for other things if a project is not ready -- and we have a slight problem like that. But I think that after the slight delay, we'll be able to get some more funding so that we can proceed in time with the further adjustments that have to be made.

After all of that is approved, it will take approximately three months for the -- from the date of advertising to award the contract to the low qualified bidder. It appears right now, as I stand here, that the project will go to construction in the fall of 1996 --

AUDIENCE MEMBER TWELVE: Would you explain the project? We don't know what you're talking about -- the project.

MR. VEGA: The project is a

drainage project. It is known as the -Quintana Road drainage. It is actually a very
large project that is being done in phases. It
consisted of a conduit or a box made out of
concrete that's pretty big -- maybe about ten
feet -- maybe the height of this room -- and
about 11 feet -- that is underground -- and it
carries the water that comes off the streets in
the area that adjoins Quintana and even further
up -- but we're not building any further north
than, say, Gerald -- and all that water that
flows overland goes underground and when it
reaches the end -- it's provided for this box.

Now, this -- this project is so huge that it was done in phases and the phase that we were working on when we hit the Kelly Field contaminated area is a project that we had to abort at that time and -- and seek a new alignment. The intent of the project had nothing to do with contamination to begin with. It was merely an effort by the City to provide adequate drainage to the area and build new streets, curbs, driveways and generally just upgrade the neighborhood.

Our plans were interrupted by the discovery

of the jet fuel that escaped from Kelly Field 1 and we were, at that time, able to get another 2 alignment with the cooperation of Kelly Field 3 and some of their remediation funds. We were 4 able to redesign the project and get a new 5 6 alignment that hopefully is a safe way to get 7 over to the area that we want the new water -or drain. That's what the project is about 8 9 and --AUDIENCE MEMBER TWELVE: Thank 10 11 you. AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: What 12 13 about the swimming pools in the street? MR. VEGA: Pardon me? 14 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: How about 16 the swimming pools in the street? Well, hopefully, 17 MR. VEGA: they'll disappear after we get --18 AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: 19 blocks away, there's a -- they drain the water 20 to other places -- the water stays in another 21 22 place. What good is that? MR. VEGA: Well, if you're lucky 23 enough, you live in a drained area, it's pretty 2.4 25 good.

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 2 when it comes to parasites. During, I think, 3 July and August, there was parasites. In front of my house, there's a big old pool that kids 4 play in and I've called the City several times 5 6 about it and that pool is still there. One day, 7 one kid is going to run out there barefoot and 8 get a parasite and he's going to die. 9 MR. VEGA: That would be unfortunate and I'm sure no one in this room is 10 for that. There are any number of projects that 11 have merit and should be built. Unfortunately, 12 13 the funds are limited and we don't have too many 14 choices of where we go. We go where the worst problems are -- of which we have no money to 15 16 cover every street and do every street in the 17 area. 18 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: It's a 19 drainage street. AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: Make more 20 21 drainage -- more water in the swimming pools. AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 22 23 draining --24 AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: Especially, Laredo Highway. Everybody that --25

1	They raised that part in the back of it and the
2	water stands back behind
3	MR. VEGA: Well, Laredo Highway
4	doesn't have a drainage system right now. There
5	is one being worked on.
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: They
7	built it up to get the drainage. Instead of
8	draining the water, they hold the water back and
9	make a dam.
10	MR. VEGA: I don't know who built
11	Nuevo Laredo.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: Nobody
13	knows nothing.
14	MR. VEGA: It's been there a
15	while. There is a project on the books right
16	now to improve New Laredo Highway.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: We want
18	to just where we're standing right now.
19	MR. VEGA: I'm sure that there is
20	a problem there. I'm not denying that. It does
21	take time to do these projects.
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: Where
23	I'm at where that pool is at it's a fairly
24	new street. It has drainage, but there's still
25	a pool there. It wasn't done right.

What street is that? 1 MR. VEGA: AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 2 On Fenfield and Holder. 3 MR. VEGA: Fenfield and Holder? 4 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 5 Yes, sir. 6 MR. VEGA: We have the engineer 7 that did that right here. Don, is that one of 8 9 the areas that we're pinpointed for? 10 MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. Vega, later on, will you explain how much this project is 11 going to cost and how much money is coming from 12 Kelly and how much from the City? 13 MR. VEGA: Do you know what she's 1.4 15 talking about, Don? 16 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 17 Fenfield and Holder. Right there in the corner. MR. WHITE: Okay. This is 18 19 Fenfield here and this is Holder right here. 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: there on the corner -- on the --21 22 MR. WHITE: This part of Fenfield drains adequately. This part of Fenfield here 23 has flattened out with the heaving and swelling 24 of the soil. This part of -- of Fenfield --25

This is Holder. This part of Fenfield here has 1 2 also been affected by the heaving and swelling 3 of the soil and it has depressed some in the There's very plastic soils out in this 4 The streets get affected by the heaving 5 area. and swelling of the soils and there has been 6 7 some movement in there and --8 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: And all 9 you do is you just put gravel on it. 1.0 MR. WHITE: -- this particular project at this time doesn't address those 11 areas, but the City could identify those areas 12 13 on a later project to be re-addressed. 14 MR. QUINTANILLA: Who in the City would identify those areas? 15 16 AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: 17 sinking. 18 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: The 19 heaving and the swelling causes it to be permanently sunken down? I haven't seen it 20 swelled up one time. I've -- I've had my house 21 It's sinking. There's a 22 for six years there. 23 pool there -- never any swelling. I think that if you 24 MR. VEGA: have a drain problem, then we could get somebody 25

1 to go visit with you and you show them where it 2 is. AUDIENCE MEMBER EIGHT: -- the 3 kids get to school -- the bus -- I mean, the 4 bus to school -- elementary and high school. 5 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: I pass 7 by there with kids. They're all dressed up nice 8 and ready to go to school --MR. VEGA: Okay. We'll -- We'll 9 be glad to do something about that. I mean, 10 we -- because we're doing the project. Here's 11 12 the man that designed it. He can answer --AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: -- knows 13 14 how to -- which way the water runs would be 15 I told him which way the water was 16 going -- draining -- going south mostly at the 17 time you started with Gerald and go to the --Fenfield, Mayfield, McLaughlin -- all that. 18 19 That's where the water goes -- that way -- to 20 the -- where they make the drainage. 21 That's right. MR. VEGA: AUDIENCE MEMBER SEVEN: But most 22 23 of the water stands right there on Peabody and Lindeman and the other block down below. 24 25 There's another swimming pool there.

Mr. Vega, 1 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: do you ever -- are you in charge of the area -on the grounds -- the streets here on the south 3 side here? 4 MR. VEGA: Right. 5 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Are you in charge of it? Do you ever go survey around 7 there and check the streets -- especially right 8 9 after it rains? MR. VEGA: We have had several 10 projects there. There are a lot of people that 11 have a drain problem along Quintana Road. 12 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: There are 13 14 some streets that are very, very bad for little kids to be walking -- that go to the elementary 15 school. I have seen them walking in the water. 16 17 The water -- it comes up to their little knees. MR. VEGA: I know that it's bad. 18 19 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: shame -- It's a shame to see these kids go to 20 school. 21 MR. VEGA: Now, we have a master 22 23 plan for the area -- for drainage --24 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Somebody's --25

MR. VEGA: -- and it's been 1 working -- it's been working for a long time, 2 3 but it's been done very slowly and we're not going to remediate every problem at one time. 4 Ι 5 couldn't say that. But we're -- we're progressively --6 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: 7 8 important thing is the children. The children 9 are -- They are more important than anything and 10 they need to be -- go to school and if they're going to walk, they need --11 MR. SOLIS: Mr. Vega, perhaps the 12 13 best course is to meet with their council person -- let them meet with their council 14 15 person -- let their council person attempt to 16 address the problem. The council person will 17 know what funds are available or where future 18 funds can be earmarked to address these peoples' 19 concerns. 20 So, I recommend that you go to the council 21 person. Let these citizens go to the council 22 person and ask for redress. AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: Okay, 23 I have -- I -- I'm from the 24 sir. 25 South San Neighborhood Association. We met with

Mr. Avila on several occasions. We toured 1 around here. But right after elections, he 2 disappeared into thin air. So, that shows you 3 something, doesn't it? He doesn't come to our 4 meetings. Things that were reported to him have 5 6 not been accomplished. I mean, he -- there's no answer for -- you know, from him. 7 That's why we're asking, you know, where is he now? 8 9 have community meetings once a month. not show up. He has other priorities -- you 10 know -- and he's telling -- we're tired of 11 reporting this, reporting that to him -- vacant 12 13 homes, vacant lots, the street drainage and all 14 this, but -- nothing -- I mean, he's not up 15 for election right now, so why should he come to 16 the meetings? MR. VEGA: Well, I'll tell you 17 one thing, if it's not done by authority of the 18 19

one thing, if it's not done by authority of the City Council, it's not going to be done. They are -- They are your elected -- elected representatives and they have the power to spend your tax money and no one else does.

MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. Vega, has this project been approved by the City Council.

MR. VEGA: The project? They

20

21

22

23

24

1	will have to approve, because they they
2	are aware that it's going on. But before we
3	in fact, the council is the body that would
4	authorize the contract.
5	MR. QUINTANILLA: Will they have
6	an open hearing for that for that project?
7	MR. VEGA: I doubt it's going to
8	be an open council session. It's a
9	MR. QUINTANILLA: We can't speak
10	to it the people can't speak?
11	MR. VEGA: I think they can.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: Sir,
13	where is he now? Does he know about this
14	meeting?
15	MR. VEGA: Who is that?
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: The
17	city councilman, Avila.
18	MR. VEGA: I'm sure he's on the
19	mailing list.
20	AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: Probably
21	eating pizza with Mr. Juan Solis.
22	MR. BAILEY: Okay. With that
23	comment, we need to move on. There was a point
24	that was made by Mr. Quintanilla regarding
25	funds. Mr. Vega?

1	MR. VEGA: Yes, sir.
2	MR. BAILEY: If, in fact, you
3	respond to the question by Mr. Quintanilla about
4	the funds.
5	MR. VEGA: Certainly. The City
6	has the funding amount that was required to
7	extend the drainage project to the area. The
8	City I mean, the City is trying to get that
9	money for the funding and proceed with the
10	project. Kelly Field
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: How much
12	money are you asking or how much money is it
13	going to cost for
14	MR. VEGA: The total project is
15	for \$7.8 million. Of that amount, Kelly Field
16	has agreed to pay for the cost over and above
17	what the City would have spent were there no
18	contamination in the area.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Who's
20	paying for this.
21	MR. VEGA: It's coming out of
22	federal funds.
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Federal
24	funds?
25	MR. VEGA: Part of the

remediation funding at Kelly Field. 1 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 2 Will the republicans take it away since we're poor? 3 AUDIENCE MEMBER FIFTEEN: 4 Is this going to have major impact on Leon Creek. 5 MR. VEGA: All of the water is 6 going into Leon Creek. It's going there now. It's going to get there faster. 8 AUDIENCE MEMBER FIFTEEN: -- that 9 will be a major impact at the fallout. 10 MR. VEGA: At the outfall? 11 sure that there will be more water -- it will be 12 more noticeable -- you know, it's -- as far as I 13 could tell, it was adequate. It was designed --14 Do you know of a problem with Leon Creek? 15 MR. WHITE: No. Our project was 16 17 designed with the Leon Creek capacity as it existed when we did our design. 18 MR. BAILEY: Let me -- If I can 19 clarify this, I think possibly -- if I'm wrong, 20 please correct me -- Leon Creek that he's 21 talking about is the creek itself -- not the 2.2 23 plant. MR. VEGA: Yes. 24 MR. BAILEY: And what he's 25

1	asking: Will this project impact Leon Creek
2	and where he is located, this project is not
3	going to impact that?
4	MR. WHITE: No.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER FIFTEEN: At
6	all?
7	MR. BAILEY: No.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER FIFTEEN: Thank
9	you.
10	MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. Vega, when
11	you start building the culvert there on
12	McLaughlin, how wide is going to be the
13	excavation and how deep?
14	MR. VEGA: The deepest part is
15	going to be about 30 feet and the width,
16	probably, will go beyond the curb line as it is
17	there very close.
18	MR. QUINTANILLA: How will the
19	people go in and out?
20	MR. VEGA: Well, we will attempt
21	to give them the best possible provisions to
22	allow that. It will be a great inconvenience.
23	We We must maintain services for police,
24	fire, EMS, mail delivery, garbage pick up, et
25	cetera, et cetera and it will be an

1	inconvenience, but we have done other projects
2	like this.
3	MR. QUINTANILLA: Where will the
4	people park their cars while you're excavating.
5	MR. VEGA: Well, we'll try to
6	park them as close to their home as possible.
7	MR. QUINTANILLA: Will they be
8	safeguarded? Will there be a policeman watching
9	them?
10	MR. VEGA: I don't think that we
11	have a plan for it, but it's not out of the
12	question.
13	MR. QUINTANILLA: I think it's
14	necessary.
15	MR. VEGA: It's not out of the
16	question.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: How long
18	will they be inconvenienced? 30 years? Oh, my
19	God.
20	MR. BAILEY: Next? Do you have a
21	question, Mr. Rice.
22	MR. RICE: Mr. Vega, first, I'd
23	like to say that I've been doing some work in
24	this area and I have a question. Your staff is
25	very helpful.
ı	•

23

24

25

## UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER:

Can you speak louder, sir.

MR. RICE: I was just thanking
Mr. Vega, because I've been doing some work in
this area and I had some questions of the staff
and they were extremely helpful. You don't
always get that kind of help from all the
departments in the City.

But what my question had to do with is how this culvert is going to affect the groundwater cleanup and have any analyses been done of the effects of this construction work on cleanup of the contaminant plume here in the neighborhood?

MR. VEGA: Yes. The Air Force has conducted testing of water through their monitoring wells and operational wells and the route was -- selected on the basis that it should be nearly clear of all contamination. As far as we could tell, that's where we're going.

MR. RICE: Larry, is there a report available that I could get on the effect of the culvert on groundwater flow and cleanup of the contaminant plume?

MR. BAILEY: I thought that the feasibility study approached that.

1	MR. RICE: What the feasibility
2	study shows is that after 30 years you're going
3	to have high concentrations of contaminants
4	trapped by the culvert. Is there another study
5	you've done or is that all
6	MR. MEDINA: It should be in the
7	feasibility study.
8	MR. RICE: So, that's the only
9	study that's been done?
10	MR. BAILEY: Right.
11	MR. SOLIS: Mr. Vega? Thank you.
12	MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you.
13	MR. BAILEY: Thank you, sir. The
14	next issue going on is on
15	subcommittees. If I could go around and just
16	ask since we had no technical subcommittee
17	meetings and there was no indication to us by
18	one of the chair people that we have a formal
19	presentation, I'm going to ask that anybody
20	indicate what they would like to indicate
21	tonight in a very brief way.
22	Mr. Quintanilla?
23	MR. QUINTANILLA: Yes. I want to
24	say that I want to resign from the charter
25	subcommittee and you, Mr. Chairman, should

	]]	
1		appoint someone else to take that over.
2		MR. BAILEY: Okay. Did everybody
3		hear that?
4		AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Why do you
5		want to resign?
6		MR. QUINTANILLA: Because of a
7		conflict of interest. All they're going to talk
8		about is the conflict of interest. I have a
9		conflict of interest and cannot participate in
10		that.
11		MR. BAILEY: Can we have a
12	*	motion?
13		MR. SOLIS: I move that we accept
14		Mr. Quintanilla's resignation.
15		MR. WEINSTEIN: Second.
16		MR. BAILEY: We have a motion and
17		a second. Can I have a show of hands indicating
18		yes?
19		(Vote by the RAB members.)
20		MR. BAILEY: Those nay?
21		(Vote by the RAB members.)
22		MR. BAILEY: Okay. While we've
23		got that on the table, do I hear a motion for
24		someone who would like to take over the
25		responsibilities for the subcommittee for the

1	charter?
2	MR. HAGELTHORN: (Raises hand.)
3	MR. BAILEY: We have a
4	volunteer. Mr. Hagelthorn has volunteered. Are
5	there any other volunteers as prime or
6	alternates that would like to work
7	MR. RICE: I'll volunteer as an
8	alternate.
9	MR. BAILEY: Okay. So, we have a
10	volunteer as the prime and one as the
11	alternate. That's a motion. Can we hear a
12	motion on those two people?
13	MR. SOLIS: Yes. I move that we
14	accept Mr. Hagelthorn as the principal and
15	Mr. Rice as the alternate.
16	MR. WEINSTEIN: I'll second that
17	motion.
18	MR. BAILEY: We have a second.
19	Okay. All those show with a sign of raising
20	their hand.
21	(Vote by the RAB members.)
22	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Against?
23	Nay?
24	(Vote by the RAB members.)
25	MR. BAILEY: Okay. The next

committee was on the communication -- or the 1 newsletter. Did Mr. Sanchez happen to say 2 anything regarding the newsletter. 3 MR. LOFTIN: He's been -- I think 4 there's two committee members that work with him 5 on that -- to give him a call so he can get that 6 back, because we had relayed the message that 7 Mr. Quintanilla had given last time about the 8 9 messages to the local citizens. MR. BAILEY: Did everybody hear 10 that? Could you repeat that, please? 11 MR. LOFTIN: Mr. Sanchez asked 12 that -- I believe it was two fellow committee 13 members that are with him on the -- I think this 14 15 gentleman here and one other -- give him a call. He's -- was unable to attend tonight and 16 17 he's ready to get it back going and he understands that there was some issues brought 18 up about the articles being more geared to 19 20 the -- the layman or the common person. 21 MR. QUINTANILLA: The people. MR. LOFTIN: Yes, sir. 22 Tell 23 MR. QUINTANILLA: Mr. Sanchez that I'll be glad to work with 24 him -- just -- for him to set a time and date 25

and I'll be there. 1 MR. LOFTIN: Would you like to 2 call him? 3 MR. QUINTANILLA: No, no. 4 prefer -- Since you're his representative, I 5 think you should relay that information. 6 7 MR. LOFTIN: Well, I can relay I asked if you want to call him. 8 it. MR. OUINTANILLA: No. 9 MR. LOFTIN: You don't want to 10 call him? 11 MR. BAILEY: Again, by the way, 12 these are subcommittees that are set up for all 13 14 Even though we have a prime and we have an alternate -- any time we have these meetings, 15 I would encourage anybody on the RAB to please 16 attend -- and if you can't attend and you don't 17 think it's a good time, please get in touch with 18 that prime or the person who is in charge of the 19 subcommittee and let them know. 20 Another subcommittee that we have is on the 21 technical subcommittee. We did not meet this 22 last time. Do you have anything that you'd like 23 24 to report to the --

MR. RICE: Yeah.

I have three

brief things I'd like to talk about, Larry, if you could give me just a couple minutes here.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. A couple

minutes.

MR. RICE: Three items. First of all, if you-all remember, at the last meeting, we were going to have a technical subcommittee meeting sometime between that one and this one. It didn't occur. I got in touch with the Air Force folks and they were too busy -- they had some illnesses and this sort of thing -- so that we're going to try to set up a technical committee meeting sometime between now and the next meeting. I'll be in touch with Mr. Quinones. We'll get together and then we'll call the members -- and anyone else who is interested -- everyone is always welcome at meetings.

The second item I had is our letter to

General Childress -- you know, some of us here

at the last meeting signed a letter -- that was

about six weeks ago -- I put the letter in the

mail the following day and I haven't heard a

response from the general, yet. But each time I

call his office, they tell me the mail -- the

letter is almost in the mail. So -- Yes, Mike?

MR. ESTRADA: I put the six

letters that the general signed last Friday in the mail this morning.

MR. RICE: Great. Thanks much.

MR. ESTRADA: You should get them tomorrow.

MR. RICE: Thank you.

Yeah. Regarding attendance of that meeting -- you know, of course, everyone who signed the letter is welcome and encouraged to attend. But I know there are some people that are here now that weren't at the meeting last time and if they would like to sign the letter, they're also welcome to attend -- and I -- I assume that the general is -- is going to invite us, correct? No, not correct. The general is not going to talk to us.

MR. ESTRADA: Basically, what the general has agreed to do is come to the next RAB meeting, as Mr. Bailey alluded to earlier. The letter also states that he would be more than willing to meet with all six of you at the RAB meeting. Beyond that, we'll have to see how it develops.

MR. OUINTANILLA: How about the 1 2 community, Mike? Is the community invited to -- to -- to this meeting with the general? 3 MR. ESTRADA: Yeah. 4 The 5 community is always invited to every RAB meeting. 6 7 AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: When is this meeting going to be? 8 9 MR. ESTRADA: Mr. Bailey? MR. BAILEY: Well, we're going 10 to -- I'm going to talk to everybody and find 11 12 out when that's going to be. It will be 13 sometime in the latter part of March. AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 14 15 will we find out? 16 MR. BAILEY: Well, if you're not 17 on our mailing list -- because some people didn't find out about this -- that way. There 18 19 are people in the back that if you need -- leave 2.0 your name, your address -- or name and -- and 21 telephone number, we will contact you and give 22 you the date. We'll also be able to tell -- the -- the 23 24 latter part of February when the group meets --AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: February 25

1 26th. MR. BAILEY: Right. We will be 2 there and we will tell everybody -- and remind 3 everybody, once again, what the date and time 4 5 is -- and the location. 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 7 you. MR. RICE: Okay. Well, I'm --8 9 MR. QUINTANILLA: George, if you're going to talk about Zone 3, I have to 10 recuse myself because of the lawsuit. 11 MR. RICE: Yeah. That's what I'm 12 going to talk about now. 13 MR. QUINTANILLA: Thank you. 14 It's too bad the 15 MR. RICE: general is not going to meet with us, because I 16 quess I shouldn't say anything until I read the 17 letter and see his reasons. 18 So, let me go on. At the last meeting, I 19 let the members of the RAB here know that I was 20 performing a groundwater simulation of cleanup 21 in the Quintana Road neighborhood -- and I'm 22 about -- I'm not done -- I'm about two-thirds of 23 the way through that now, but I can give you an 24 25 interim report of what I've found.

If you recall, the issue here is we have a contaminant plume. The Air Force's plans call for taking more than 30 -- maybe 40 -- maybe 50 years -- to clean up the contaminant plume in the Quintana Road neighborhood. The point of the letters to the general is just saying, "Hey, this is not right. Why don't you sit down and talk with us about this issue."

Here is the -- Here is a picture of the current plume. This is the Kelly Air Force Base

2.0

Here is the -- Here is a picture of the current plume. This is the Kelly Air Force Base boundary. Here's the Quintana Road neighborhood. This is a PCE plume as of May '94. This came out of the CH2M-HILL documents that were presented to us last time. Kelly Air Force Base boundary is right along here. I chose PCE to simulate, because it's the most difficult of these contaminants to clean up. So, if this can be cleaned up, then the rest of them can be cleaned up.

These are the results of the Air Force's plan -- once again, here's the base boundary -- after 30 years, chlorinated solvent concentrations here underneath the neighborhood and the groundwater underneath the neighborhood will exceed MCLs. Here's the culvert after 30

years of restoration. As you can see, chlorinated solvent concentrations are very high. They're trapped by this culvert. That's why I asked, "Has anyone done an analysis of the effect of this culvert on clean up" -- and, apparently, that hasn't been done -- at least not seriously.

Just so I can show you this -- Here's a -- kind of a 3-D view of the existing plume -- once again, the boundary -- and here's the PCE plume here. The analysis I did was pretty simple. I simulated the installation of pumping wells and extraction wells and I ran that simulation so far for five years -- and here are my preliminary results -- and they're really pretty encouraging. These areas in here have less than four parts per billion -- actually, mostly zero parts per billion of PCE after pumping for five years -- not more than 30 years, but five years in this scheme.

Here's a hot spot that needs to be cleaned up. What we'll have to do is rearrange my wells to capture this part of the plume. The main point is that the Air Force says more than 30 years are required to clean this up. That just

ain't so. This can be done in three, four or five years, using conventional technology. I'm not doing anything here in this simulation that the Air Force is not doing on base to clean up on-base plumes in two to eight years -- and just to show you another shot of this plume here -- here's a 3-D shot of it, once again -- base boundary -- a new neighborhood here -- and here's -- that I still have to rearrange -- the wells to clean up.

But the point is: The Air Force has told us this is a 30, 40 or 50 year job. That just ain't so. If you use your head when you design a cleanup, you can get it done in three, four or five years. So, what we've been asking the Air Force to do is to sit down with us -- and with your contractor -- and let's design a plan that, number one, satisfies the requirements of the neighborhood -- because this is certainly not good for the neighborhood to have this plume there under there for more than 30 years -- and we also have to look at cost.

Now, something I haven't done here is look at cost. But it is quite possible that the plan I have here will be -- maybe a little more

expensive than the Air Force's -- maybe a little cheaper -- maybe much cheaper -- and one of the reasons why it might be much cheaper is because we only have five years to clean it up. They're looking at 30, 40 -- 50 years. You have tremendous operation and maintenance costs.

So, what we're asking is to sit down and talk -- and Mr. Bailey has refused to sit down and talk with us -- and now, apparently, the general is refusing to sit down and talk with us. But we're talking about the same cost -- maybe a little more -- it may be less -- substantial savings and time. Thanks.

MR. HAGELTHORN: George, I have a couple of things. Could I ask you a question real quick?

MR. RICE: Sure.

MR. HAGELTHORN: First, your graphs here, to me, appear to be a little bit misleading. You're showing vertical displacement on a horizontal problem, so I'm -- you know, that's -- to me -- you know, it makes it look like a lot worse than what it really is. You can't very well show vertical volumes based on something that's horizontal under the

ground. Maybe your graphical depiction should have been chosen a little bit more wisely.

The second thing is that, yes, the

Air Force has said in their projections -- in

their initial planning, which is not firm yet -
and that's been brought up time and time

again -- that that is not the approved

solution -- that it is still going on and we

don't have an approved solution, yet. The State

hasn't approved an approved solution, yet. And

they're using 30 years as a number -- as a

planning number.

Now, with my minimum 25 years of government service, I have known that they use a planning number so they can project out monies. Okay? You're saying it can be done in three, four -- five years. If they went with your solution, George, and they put in a pumping system to clean it up in your, quote, three, four, five years and six years comes along and it's still not cleaned up, what's going on, George?

MR. RICE: Oh, you know, these -- these sorts of things are not perfect.

MR. HAGELTHORN: You're right.

MR. RICE: You can always make

mistakes.

MR. HAGELTHORN: You're right.

And that's why the Air Force is using the terms -- so they can allocate money over a period of time so they can clean it up.

MR. RICE: Excuse me. If I could answer the question you asked me -- The Air Force's projection of more than 30 years is based on groundwater models similar to the type I used. These are the best tools we have. They're not perfect, but they're the best we have.

MR. HAGELTHORN: Sure -- you know, there's a lot of variables and I think you're not looking at that. A case in point is up at Hill Air Force Base, a PCE plume that was up there that the Air Force said would take about 35 to 50 years to clean up. Using a technique -- an experimental technique -- it's cleaned itself up -- almost 90 percent of the size of that plume -- in about four years.

MR. RICE: Let's do that here.

MR. HAGELTHORN: So -- you know,

I think we're throwing out numbers and we're building a lot of fear saying it's going to be

<b>1</b>	
	30 years when we don't know what kind
	MR. RICE: I'm not This is the
	Air Force saying Their plan calls for 30
	years of remedial action and, then, they're
	not done. This is what their documents state.
	MR. HAGELTHORN: That's true.
	That's a true statement.
	MR. RICE: What I'm saying is why
	can't it be done in three, four or five years?
	And all I'm asking is for them to sit down and
	talk with us and let's go let's look at their
	work, let's look at my work and let's try to
	work out something to where it can be a win/win
	situation. The Air Force can maybe save some
	money and your neighborhood can get cleaned up
	quicker, why not talk about it? Why the refusal
	to even discuss it?
-	MR. HAGELTHORN: I don't think
	anybody has refused to talk about it.
	AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: How long
	will it take for the for the Quintana area to
	be cleaned up?
	MR. RICE: The Air Force's plan.
	AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Because, to
	me, it seems like it's going to take too long.

MR. RICE: The Air Force says 1 their plan will require more than 30 years. 2 I'm saying it can be done in substantially less time 3 than that -- three, four or five years. AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 5 We pay tax, rent and -- and we live there while 6 they're -- they're still doing it -- they're 7 taking all their time. We've got to pay taxes 8 for dirty dirt? 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Granted, it may 10 take under your -- whatever plan that you're 11 proposing -- four to five years, but what you're 12 not telling the audience, as I understand the 13 law -- and, Mr. Beyer, I hate to put you on the 14 spot -- is -- all cleanups, regardless of 15 whether you get to your MCL at a point, are 16 going to require a long-term monitoring plan. 17 Now, long-term monitoring plans -- the 18 audience that doesn't understand -- can take up 19 to 30 years. So, even under the Air Force's 20 cleanup and our -- at best -- we could be at 21 MCLs the same time you are, but we have 30 22 23 years --Adrienne, I'd like to MR. RICE: 24 point out that at Site 2, on-base 25

contamination -- the Air Force has a plan similar to the one I presented here, which they say is going to clean up the plume in eight years. Okay? And what they're doing is they're using some of the same techniques I used here. Why don't they use those same techniques in the neighborhood and get the job done in a lot less time than they're planning on getting it done?

MS. WILLIAMS: What I'm just trying to point out is that there is more to the 30 years than just the actual cleanup, that there is long-term monitoring that the Air Force is required by law to do to ensure that once you get to the cleanup level -- whatever it may be -- that you make sure for a long period of time that it's working, because you don't want -- none of us want to have a situation where it gets cleaned up to an MCL in four or five years and then we walk away. You want to make sure that it's working for a period of time.

So, when you look at the 30-year period -that's part of what you have to take into
account. That's all I'm trying to say.

MR. RICE: Okay. Let me say one

more thing -- then it's been more than a couple minutes and I'll get down. This -- These figures come from Air Force documents. These are the plans that they put forth. These are the plans that the State has almost given final approval for. This is a preferred alternative -- after 30 years, above the MCL in the neighborhood. Down here along this culvert -- this culvert is far, far above the MCLs. This is what they're planning to do. Why? Thank you.

MR. BAILEY: Thank you.

No other subcommittee reports? We'll go on to the new business. At this time, I'd like to cover two things. One is to talk -- to remind everybody that we'll be getting back in touch with them regarding the May meeting of Ms. Goodman and the Defense Environmental Restoration Task Force -- when they're coming in. Secondly, just to remind everybody that General Childress will be coming to the March meeting. So, if we could tentatively set a time tonight -- which I'll get to in just a bit -- we will do so.

But what I'd like to do right now is just

introduce someone who we've asked to come onto the RAB Board just to give you -- just a brief idea as to how the Greater Kelly Development Corporation and the reuse, realignment and closure of Kelly is looking at the current time. So, at this time, I'd like to introduce Mr. Paul Roberson. If you could, give us some brief comments, please.

MR. ROBERSON: Thank you, Larry.

Well, I just -- just very quickly -- I think

you've probably seen in the papers that the

City Council has established a new entity called

the Greater Kelly Development Corporation.

That's an entity created by the City Council and

charged with the task of -- of working on the

redevelopment of Kelly.

We'll be the -- the -- kind of the community's agency to work with the Air Force on privatization to ensure that that occurs in a way that's going to meet the community's goals.

We'll also be responsible for -- for working -- other opportunities for redeveloping land and facilities at Kelly to bring in commercial opportunities that will create jobs for -- to replace the jobs that are going to be

affected by the realignment of Kelly.

Now, this is a process that's going to unfold over several years. It will be -- It will be the year 2001 before Kelly Air Force Base, as we know it, actually takes down the flag and closes as an Air Force Base. But this process of working redevelopment and privatization is going to be starting this year and be going on for the next five years.

As I'm sure Larry has said many times, the environmental issues that exist on Kelly or have been created by the Air Force over these past years -- the federal government and the Air Force remain responsible for -- and that -- and that responsibility continues indefinitely. They never end that responsibility.

One of our -- One of our goals in the Greater Kelly Development Corporation is going to be to work very closely with the Air Force to monitor the environmental actions and to ensure that the interest of the community is met. So, I think it's very important that a member of -- of the Greater Kelly Development Corporation be on this Board, hear the kinds of comments that are -- that are being made here tonight and

understand the issues so that we can work
with -- with the Air Force and with Larry and -and jointly try to make sure we find the best
solutions to this so that the Air Force's
responsibilities are met and that we, in the
community, accomplish our goals from an
environmental perspective, too.

We've got a lot of work ahead of us. I probably have more questions than I have answers, because they're -- we're just getting started on this process -- but -- but I or other people from the corporation will be -- will attend all these meetings and be available to talk to you and discuss these issues and tell you where we're coming from.

Yes, ma'am?

we know this won't happen again? If the government -- they don't even have the ability to do it quick and fast -- the next people coming in -- they're not -- I -- I imagine they're not as powerful as the government.

What's going to happen after that? We're just going to keep on getting contaminated?

MR. ROBERSON: Well, I think that

the -- that the key point there is a lot of this 1 contamination are things that have occurred in 2 prior years before a lot of the rules and 3 regulations that requires -- not only the 4 5 government, but any commercial activity -- to set up procedures to ensure that these kind of 6 7 contaminations don't occur in the future -- and the commercial activities that will be coming 8 9 into Kelly will have to observe the same rules that -- that, now, the Air Force is observing. 10 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 11 12 we're a neighborhood here. 13 MR. ROBERSON: I understand that. I understand. 14 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 15 It's a 16 large neighborhood there and -- Is it safe to 17 keep on letting that type of stuff be near a 18 neighborhood? MR. ROBERSON: I don't know. 19 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 21 That -- you know, that problem -- that liability -- there's always going to be that 22 chance. Is it safe? You see stuff like that 23 that are -- that are so dangerous, they're 24 put -- you put them away from -- from 25

neighborhoods -- from children.

AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: Put it on the north side.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: Yeah, put it on the north side.

MR. ROBERSON: I quess the reality of life is that we have a big industrial complex with literally billions of dollars of investment sitting there on Kelly with some of the latest facilities -- state-of-the-art facilities, state-of-the-art technology -- and it's an opportunity for this community to use that to redevelop it for the good of the community -- but I -- I would certainly agree with you. So, I think that the prospect of trying to move that somewhere else is unrealistic, quite frankly. But I think that --But I think that the commitment that -- that all of us have to make is that any activities that are brought in are going to have to comply with all the rules and regulations that the TNRCC and the EPA and any other body that sets the rules for avoiding the kinds of problems that were created in the past. We've got to make darn sure that that's all observed -- you know, keep

1

2

3

in mind, that the Air Force is not contaminating 1 2 right now. I mean, they've -- they've done magnificent work to put in place procedures that 3 prevent contamination from getting off the base 4 or occurring like it did in the past and what 5 we're living with is the results of what's 6 happened in years past before we understood --7 before anybody understood all of the -- you 8 9 know, the impact of those things. So, there are -- I mean, there are a lot of rules and regs 10 in place now that will prevent that from 11 happening and we will have to ensure that 12 commercial activities observe those same 13 14 procedures. AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: 15 16 They're not just hazardous chemicals --17 MR. ROBERSON: Yes, there are. 18 But there are procedures to -- in place now to

But there are procedures to -- in place now to prevent the kind of contamination from occurring in the future that occurred in the past.

AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: To be spread in a way the --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIENCE MEMBER FOURTEEN: A good deal is just like San Antonio -- and take Alamo Ironworks. That thing has been there for a

hundred years. Now, we've had two elections 1 2 over that and we voted them down -- the public has voted it down -- and we -- we haven't heard 3 the last of that. 4 You're probably 5 MR. ROBERSON: right. 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER FOURTEEN: That is an example of that. That is an example. 8 But -- you know, I'm an old man and I've got two 9 10 things to do: Die and pay taxes. But -- you know, we'll never accomplish anything --11 Together we can do anything. This country can 1.2 13 do anything. MR. ROBERSON: You're absolutely 14 15 right. AUDIENCE MEMBER FOURTEEN: But, 16 17 you know, everybody's passing the buck -- like Watergate. If it takes us 20 years to find out 18 19 the truth, buddy, I'll be buried. MR. ROBERSON: Well, let me just 20 21 say this about --AUDIENCE MEMBER FOURTEEN: 22 doesn't make it right, fella. That doesn't make 23 it right. We, the people -- We, the people, run 24 this country. That's our strongest asset -- is 25

the people. When we start fighting amongst ourselves, we've lost this wonderful country.

AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: You're interested about the investment -- that, you know, they'll be at Kelly -- you know, I think about investing for my children to go to college, but I have to think about investing in a coffin or -- you know, their -- where we're going to bury them because of the way the contamination is going.

AUDIENCE MEMBER FOURTEEN:

Money -- Money never solved nothing, fella.

Don't ever forget what I'm saying.

MR. ROBERSON: I guess the only thing I can tell you is that this -- this corporation that the City has set up to oversee the redevelopment of Kelly is now two weeks old. I mean, this is the first time I've been at this meeting. It's the first time I've heard any of these comments -- and I -- I don't mean that as an excuse -- but I'm certainly not in a position to answer to any of these questions.

AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: --

without even trying to get the community involved. You need to hear us -- you know,

2.5

we're the ones that live down here -- not those councilmen.

MR. ROBERSON: Well, I think that the commitment that -- that the corporation is making is that we are committed to try to be good stewards of the environment along -- and work with the community on doing that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: We're not worth a billion dollars, but I think we're worth something.

MR. ROBERSON: That's certainly true. I certainly agree with that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER FOURTEEN: We, the people, are going to have to take this country over again. It seems to be like

Cuba and Castro. That son-of-a-gun is still -there's five of them still living. Don't stand
there and tell me crime doesn't pay. I know
that's a different subject. I know it's a
different subject. But, fella, there is nothing
we, the people, can't do in this country.

AUDIENCE MEMBER ELEVEN: We just need to get united and get together and work to -- because I'm sure if all the community up here in South San gets together, we can do more

than what some of these people up on the Board can do. We need to get united. You need to come on February 26th at 7:00 o'clock. Bring your questions, bring your neighbors and let's raise hell, guys.

AUDIENCE MEMBER THIRTEEN: Bring your children, too. They're going to be the ones in 30 years being up here trying to get it cleaned up.

MR. BAILEY: Thank you.

Okay. Going on to the next issue regarding planning of the next meeting. There are some, I think, important issues that we need to talk about regarding this next time. There is some data that should be coming back to us on some information that's collected on some of the wells. That information should be presented at that time, as well as a review, hopefully, we'll be able to get partially from the folks from Armstrong Lab, at that time -- maybe on some of the -- update on what they've been able to do regarding the data gap review of the Kelly Air Force Base program.

There's a technical review committee meeting requested by Mr. Rice. That should

1 happen between now and then. As Mr. Rice pointed out -- and as many of us have pointed 2 out -- anybody and everybody who -- on the RAB 3 Board who is available should try to come to 4 those. If you're not able to come, let us know 5 and we'll see if we can't get a time around 6 where we have multiple technical committees -subcommittee meetings for others. 8 Let me throw this as a motion regarding the 9 next time we meet: The same place, here, the 10 time, starting at 6:00 o'clock and that we meet 11 on 18 March -- recognizing that I'll have to get 12 back in touch to ensure that it coincides with 13 General Childress' schedule. So, that's on a 14 Monday. That's right at six weeks from now. 1.5 That's a motion that I'd like to put before the 16 17 RAB. MR. SOLIS: I move that we meet 18 19 on March 18, 6:00 p.m. MR. ROBERSON: Second. 20 MR. BAILEY: Okay. March 18, 21 6:00 p.m., here. Can I have a sign indicating 22 23 in favor by showing hands? (Vote by RAB members.) 24

MR. BAILEY: Thank you.

Those

1 nay? 2 (Vote by the RAB members.) MR. BAILEY: Okay. We'll have it 3 on March 18th -- just to summarize -- 6:00 4 o'clock, here. Agenda items are those that I 5 talked about. If there are others that you 6 would like to have added, then please feel free 7 to --8 9 Yes, sir? MR. RICE: Yeah. I'd like to --10 I hope that in the next six weeks, I'll have 11 completed the study. So, what I'd like to do is 12 take a few minutes to present the results of the 13 14 completed study on cleanup. MR. BAILEY: Okay. Well --15 MR. RICE: And I have a request 16 for that technical committee meeting --17 MR. BAILEY: Right. 18 19 MR. RICE: I hope that you would encourage one of your contractors that does this 20 kind of modeling to attend so we can discuss it 21 22 at that time --MR. BAILEY: Okay. We'll do --23 MR. RICE: -- especially -- if 24 you could -- the contractor that's actually 25

designing the cleanup.

MR. CULBERTSON: Down the road or whoever it is.

MR. BAILEY: We'd be glad to do so.

Okay? Are there any other items other than that that was just pointed out by Mr. Rice and other than those that I talked about? If not, we have a motion. It's been seconded. It's been voted on. We will be meeting. Again, thank you very much time for coming and thank you --

AUDIENCE MEMBER TEN: Can I just say one thing? I want to thank each and every one of you of the RAB for being here and helping all of us here from South San. I want to thank each and every one of you sitting here, taking your time and concern and helping us out here on the south side. I really thank you very, very much for all your time.

MR. BAILEY: Excuse me. There was one item and that was on the RAB training. We were going to vote and see whether it was the 17th or the 24th. Was it the 24th that people had agreed to?

```
MR. SOLIS: Saturday the 17th or
 1
 2
           Saturday the 24th?
                           MR. BAILEY: The 24th.
 3
                           MR. SOLIS: How many can make it
 4
           the 24th -- February the 24th -- Saturday?
 5
                                (Vote by the RAB members.)
 6
 7
                           MR. BAILEY: Can we have a sign
           of hands -- those that were -- that think they
 8
           can make it on the 24th?
 9
                                (Vote by the RAB members.)
10
                           MR. MEDINA: Could we have a show
11
           of hands?
12
                                (Vote by the RAB members.)
13
14
                           MR. BAILEY: That's Saturday.
15
           Okay. So, if we started -- what would be the
           best time? 8:00 o'clock in the morning?
16
17
                Okay. 8:00 o'clock in the morning until
           12:00 on the 24th. Thank you very much.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

STATE OF TEXAS 1 2 COUNTY OF BEXAR I, JULIE A. SEAL, a Certified 3 4 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the 5 above and foregoing contain a true and correct 6 7 transcription of all proceedings, all of which occurred and were reported by me. 8 WITNESS MY HAND, this the \_\_\_\_\_ day 9 \_\_\_, A.D. 1996. 10 11 12 13 Cert. No. 5160 14 JULIE A. Expires: Certified Shorthand Reporter Dec. '97 15 and Notary Public in and for (210) 377 - 3027the State Texas οf 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE