

CHANUTE AFB ILLINOIS

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD COVER SHEET

AR File Number 3352.1

BEFORE THE RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

3 IN RE THE MATTER OF:

RESTORATION PROGRAM

CHANUTE AFB INSTALLATION

BOARD MEETING

Proceedings had on October 2nd, 2001, at AFBCA

Public Meeting Room, 1 Aviation Drive, Rantoul,

Champaign County, Illinois, commencing at the hour of

6:03 o'clock P.M., before the Restoration Advisory

Board, before H. Lori Bernardy, a Notary Public of

Sangamon County, acting within and for the County of

Champaign, State of Illinois.

ORIGINAL

BALDWIN REPORTING & LEGAL-VISUAL SERVICES
Serving Illinois, Indiana & Missouri
24 hrs (217)788-2835 Fax (217)788-2838
1-800-248-2835

1	PRESENT:	
2	Tim Brecheen	AFBCA AFBCA
3	Mark Hutchinson Tim Mitchell	Rantoul <u>News-Gazette</u> RAB Member
4	Caryl E. Fothergill Tom Mason	Jacobs Engineering
5	Dave Heidlauf Rich Weber Stu Russell	Montgomery Watson Harza Montgomery Watson Harza Montgomery Watson Harza
6	Gary Schafer Chris Hill	USEPA IEPA
7	Steven Pitts Louis Kowalski	AFBCA Village Tree Committee
8	Lorraine Wirges Donna Kozak	RAB Member UNITEC
9	Doilla Rozak	ONTIEC
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. BRECHEEN: Good evening. My name is Tim
3	Brecheen for those who don't know me from the Air
4	Force, and we'll start with introductions.
5	MR. PITTS: Steve Pitts, AFBCA.
6	MR. BRECHEEN: Tim Brecheen, AFBCA.
7	MR. FOTHERGILL: Caryl Fothergill, RAB Member.
8	MS. WIRGES: Lorraine Wirges, RAB Member.
9	MR. SCHAFER: Gary Schafer, US EPA.
10	MR. HILL: Chris Hill, Illinois EPA.
11	MR. MITCHELL: Tim Mitchell, News-Gazette.
12	MR. HUTCHINSON: Mark Hutchinson, AFBCA.
13	MR. MASON: Tom Mason, Jacobs Engineering.
14	MR. HEIDLAUF: Dave Heidlauf, Montgomery Watson
15	Harza.
16	MS. KOZAK: Donna Kozak, Unitec.
17	MR. WEBER: Rich Weber, Montgomery Watson Harza.
18	MR. RUSSELL: Stu Russell, Montgomery Watson
19	Harza.
20	MR. KOWALSKI: Lou Kowalski, Tree Commission for
21	the City of Rantoul.
22	MR. BRECHEEN: Good evening. Old business
23	minutes from the last meeting, any comments or a
24	Motion to Accept?

MR. FOTHERGILL: I move to accept.

MR. BRECHEEN: Okay, all in favor say "aye."

RAB MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. BRECHEEN: Okay. I guess we'll begin with our presentation. We briefed at the last RAB meeting that there was a Public Health Assessment being conducted. That's one of the requirements because we're on the proposed National Priority List, is for them to come out and conduct their health assessment.

They issued their Site Summary report

recently on September 10th - I believe you guys should

have received a copy of that - where they outlined 11

concerns or areas where they wanted to gather

additional information. And they've given us a list

of additional information they would like us to

provide as we gather that over time.

All that will be rolled into a document called a Public Health Assessment, and that will come out next year. But it will depend upon this information that will be sent to them as well. Some of their findings, they believe there's no contaminated sites or Public Health issues associated with the Base that present an imminent public health hazard.

However, they did identify several issues for us to look into, and one of the areas that they focused on was Heritage Lake, and specifically, fishing in Heritage Lake. The key issue that we'd like to bring to the public's attention is the potential for contamination of the fish at Heritage Lake, and are proposing say a "Catch and Release Only Policy." And we have the press release that we'd like to share with the RAB Members and anyone else who would like it as well.

I guess I'll read it.

Air Force Restricts Heritage Lake to "Catch and Release Only"

As a result of the continuing investigation and landfill cover construction work at the former Chanute Air Force Base in Rantoul, the Air Force Base Conversion Agency has announced they will be posting signs at Heritage Lake which restrict fishing to "catch and release only." The Air Force is currently evaluating preliminary data that indicates bass and catfish from Heritage Lake could contain elevated levels of mercury.

Once the evaluation is completed, the findings will be submitted to the USEPA and Illinois

EPA for their review. According to the AFBCA BRAC
Environmental Coordinator/Site Manager Tim Brecheen,
"This review process takes time, therefore the Air
Force feels it is the in the best interest of the
public to refrain from eating fish caught in Heritage
Lake." The Air Force will present the results of the
Heritage Lake study to the public upon completion of
the review process and concurrence by the USEPA.

6.

According to Rich Thomas, Superintendent of the Village of Rantoul's Recreation Department,
"Heritage Lake has not been stocked with fish in years." However, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry noted the absence of a "catch and release" policy at Heritage Lake in their Site Summary Report released last week. ATSDR indicated they have concerns regarding the potential impacts to the lake from current activities, such as landfill construction, and the former activities at the base.

According to Mr. Brecheen, "The Air Force welcomes comments like this from the ATSDR and other Agencies involved with activities at the base and is taking the appropriate action." The decision to restrict fishing at Heritage Lake is also supported by the USEPA, the Illinois EPA, and the Village of

1 Rantoul.

Also with this press release is an example of what the sign -- the wording on the sign will be. What we've done is even though the mercury is only a concern in the bass and catfish, at least from the preliminary findings, until we go through the whole process, we want to be conservative in this approach. We'll post this for all species.

The signs will be posted in approximately two weeks, and the next sheet of paper shows in black and white - it's hard to see this - but there will be five signs posted at the lake: one at the main entrance of the lake, and four around the lake.

MS. WIRGES: Lorraine Wirges. What were the findings when they caught those other fish from there during the last year? Was there a high mercury level then?

MR. BRECHEEN: Yes, and in both the bass and the catfish. I believe it was in the filets.

MR. MASON: Correct. It was in the filets.

MR. BRECHEEN: Donna or Tom, do you want to give a 30-second --

MR. MASON: I guess, bass and catfish were the species that the Air Force and its contractors

determined could pose a potential threat if consumed.

Bass are a top-end predator and what's called

bioaccumulation as they prey on smaller fish which

have fed on smaller fish and accumulate potential

contaminants. That's why they're concerned.

And the catfish are typically a bottom feeder, eating in and around the sediments, and that's why catfish were a potential concern.

MS. WIRGES: Those must be decendents of fish from many years ago, but I didn't think the ones that they stocked the lakes with reproduced.

MR. BRECHEEN: We also did a preliminary risk of the surface water and the sediment, and it showed no potential concerns with that.

MS. WIRGES: Thank you.

7 .

MR. BRECHEEN: I don't know if you guys want to add anything.

MR. SCHAFER: I would just caveat that to make clear the understanding what the Air Force has done with the surface water. They've done an internal exercise, as they have with the fish. The actual risk assessment has yet to be submitted to the EPA or the what the Air Force has shared with us over time is data tables and so on with their initial findings.

1	MR. BRECHEEN: Any comments?			
2	MR. HILL: No.			
3	MR. BRECHEEN: So what we'll do is in the morning			
4	we'll release this to the press, and in a couple weeks			
5	you'll see the signs go up, okay.			
6, ,	Buildings on Base. Gary Adams and			
7	Caryl, I don't know if you want to mention anything on			
8	this one?			
9	MR. FOTHERGILL: Well, a lot of concerning			
10	White Hall, he was wanting more, I think more			
11	involvement with the Air Force and what they had			
12	planned on doing with White Hall. And he also had			
13	concerns about the steam plants. You know, it's			
14	inactive now and what they plan on doing with that			
15	particular building, too. Those are just the two			
16	things that he was concerned about.			
17	He apologized about not being able to			
18	attend, but he had another Board meeting to attend to.			
19	MS. WIRGES: I think all of us			
20	MR. FOTHERGILL: So he wanted those two issues			
21	addressed.			
22	MS. WIRGES: I thought Mr. Miles had purchased			
23	White Hall and was contemplating with another party to			
24	work on that. I didn't think the Air Force had			

anything to do with that anymore.

MR. BRECHEEN: There is a difference. I mean, I received the same memo from Gary Adams, and we'll be glad to look into both issues with both buildings to see what the Air Force can do. The main difference is ownership: Of the steam plant, we're the owner of the building.

MS. WIRGES: The building White Hall is?

MR. BRECHEEN: No.

MS. WIRGES: The steam plant?

MR. BRECHEEN: The steam plant. One of his comments was the steam plant obviously uses coal. And part of the investigation that will take place in what's called Operable Unit-1 will include all the coal piles. Coal was also stored around the facility. So part of our investigation of all the coal piles will include the perimeter of that building and around the building, the front of that building.

We'll work with the Regulators to make sure that the footprint or the boundary of that area is all encompassing to include wherever coal could have been stored.

MR. FOTHERGILL: I can remember coal being stored out on the taxiway, and there was two - three long

1 piles.

note.

The one pile was where the trucks park, and then there's like a field separating those two taxiways. And then there was one on the other side of the field there that they had a front-end loader and dump truck to haul it.

MR. SCHAFER: We will -- in some of our plans part of the figures show that the Air Force has information to show where the coal piles are. Will you be willing to take a look at those figures just to make sure that the Air Force -- we want to make sure that we get all the coal pile locations, and we understand that they were staged in various places.

Things got moved around as the supply of coal fluctuated, so we want to make sure that anybody we talk to that says yeah, I remember a coal pile is heard from. From the EPA's perspective, we'd like to make sure that's covered.

MR. KOWALSKI: Is the Village going to technically care that you tear these buildings down?

MR. BRECHEEN: I guess I could read from Gary's

I wanted to bring up two items for discussion, but since I cannot be there would you

please bring them for discussion. As you are aware, the City has had some concerns and problems with White Hall for some time. Obviously, the building is not getting any better, and is, in fact, getting much worse. As far as I know, there are no approved plans on file for the renovation of this facility, and it continues to deteriorate. I'm concerned that there may be some environmental issues both in the facility and in the property surrounding the building.

Therefore, I'm requesting that the Air Force take a close look at this facility and the property it sits on.

The second issue concerns the old steam plant. As you are aware, there was coal stored around the site for many years, and several of these are areas of concern right now. Coal was also stored on the actual plant site, and the storage could have created the same type of problems as it did in other areas. I am also requesting that the Air Force look at this building and the ground immediately surrounding the old plant for any possible contamination.

So he made a very valid request, and it's obviously very important. Gary Adams has a copy of

our sites that we've documented on the base. That's why he said he knows there's other areas concerned with coal. And as we discussed with Ray, we'll make sure that the stream plant is part of that coal site.

As far as demolition of the buildings, that

As far as demolition of the buildings, that is something that we'll look into, too, and we'll get back with the Village and the Air Force. I don't know policy and guidance for that to happen.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Before any building is demolished, will there be assessment for asbestos?

MS. WIRGES: Well, where does the ownership of White Hall lie then?

MR. BRECHEEN: We don't own White Hall.

MS. WIRGES: Well, that's what I thought.

MR. BRECHEEN: That has been deeded to a private individual, not the Air Force. We have no legal ownership of the building. However, under all transfers, it's very clear that -- Gary, maybe you can make this more explicit. If there's an environmental concern on a transferred parcel that's found after it's transferred, the Air Force is responsible to go back and investigate and re-mediate that potential concern.

MR. SCHAFER: That is true with respect to what's

happening outside the building. Environmental regulations -- we're working with the Air Force to clean up the site. The interior of buildings are exempt from those regulations because say - take White Hall for example, and there's lead-based paint and it's peeling all over the place - I assume that's a condition that's probably of concern. If lead-based paint is confined to the inside of the building, it is not a risk to the environment.

2.0

It sounds like the lion's share of the problems apply to the interior of White Hall, based on what I've been told, rather than the exterior. So I don't believe the Air Force is under any legal obligation to come and re-mediate the inside the building. Things outside the building are totally a different story. They're clearly obligated.

MS. WIRGES: Well, the outside has been maintained even before it was sold the last time, and then the interior has been of concern ever since the Base closed.

MR. PITTS: But nobody accesses the interior. I mean, it's not like it's open to the public.

MS. WIRGES: No.

MR. PITTS: So, you know, a building like that

could possibly deteriorate to a point until somebody
gets the money and plans are put in place to go in and
clean it up.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Well, I do know that prior to the Base closing announcement, that particular building was earmarked for a 4.2 million dollar renovation, and that was published in the Base newspaper. And I remember reading that. And if I dig hard enough, I believe I can find a copy.

MS. WIRGES: They did a section of the roof every year.

MR. FOTHERGILL: They did not say what it was going to be for, they just said it was earmarked.

MR. BRECHEEN: And the Air Force has a grant program, and many communities take advantage of it, and this community sure has, where it offers grants to do many things. I'm sure if Ray was here, he could speak to this clearly.

Rantoul has received millions of dollars to assist in redevelopment of things such as the roads and fixing the gates and entrance ways and other construction type activities. A lot of bases receive money to demolish buildings. So there is a process in place, and the Village has used that process before,

1 and we'll continue to work with them to make sure that 2 if there's anything we can provide to help in the 3 process. 4 MR. KOWALSKI: You would help the individual that 5 owns the building, is that it? 6 MR. BRECHEEN: That's something that we're going 7 to have to go back and clarify, because if we're not 8 the owner, it does present a different situation. 9 MR. KOWALSKI: What if the person walks away from 10 the building, now who does the building default to? 11 You know, he only paid a small amount of money for 12 that building. 13 MR. SCHAFER: Did he pay for the building in 14 full? I'm not an attorney, but I suspect that even if 15 he walks away, it's his. It's not like the bank is 16 underwriting the balance of a loan. Like, if I walk 17 away from my house, my bank will step up and say yeah, 18 I'll take your house, because they own more of it than 19 I do. 20 MR. KOWALSKI: Does he still owe money to the Air 21 Force? 22 MR. PITTS: No. 23 MR. SCHAFER: It's my understanding -- I've

looked at this personally and it's my understanding

24

that he presented a check for the total amount at the close. So the building was purchased in full at the time of the closing.

2.0

MR. KOWALSKI: The only thing is I don't believe that the person can pay for the demolishing of the building or do anything to it, so it's going to default.

MR. PITTS: And the Village probably, if he didn't pay his taxes or sewer bills or that type of thing, the Village at some point in time can put a lien against it. You know, if he's walked away from it and left it abandoned, could get a court order, have it declared as abandoned, and, you know, have it torn down at some future point.

MR. KOWALSKI: The Village can't afford to tear it down either. You know, it's a massive undertaking.

MR. PITTS: The other problem is with that particular building is it's in a historical district, and there's a lot you have to go through to get any building that's in a historical district marked as able to be demolished.

MR. KOWALSKI: My feeling is that the Air Force walked away from the responsibility on this building.

I mean, they passed it off on to an individual and

2.0

really it isn't fit for anything that I can see now.

I'm not an expert on it, but I think it would take millions of dollars to do anything with it, including demolishing it. And I think the Air Force essentially gave it away to somebody else and shirked their responsibility for it. Is that a wrong assessment?

MR. FOTHERGILL: No, I think it's a real good

MS. WIRGES: Then the problem came up too from one of our committees that checked into that building, if they did try to tear it down, what would they do with the residue? With all that brick and everything, there's no place you can haul it to. I mean, it's a round-robin for problems.

MR. SCHAFER: I think there's regulations that once you start demolishing the building, you remove the asbestos first. I am assuming just because of the age of the building --

MR. FOTHERGILL: That's what I'm afraid of is the steam pipes. Tons of asbestos.

MR. BRECHEEN: The sale of White Hall was actually not sold by the Air Force. It was sold by the GSA, General Services Administration, which is the real estate arm of Base closure. All their rules and

regulations for sale kick in, not just for White Hall,
which is on one end of the negative extreme, but also
on all the other buildings that were sold that are

being used productively.

MR. PITTS: The GSA actually owns buildings with the Army and the Air Force, but it's all GSA's buildings.

MR. SCHAFER: The way the process works is on a BRAC site, when the military decides they've got a re-user, they will go through their environmental program to the extent that they're required by law and then they identify to GSA hey, we've got a building we want you to assess it for us. You can sort of look at GSA as sort of a real world example of someone like you would hire as a real estate agent as if you were selling your home.

They only go into action when the Air Force in this case identifies that they've got something they want assessed and when they also identify that they've got a re-user. I think you guys do coordination with re-users?

MR. BRECHEEN: In conjunction with the LRA.

MR. KOWALSKI: I was going to say, it sounds more like they're a used car salesman, and not a real

1 estate salesman.

MS. WIRGES: We'll quote you on that, Lou.

MR. BRECHEEN: You're right. Of all the properties sold -- there's great properties. And Caryl is living in a great house. And this is the one piece of property that stands out as the sore thumb.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Well, I have a suspicion that the reason that Gary Adams wanted that issue brought up is because the Police Department lost one of their dogs at White Hall. It fell off the roof or fell through the roof. I didn't exactly know the whole story, but I'm sure that's one issue that brought that up.

MS. WIRGES: I want to know how they got in there.

MR. FOTHERGILL: They broke in. You know, the people they were chasing?

MS. WIRGES: Yeah.

MR. FOTHERGILL: They probably broke in.

MR. BRECHEEN: Anything else?

Well, what we'll do is we'll leave this as kind of an open action for the RAB, and the Air Force will research what we can in conjunction with the Regulators and keep communicating with the Village.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Sounds good.

2

MR. BRECHEEN: Two tracks: one, the

3

environmental track which is pretty clear, and really

4

controlled by the BRAC team here. And then the

5

building demolition possibilities which are controlled

6

by a whole separate group.

7

MR. SCHAFER: It's important to understand and

8

convey this back to Mr. Adams that the only thing EPA

9

and IEPA can affect is if there's been releases

10

outside the building, like the coal Tim talked about.

11

And in our discussions today, they've indicated

12

they'll do that.

13

don't address that. So that's kind of where our hands

But inside the building, our regulations

15

14

are tied just by the laws that we have.

16

MR. FOTHERGILL: Because you're talking about a

MR. SCHAFER: We're talking to whether an

17

confined area.

18

issue -- whether something is released to the

19 20

environment or non-CERCLA. Non-CERCLA is but CERCLA

21

exempts the interior of the building.

22

MR. HILL: There are a couple areas outside White

23

Hall that are associated with coal generators, and in

an environmental investigation, but that's probably

24

21

1 not going to be something of a magnitude that will 2 cause the Air Force to have to remove the building. 3 MR. SCHAFER: Highly doubtful. 4 We'll have them look at those areas. 5 there's contaminated soil, we'll be talking to them 6 about having to remove that. 7 MR. FOTHERGILL: Okay. 8 MR. BRECHEEN: I'm part of this community, too, 9 and if there's a way to get something else in that's 10 better, I'm in support of that and going about doing 11 it. 12 MS. WIRGES: Well, at the time White Hall was 13 sold, that Building 16 went along in the bargain, that 14 old wooden building, and it's just sitting there, too. 15 MR. BRECHEEN: Okay. Anything else? 16 (No audible response.) 17 MR. FOTHERGILL: Press on. 18 MR. BRECHEEN: Okay. Landfills Remedial Action. 19 I'll turn it over to Rich Weber to talk about the 20 accomplishments of the Landfills. 21 Well, as the slide indicates, we've MR. WEBER: 22 made good progress on landfill construction since the 23 last meeting in early August as I recall. We've 24 completed the leachate collection piping and the

manhole installation for the leachate collection system at Landfill 1 and Landfill 2.

We are presently working on a cover for Landfill 1, and thus far we've completed the soil grading layer which is a foot of clean soil over the waste and debris, and installed a gas vent layer.

We've installed a 1-foot thick clay cap, and the flexible membrane liner.

We are presently installing a geonet, which is a drainage layer, and we are replacing the root zone soils. If everything goes as planned this year, we are also doing shaping and grading on Landfill 2, and then Landfill 3. So we have concurrent operations at 1, 2, and 3. And to support that activity, we are continuing to haul soils from the Rantoul borrow source.

MR. FOTHERGILL: I drove by there the other day, and there's two layers of plastic.

MR. WEBER: There's actually multiple layers of plastic, and in some of the photographs I have, I'll point out some of those various layers. So, Steve, next slide.

In the next couple of months, we will finish the Landfill work -- the cover work at Landfill

1 1, and weather permitting, we're hoping to get the

topsoil and seed down by the end of the year as well.

A few months ago, we didn't know if we were going to be able to do that based on the progress, but the way it looks now is like we'll be able to get that done.

We will finish the shaping and grading at Landfill 2; we will finish the waste consolidation at Landfill 3; we will backfill all of those waste consolidation excavations, and we will install a leachate collection system at Landfill 3, again, weather permitting.

And then before we shut down for the winter season, we will establish erosion control measures around the site, and go away for a few months.

So this is somewhat of a repeat from the last time we met, but again, Landfill 1, weather permitting, will be done this year. If necessary, we'll topsoil and seed in the spring.

Landfills 2 and 3 will be done with leachate collection systems and site prep work, and then the cap will be constructed next year.

And Landfill 4, we will start and finish next year. These are a few photographs of progress in

the last couple of months. This is the lift station manhole at Landfill 1. The perforated leachate plate surrounds the landfill and will drain into the manhole.

Here are trucks dumping clay soil that we've excavated from the Landfill Borrow Source being spread out over the first layer of geosynthetic material. This is a layer of textile top and bottom and a synthetic grid material in between which is to capture the potential methane that would be released from the Landfill, and then it will vent to some pipes.

But this clay material is spread by the dozers and then compacted with compactors and cleaned up for a total final thickness of 1 foot. This here is the soil grading layer over the waste and debris. There's a minimum 1 foot thickness of that. So you've got the grading layer, then the gas vent layer, and then the clay layer, and then additional layers if needed.

After we place the clay layer - again, this is a foot thick - we used an auger rig to drill down through the compacted clay to the gas vent layer and into the waste and debris. And then we inserted a

perforated pipe which comes up through the clay and there's a clay and (inaudible) mixture to keep the seal, and then that will vent to the atmosphere as a

passive gas vent system.

There's the flexible membrane liner on each side which will then come together at the seam and then there will be a pipe boot around the gas vent pipes to maintain the seal. The next slide this is a picture of the seaming operation on the geo membrane panel. These rolls of membrane are approximately 22 feet wide so you lay out the panels on the slope. This is a hot welding machine that takes adjacent panels and presses them together with pressure and heat and forms the seam, and it's called a mouse.

And here are some of the extra panels of membrane and geonet which we'll show on a couple more slides. All of the geosynthetics terminate around the perimeter in what's called an anchor trench.

Again sequentially, here is the 1-foot thick layer of compacted clay, and over the top of that is the flexible membrane liner which is 60 mills thick, and over the top of that is an geonet drainage product which is basically the same thing as the gas vent layer underneath this compacted clay.

And that all comes down inside this anchor trench, and that is backfilled and holds everything in place. This is a slide of the anchor trench itself. Here are the geosynthetic products coming down to get buried because this drainage net is going to capture any water that will percolate through the top soil of the root zone. It will hit that plastic liner and won't go anywhere, so we have to control that draining, let that come down into the anchor trench where we have a perforated pipe surrounded by gravel which drains to our storm water basin.

And this obviously is the landfill side and this is the outside of the landfill. This is the finished geosynthetic layer, again, the geonet drainage material. We are beginning to place the root zone soils. This is a 30-inch thick layer of soil placed in two 15-inch lifts being compressed by bulldozers, lightly compacted. And here's one of the gas vent pipes that are protruding through the cap, which will later be cut off and a cap put on it.

That's pretty much it unless you have questions.

MR. KOWALSKI: I have a question.

MR. WEBER: Sure.

MR. KOWALSKI: You're going to plant grass. How about the perimeter of these areas, will you be able to plant some trees to kind of hide that landscape?

MR. WEBER: I'll let Tim answer that question.

MR. BRECHEEN: Also on the exterior of the landfill will be a fence that will go up to protect the cap. The caps are a huge investment by the Air Force, and we want to make sure that in the future no one goes in and destroys the cap or digs down through or anything like that.

MR. KOWALSKI: It will be fenced permanently.

MR. BRECHEEN: That is correct.

MR. KOWALSKI: Is there some border area where you can still plant trees on it to kind of take the shock off of the pipe.

MR. BRECHEEN: We sure could. I am assuming outside that fence area, it could be planted, because outside the fenced area there will being no landfill or landfill waste.

MR. KOWALSKI: Yeah, because right now it's all barren. I'm not sure what it's going to look like after you plant the grass, but I'm sure trees will be nice for the community anyway.

MR. BRECHEEN: I think there are some remaining

on the north and west sides of Landfill 1.

MR. WEBER: From Perimeter Road, it looks pretty naked. From a regulatory standpoint, you can't plant any trees or deep-rooted materials on the landfill cap.

MR. KOWALSKI: I understand that, but there might be a border area that isn't covered with this stuff that might be able to soften that whole area. I'm for trees, you can tell that.

I have another question.

MR. WEBER: Sure.

MR. KOWALSKI: What happens to the leachate?

MR. WEBER: It will be collected in these manholes and be pumped into a common pipe which will then be transmitted to the Rantoul Waste Water Treatment Plant.

MR. KOWALSKI: It doesn't go into a storm sewer?

MR. WEBER: It will be treated as -- with industrial waste water and municipal waste water at the treatment plant in Rantoul.

MR. SCHAFER: Actually, it will be sampled first by the Air Force to see if it meets the treatment plant requirements. The Air Force will make sure that it's not so full of stuff that the plant can't handle

it.

MR. WEBER: The pump can't be turned on to transmit to the treatment plant until the sampling process and approval process is undergone.

MR. KOWALSKI: Do you have some large reservoir for storage?

MR. WEBER: No, just the manholes themselves which are 6-foot internal diameter manholes. So, no, there is no storage capacity.

MR. KOWALSKI: You don't expect a large amount?

MR. WEBER: There will two pumps inside of each manhole, and there's one manhole per landfill. Those pumps will have floats on there that if the leachate level rises to a certain point, the pump will kick on. It will be a constant pumping process if you will. As long as there's leachate flowing through the manhole, there will be leachate flowing through that goes to the Rantoul Treatment Facility after approval.

MR. KOWALSKI: One other question: The vent pipes that you call methane vent pipes --

MR. WEBER: Correct.

MR. KOWALSKI: -- (continuing) any other odors coming out of those?

MR. WEBER: We have not -- our construction

people have never experienced any odors on this project to date, and frankly, I do not expect any odors coming from those pipes in the future.

MS. WIRGES: I understand the Air Force owns the road out that way and that it has deteriorated quite a bit from the trucks going over it. Is there any responsibility to correct those damaged areas?

MR. BRECHEEN: Yes, there is, and we will.

MS. WIRGES: Then in town, the area of the Rantoul Borrow Source inside the fence that the Air Force has control over, is there any means or have you considered any means to maintain that so it isn't quite such an eyesore?

MR. BRECHEEN: Inside the Borrow Source?

MS. WIRGES: Inside the fence at the Borrow Source. We've been told at one of our committees that the Air Force has the responsibility of keeping that clean, and the outside of the fence, Rantoul mows.

MR. BRECHEEN: Mowing inside the fence, it is our responsibility.

MS. WIRGES: Should we work with Public Works to collect that or do they work with you?

MR. BRECHEEN: They work with us and, we talked with them about a week or so ago, and they brought

that up with Jim Snyder, and they brought that up to us. We've also been working with the Village for some time about the road conditions because some of those roads will not be used in the future.

MS. WIRGES: Okay, thank you.

MR. BRECHEEN: Okay, on the Base Wide

Investigation. Sites in Operable Unit 2, we've

submitted the Work Plan to the regulatory agencies for

review, and then once that process is fairly far along

and we've gained some lessons learned, then we'll

submit the Operable Unit-1 Work Plan, which Operable

Unit 1 will include the coal pile areas, that plan.

MR. HEIDLAUF: During June, July, and August, we had crews out here that did soil excavation and sampling in about 16 sites both in OU-1 and OU-2, and over the last couple of months, we're receiving the analytical data back and we're starting to prepare reports. A few of them have been submitted to the agencies and more will follow.

We've also undertaken a program to install -- there's actually 26 temporary monitoring wells at about 16 - 18 petroleum related sites. As of tomorrow, we will have sampled 25 of them to assess the groundwater in compliance with the Illinois

Regulations. We're continuing the preparation of the next major Non-CERCLA Work Plan called ECP-2 which will be address 16 additional petroleum sites. We're anticipating to submit that to the Agencies within the next month.

And the last thing that we've done since the last RAB is we've installed some replacement wells by the Building 700 area, and we've completed the first round of sampling of eight monitoring wells up in that area. The Air Force has a commitment to do one year of quarterly monitoring, so this is the first installment.

During the next 60 days, we will be conducting additional soil sampling and removal at anywhere from six to twelve sites. We'll continue to go review and process data as I mentioned, submitting the next Work Plan, and probably doing some additional drilling work by the former Building 710 site. We've got a few pictures here.

This is a picture of one of the permanent monitoring wells that were recently installed along Veteran's Parkway near Building 700. The next slide, Steve -- or Tim. Here's a picture of a little bit different process, but a similar outcome. We're

installing some temporary monitoring wells in about 16 sites. With these wells - they're constructed with a little bit different technique. I think that's them

installing a screen down a borehole.

After we install the permanent and temporary wells, as the next slide will show, we run what is equivalent to a plunger up and down the well, and the plunger helps break lose the silt and clay in the underground formation. And then after we do the plunger, we pump the water out.

At first, the water will be very turbid and after we pump it for a period of time, the water will clear up to a point where we can sample it as shown in the last slide. Here he's got the different instruments that we use to monitor the water quality parameters in accordance with the procedures established down here before we collect a sample. So we've sampled about 28 wells here in the last couple of months. And I think that's the last slide, Tim.

MR. KOWALSKI: Do petroleum products get down in the wells?

MR. HEIDLAUF: They can, and that's why we can sample the ground water. If there were a large release, you can actually have gasoline floating on

top of the water table. If it's a lower concentration of volume, it can be concentrated in the water.

MR. KOWALSKI: That's what I always thought, that it floated on the water.

MR. HEIDLAUF: If it's a substantial quantity.

But we often test the water itself because if it's a relatively low concentration, to determine whether the water is affected or not.

MR. HILL: We tried to target the top of the groundwater table, because you're right, a lot of times it will float on top. And sometimes, we can't do that because the geologic material in there won't allow you enough water being produced for that.

MR. KOWALSKI: Weren't there some real bad actors and petroleum products and some airplane fuels that leach out of the fuels? Can you do anything about it, the lead products or mercury products?

MR. HEIDLAUF: It's not lead, but there are bad actors or whatever you want to call them.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Like benzene.

MR. HEIDLAUF: And lead are two common ones, and there's an established group of chemicals that are tested for at the petroleum sites that the State's established.

MR. KOWALSKI: It's not just the petroleum in the 1 2 water. MR. HEIDLAUF: Petroleum related chemicals, and 3 4 that's what we test for. MR. HILL: The State Regulations outline a whole 5 group of constituents that we test for at these sites 6 7 and they're petroleum products. 8 MR. BRECHEEN: Any other questions? We talked 9 earlier today with the Regulators, and they expressed 10 a question about is there a way to possibly solicit additional RAB Members to have maybe additional folks 11 12 involved in kind of a broader base. We talked last 13 time about Pete Johnson, and I believe he's interested 14 in becoming a member. 15 MS. WIRGES: Mr. Elgin was interested, but I 16 don't know if he saw the notice in the paper or not. 17 MR. BRECHEEN: What's his name? 18 MS. WIRGES: Elgin, E-L-G-I-N. 19 MR. BRECHEEN: Okay, Tim, if it's appropriate, is 20 there a way to mention that as a closing sentence or 21 some way to put in there about folks wanting to 22 participate to contact us? 23 MS. WIRGES: Lou, would you consider it?

MR. KOWALSKI: I have to know what "RAB" means

24

1 first. 2 MS. WIRGES: Restoration Advisory Board. MR. KOWALSKI: Oh, RAB. I don't really have any 3 personal agenda. I will be glad to sit in. My 4 opinion is that with the Village -- I'm on a Tree 5 Commission, and I like to see the Village look nice is 6 my only agenda. Who is kind of in charge of the Board 7 8 there? MR. BRECHEEN: There's two co-chairs, myself and 9 Gary Adams. I can get with you after, and get your 10 contact information. There's a short application. 11 MR. KOWALSKI: Let me do that, but I would ask 12 Gary Adams first if he thinks I'm suitable first. 13 14 MR. BRECHEEN: Gary, any additional comments? 15 MR. SCHAFER: No. 16 MR. BRECHEEN: Thank you for your time. 17 MR. KOWALSKI: Do you have a date for another 18 meeting? 19 MR. BRECHEEN: Oh, we need to talk about that. 20 We're looking at a -- is a Wednesday night not a good 21 night? 22 MS. WIRGES: It's not a good night for me. 23 MR. BRECHEEN: Is Thursdays the best? So we'll 2.4 tentatively set it for the 13th of December at 6 P.M..

1	MR. FOTHERGILL: Same time, same place.	
2	MR. BRECHEEN: Yes.	
3	HEARD AND TAKEN	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11 .		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, H. Lori Bernardy, a Notary Public,

Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that
on the said date, October 2nd, 2001, the foregoing

Proceedings were taken down in shorthand by me and
afterwards reduced to typewritten form, and that the
foregoing transcript contains a true and accurate

transcription of all such shorthand notes.

I further certify that I am a disinterested party to the proceedings herein, and that I am not a relative of any of the parties hereto, or their attorneys, that I am not in the employ of any of the attorneys for the parties hereto, and am not otherwise interested in the outcome of this cause of action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand affixed my seal this 15th of October A.D., 2001.

Notary Public and

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 084-004126



FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE