

1 KELLY RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

2 October 13th, 2009 6:30 p.m.
3 Port Authority of San Antonio
4 907 Billy Mitchell Boulevard
5 San Antonio, Texas 78226

6 **RAB Community Members:**

7 Eloy Garcia
8 Rodrigo Garcia
9 Nazirite Perez
10 Paul Person

11 **RAB Government Members:**

12 Paul Carroll, Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA),
13 Government Co-Chair
14 Tommy Camden, San Antonio Metropolitan Health Department
15 (SAMHD)
16 Kyle Cunningham, SAMHD, Alternate
17 John Rivera, Port Authority
18 Jorge Salazar, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
19 (TCEQ), Alternate
20 Mark Weegar, TCEQ

21 **AFRPA Staff:**

22 Melissa Baird, Contractor
23 Cindy Cash, HydroGeoLogic, Inc.
24 Daniel Dunning, Contractor
25 Laura Guerrero-Redman, Contractor
26 Brian Howard, Contractor
27 Kristi James, Contractor
28 Jose Martinez, Contractor/Facilitator
29 Armando Perez, Public Affairs Officer, AFRPA

30 **Elected Officials:**

31 Stephanie Smith, Office of Charles A. Gonzalez

32 **Public Attendees:**

33 Joe Herrera

34 **RAB Members Not Present:**

35 Beverly Abbott
36 Jose P. Arzola
37 Lidia Martinez
38 Brian Skrobarcek

1 (PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 6:48)

2 MR. MARTINEZ: If I may have your attention, please.
3 I'd like to invite the RAB members and the guests to the
4 October 13th, 2009 meeting of the Former Kelly Air Force Base
5 Restoration Advisory Board, or the RAB as we know it. My name
6 is Jose Martinez. I'll be your facilitator this evening.

7 If I may start by asking the members seated at the
8 table, starting from here, to introduce yourselves, please.

9 MR. CAMDEN: Tom Camden with the San Antonio
10 Metropolitan Health District.

11 MR. ARMANDO PEREZ: Armando Perez, Public Affairs
12 Officer, AFRPA.

13 MR. CARROLL: Paul Carroll, BRAC Environmental
14 Coordinator for AFRPA.

15 MR. WEEGAR: Mark Weegar, Project Manager, Texas
16 Commission on Environmental Quality.

17 MR. PERSON: Paul Person, Union Pacific Railroad.

18 MR. ELOY GARCIA: Eloy Garcia.

19 MR. NAZIRITE PEREZ: Nazirite Perez, represent
20 the -- my area here in the community and I represent the River
21 Authority, San Antonio River Authority.

22 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Rodrigo Garcia, community
23 member.

24 MR. MARTINEZ: I'd like to add that Mr. Garcia is
25 also a community member.

1 I'd like to very quickly go through the agenda so we
2 can get through the agenda in a timely fashion as possible.
3 Right after my overall statements, I will begin the agenda by
4 reading a few administrative items. After that, Mr. Armando
5 Perez, Public Affairs Officer, will introduce, again, in more
6 lengthy detail Mr. Garcia. But before that, he will give a
7 little history of how Mr. Garcia and two other members,
8 Ms. Lidia M. Martinez and Mr. Jose P. Arzola, have been
9 appointed as the new members, community members, of the
10 Restoration Advisory Board.

11 After that, Mr. Brian Howard from Tetra Tech will
12 provide an environmental update for Site MP, Building 360 and
13 the former building 301. Mr. Paul Carroll will summarize the
14 Semiannual Groundwater Compliance Plan Report. He will
15 continue, Paul will, on the property transfers. We will then
16 have a few minutes for public comments. After that, we will
17 ask the board -- RAB members to discuss among themselves
18 suggested items for the subsequent meeting.

19 At this point, I think that the conclusion was that
20 we have had some technical problems this evening. You do not
21 see a screen behind me because every time the screen comes
22 down, a projector to which we cannot have access to comes on
23 so we would be having an image over an image. So I apologize.
24 We will have to use the hard copies that each of the members
25 of the RAB have at their tables and hopefully each of the

1 members around the table have a copy of.

2 Does everyone have a copy of the packet? Those of
3 you seated on the side, do you have -- yes. Okay. Very good.

4 If I may then refer you to page two, at the bottom
5 of page two, simply I'd like to state that in your packet
6 there are articles for apply -- paid announcements advertising
7 the meeting this evening and there are a few articles about
8 the restoration -- the environmental restoration activity at
9 the Former Kelly Air Force Base. With that said, I'd like to
10 ask Mr. Armando Perez to present the RAB membership topic.

11 MR. ARMANDO PEREZ: As stated in the presentation
12 and the packets, the selection committee did review the new
13 applications for the Restoration Advisory Board, being
14 Mr. Garcia, Ms. Lidia Martinez and Mr. Arzola. And they
15 approved their applications accordingly to their review and
16 passed it on to the installation commander at Kelly
17 (phonetic), Mr. Steve TerMaath at the AFRPA, which is Mr. Paul
18 Carroll's boss, and he has signed off on it and accepted the
19 applications for Mr. Garcia and Ms. Martinez and Mr. Arzola.

20 So we formally welcome you to the Restoration
21 Advisory Board, as well as Ms. Martinez and Mr. Arzola. Of
22 course an official letter will be sent out to each of you
23 stating the guidelines to being a RAB member and your
24 participation in the group. But a round of applause for
25 participating.

1 I haven't had the privilege of being around this RAB
2 for too long, but I understand that as a close-knit they
3 tackle the issues for the community and relay the information
4 to the community members as the three new members will do for
5 this Restoration Advisory Board. So I welcome you as well as
6 the AFRPA and the Air Force and appreciate your assistance
7 here on the RAB, Restoration Advisory Board.

8 MR. ELOY GARCIA: Thank you.

9 MR. MARTINEZ: At this moment, the next item on the
10 agenda, which I again ask you to refer to your documents,
11 Mr. Brian Howard, Tetra Tech on the site MP, Building 360 and
12 Building 301.

13 MR. HOWARD: I'm just going to actually brief site
14 MP and then I think Paul was going to finish up the other two.

15 MR. MARTINEZ: Very good. Thank you.

16 MR. HOWARD: So sorry we don't have any slides to
17 show you up here. I'm going to start on page ten and I'm just
18 going to introduce the project team, not everyone is here, and
19 then I'll give you a summary of the work that we've done to
20 date. And most of you have heard all that and I'm just going
21 to give you an update of what we've completed since the last
22 time when we did our first groundwater sampling and what we
23 have left to do.

24 So I'm Brian Howard. I'm -- I was one of the
25 technical leads on this project. Bill Norton is not here

1 tonight. He's in the Oak Ridge, office of Oak Ridge,
2 Tennessee. He's the project manager and then Larry Tyner and
3 I did this as the technical leads. Mark Davis is the AFCEE
4 Contracting Officer Representative. He's not here. Paul
5 Carroll is the BRAC Environmental Coordinator and Luis Medina
6 was the AFRPA Environmental Project Manager. Luis isn't here
7 either so we didn't have a big turnout tonight.

8 You've already seen all of page 12. We've done that
9 previously. It includes looking at all the sites, what
10 building used to be there, foundation, digging it up, hauling
11 off the waste, foundation rubble, filling it back in,
12 installing bio reactors, dewatering.

13 Since we talked to you the last time, what we've
14 done is we finished filling the hole up; we returned it to its
15 grade; we paved it; we striped it so there's parking out there
16 so people can tell where they're supposed to park; and we've
17 installed new groundwater monitoring wells.

18 If you'll look at page 14, that's about when the
19 hole was at ex -- excavation was at its deepest point. You
20 can see an area here that's filled with water. Well, we dug
21 that up down to around 45 feet below the ground surface and
22 pumped the water out of it, that excavation, and we installed
23 a bio reactor that was a combination of bark mulch, iron
24 sulfate, cottonseed meal, soybean oil, sand and gravel. We
25 packed that down in there and that provides a medium for the

1 bacteria that are naturally present in the groundwater to
2 start metabolizing and they will eventually degrade the
3 contaminant, the chlorinated solvent that's in the groundwater
4 in that area.

5 So after we got that filled up, on page 16, you can
6 see we just brought lots of soil in and most of the soil we
7 brought back in was from Port San Antonio on the East Kelly
8 side. We purchased the soil from the Port of San Antonio so
9 we could reuse it because they had a project that they were
10 digging the holes and needed some -- some drainage over there.
11 So we purchased that from them, filled the hole up. We
12 compacted the soil. And in slide 17 you can see that we paved
13 it over and we finally got it striped so people know where
14 they're supposed to park and no one will wreck. Then we
15 installed new groundwater monitoring wells.

16 You remember there was highly contaminated
17 groundwater in that area. We took out that soil, the
18 contaminated soil, and we took out -- we pumped a lot of the
19 contaminated groundwater out and we put these bio reactors in
20 down there. And then we put wells back in so we could sample
21 that groundwater to see what impact we're having on it.

22 So we're already showing significant decreases in
23 the concentration of tetrachloroethene and TCE,
24 trichloroethene and we're now under -- I think the original
25 concentrations were over 2000.

1 MR. CARROLL: 12,000.

2 MR. HOWARD: 12,000 micrograms per liter. And our
3 first round of sampling, it came back around 340 so we've had
4 a significant impact on it so far. We're supposed to keep
5 sampling once a year as it stands right now, once a year for
6 the next three years. And at the end of that we -- we have
7 two more rounds of sampling? This is our first round. So
8 next September and then the September after that and then
9 we'll be finished with that project. It doesn't mean that the
10 water is closed out, that the soils -- we're trying to get
11 those closed out with the regulatory agency and as soon as the
12 water gets to drinking water concentrations, then the water
13 site can be closed up. Then we'll be finished with that.

14 That's all I have. Do you have any questions on
15 that? I'm sorry I don't have a screen. It makes it kind of
16 complicated.

17 MR. MARTINEZ: We apologize for that.

18 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Yes, sir. That dirt you bought
19 from GKDA, --

20 MR. HOWARD: Yes.

21 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: -- did you make sure it wasn't
22 contaminated?

23 MR. HOWARD: Yes, sir.

24 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Because a lot of us don't trust
25 GKDA. We want to make sure we're not contaminating -- it's

1 not contaminated.

2 MR. HOWARD: Yeah, we did.

3 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Second, why are you taking
4 readings every year? Should you take readings more often in
5 order to make sure that it is getting remediated instead of
6 every September?

7 MR. HOWARD: Well, contractually it called for --
8 because it's inside that slurry wall so the groundwater is
9 contained within there right now. And the contract called for
10 sampling once every three years to make -- we have a goal of
11 getting it less than 500 parts per billion per the Air Force.
12 That's where we're headed. So we've already met that goal one
13 time, but we have to keep sampling to know that we've
14 satisfied that goal.

15 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I understand, but it's --

16 MR. CARROLL: Let me answer a little bit more, add a
17 little bit more there. The normal contractor who is doing the
18 groundwater sampling is here, Cindy Cash, they're doing
19 additional sampling in between those sampling events, too, so
20 you can keep closer tabs on it.

21 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Okay. All right. Are you
22 giving us a chart on the progress and the guidelines every so
23 often?

24 MR. CARROLL: Yeah. We'll be glad to do that.

25 We're really looking forward to seeing --

1 MR. HOWARD: Are you guys going to do quarterly
2 sampling?

3 MR. CARROLL: We're contemplating quarterly or at
4 least semiannually.

5 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Okay. That's good.

6 MR. HOWARD: Okay. Any other questions? Okay.

7 MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Paul.

8 MR. CARROLL: Okay.

9 MR. MARTINEZ: What slide are we referring to?

10 MR. CARROLL: We're going to start on slide 23. I'm
11 Paul Carroll if you didn't hear me a while ago. My voice is a
12 little itchy or something, allergies today. But I'll be
13 talking about Building 360, Building 301 and a few other
14 things including property transfer and I'll be doing a report
15 on the Semiannual Compliance Plan Report which y'all have been
16 asking for the past few RAB meetings. So we'll go through the
17 July version of that, which is a little bit different from the
18 one we see in January. But we'll go through kind of what we
19 do there and what the results of that are.

20 Let's start with Building 360 though. I wanted to
21 give you an update of this. The summary of what we've done is
22 on page 24. We've reported these the last couple of RAB
23 meetings. I don't want to go over the points again, but we
24 did soil sampling, we did subslab vapor sampling at that
25 building. And a couple of the reasons we did that were to

1 establish how far of a radius of influence the existing Soil
2 Vapor Extraction System has and then to understand what the
3 soil contaminants are current day as opposed to when we
4 started a year ago, and to look at the vapor, the soil vapor
5 inside underneath that slab. So we've done all three of
6 those.

7 Slide 25 shows the tetrachloroethene concentrations
8 in soils versus this blue outline you see is the system's
9 radius of influence. That's how much vacuum -- much area this
10 system is producing a vacuum on. So that's -- all of that
11 vapor in that area will eventually get pulled in by that
12 system.

13 The results are on the next page. It's a chart
14 showing what we see. These are vapor samples, sample results
15 from less than 10 feet below ground surface and the vapor
16 samples greater than 10 feet below ground surface. So we've
17 got pretty much all of the volatile organics that were -- are
18 of a concern there, the main ones being tetrachloroethene and
19 trichloroethene.

20 These soil vapor concentrations you'll see on this
21 next map. There's a couple of figures that I'll show you.
22 One is the next slide. Looks like this, these PCE Soil Vapor
23 Extraction Systems. Doesn't have a number on it. Sorry. But
24 this is the actual vapor results that we've gotten underneath
25 that building. And the red outlines, I don't want you to get

1 too involved in understanding what each -- what each
2 concentration is, but the red are at five feet below ground
3 surface and the purple are the ones that were taken at about
4 12 feet below, 12, 15, even down to 20 feet below ground
5 surface.

6 So these are the concentrations you see. You see
7 anywhere from non detect all the way up to around 2000
8 microgram, 2300 micrograms per cubic meter. That's how vapors
9 are measured in either indoor air or subslab. That's how we
10 measure those.

11 The next slide shows TCE and you can see from those
12 that you have some red outlines and purple outlines also.
13 Those are the shallow and deep. You see up to about
14 280 micrograms per cubic meter and then up to about
15 1200 micrograms per cubic meter at 15 feet below ground
16 surface, which is getting closer to the groundwater level.

17 We've added -- as a result of Brian Skrobarcek's
18 question last time, we added vinyl chloride to this just to
19 kind of show what it is. And it ranges from two up to around
20 13 micrograms per cubic meter. All of those levels are below
21 screening levels for vinyl chloride. So vinyl chloride is one
22 contaminant we're keeping up with, but it's not as
23 concentrated as the PCE or TCE is underneath the slab.

24 Couple of maps following that are the PCE
25 groundwater concentrations and the TCE groundwater

1 concentrations and then followed by the vinyl chloride
2 groundwater concentrations. We want to give y'all a complete
3 picture of what's going on underneath that building and
4 these -- this is what's in the groundwater.

5 And this is kind of a takehome for you to understand
6 what the concentrations in the groundwater are as of -- this
7 is based on 2008 data, Cindy; correct?

8 MS. CASH: (Nodding.)

9 MR. CARROLL: Okay. One of the things that we
10 noted -- HGL noted from their sampling was that because of the
11 soil concentrations, it looks like we're just almost at the
12 cleanup level. So, you know, from the soil samples, we
13 probably soon could petition to close the site. We're still
14 getting vapors though and we think a lot of these vapors are
15 coming from the residual soil contamination, plus what's
16 already -- what's still there in the groundwater from the PCE
17 and TCE in groundwater.

18 So as you can see, if you look at these pretty
19 closely, those higher concentrations in groundwater are pretty
20 much in the same locations as the higher concentrations in the
21 soil that you see, and vapor. So we're still doing some good
22 as far as, you know, polishing what we've already started on
23 the soils, cleanup. And we're also still helping to catch
24 those vapors that may be coming up through from ground --
25 contaminated groundwater beneath.

1 This last slide that you see that says vinyl
2 chloride concentration, you can see the outline. I think
3 there's a little purple hatch line and then there's a green
4 line that goes to the east and north there. That is the
5 slurry wall that's directing the groundwater toward this green
6 line, which is the iron filings wall that we installed there
7 to treat the groundwater as it goes through. There are up to
8 10 feet thick of iron filings in this area in the south corner
9 of this Building 360.

10 So that -- that groundwater is going through all of
11 that and that's being treated. As you can see from the
12 contours of the contaminants, the great majority of those
13 contaminants is being treated as it goes through that filing
14 wall.

15 That's really my presentation on Building 360. Do
16 we have any questions on that before we move on?

17 MR. WEEGAR: Paul, I have one question. I noticed
18 in the soil vapor concentration maps you had -- there's two
19 samples collected from each location at various depths, but
20 the depths are not consistent. They vary. Is there some
21 reason why there wasn't like a five and 10 feet at each
22 location, why it varies? What was the rationale for that?

23 MR. CARROLL: Kristi, you want to ...

24 MS. JAMES: The samples were selected based on PID
25 readings, based on lithology, (inaudible.)

1 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Based on what?

2 MR. PERSON: PID. PID readings.

3 MS. JAMES: It was based on the photoionization
4 readings, the amount of VOCs that were in the vapors when we
5 took soil samples as well as the lithology where the soil
6 was less dense versus more dense.

7 MR. WEEGAR: So basically your field screening
8 showed higher concentrations, determined that from the --

9 MS. JAMES: And we took those samples --

10 MR. WEEGAR: -- lithology and that's where you --
11 that's what you based your sample locations on?

12 MS. JAMES: Yes.

13 MR. WEEGAR: Okay.

14 MR. CARROLL: So we're looking for the worst case
15 scenario and most likely place where you would see the
16 influence from the SVE system, too. Yes, sir.

17 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: One question. Do you think
18 that we need to continue this cleanup? You said it was at a
19 safe level already, but do you think we need to continue it
20 until it's almost completely gone, just to make sure that
21 the -- all danger is taken care of? And do you think we need
22 to do any air monitoring such as real time monitoring or other
23 type of monitoring inside the buildings to make sure that the
24 air is safe?

25 MR. CARROLL: Well, the building has an industrial

1 use, so therefore it really falls under OSHA requirements for
2 the folks that are in that building to sample their air. The
3 levels that you'll see there are a whole lot higher than
4 levels that you would see coming up from below the surface,
5 especially since we've got the SVE system running.

6 We're continuing to create a vacuum underneath that
7 slab so there won't be any of those vapors come up through the
8 slab anyway. And that's why -- that's the main reason we
9 decided just to go under the slab to do our sampling and all
10 of our air investigation. It's too much influence that we
11 could never tell from inside the building what we had might be
12 coming from underneath.

13 Yeah, there is -- there is a good reason to say that
14 we do need to keep the SVE system running. The time that we
15 will shut it off hasn't been really determined yet. I mean we
16 could -- if we went in and took soil samples and let it set
17 for a while, rebound -- with an agreement from TCEQ, this time
18 frame that it needs to rebound, if we still met those goals,
19 we could close the soils at that site if we wanted to.

20 But I feel we're still doing some good while we're
21 cleaning up the soil a little bit more. And if we're still
22 getting significant vapors coming from soils and groundwater,
23 yeah, why not run the system as long as we think it's doing
24 some good. Yeah. And we'll be able to see -- we'll be able
25 to chart those levels that we keep seeing coming out of that

1 system to determine, you know, when the line flattens out and
2 we won't be doing any good.

3 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: And OSHA does measure the
4 industrial level of the tenant in there. TCEQ or OSHA
5 monitors the industrial level of the tenant so if any of the
6 workers from the tenant develop any type of diseases or stuff,
7 they won't blame it on our soil vapor. It would come from the
8 city itself.

9 MR. CARROLL: That is correct. That's what we're
10 trying to make sure that we kind of keep these separated, that
11 we know we've got the vapor -- the vacuum placed on the soils
12 so that we're doing some good. The long-term effects of, you
13 know, what's in the soil shouldn't be affecting what's going
14 on inside the building. They need to -- of course they have
15 their responsibility of watching those things themselves.

16 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Okay.

17 MR. WEEGAR: Paul, I want to clarify something
18 there. TCEQ does not measure soil vapor concentrations inside
19 that building. We have nothing to do with worker exposure.
20 That's an OSHA standard. I don't know whether OSHA actually
21 measures that or they just set the standard and the employer
22 has the obligation to do monitoring to ensure that their
23 workers are not exposed, but TCEQ is not involved in measuring
24 or monitoring worker exposure to, you know, occupational
25 environments.

1 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA:

2 A Okay.

3 MR. CARROLL: Can we move on?

4 Let's go to slide 35. We'll talk about Building 301
5 now. If y'all remember, since I got here about almost two
6 years ago, we were talking about Building 301 and this
7 Electric Resistive Heating System that we were installing.
8 That was brought on-line in July of 2008 and we reached our
9 cleanup goals there in September 2009. We heated the soil
10 temperatures from 27 degrees centigrade to 92 degrees, which
11 is, you know, getting pretty close to the boiling temperature
12 of water so it's extremely hot subsurface.

13 We took out about 1200 pounds of chlorinated
14 solvents from the site. We performed -- Cape, our contractor,
15 performed a hundred percent confirmation sampling in
16 September. Daniel, do you remember what date that was?

17 MR. DUNNING: I'm sorry?

18 MR. CARROLL: The confirmation sampling that Cape
19 did was in September?

20 MR. DUNNING: Yes, sir.

21 MR. CARROLL: Okay. If you'll look at slide 37,
22 you'll see a drawing of the site and showing where they took
23 these confirmation samples. The little boxes that say
24 60 percent, 90 percent, hundred percent confirmation samples,
25 if it says 60 percent confirmation samples, that means our

1 remediation goals were met at that time. That was at, you
2 know, back in March or April that those remediation goals were
3 met in those certain areas.

4 The tough cookie is down here at the very bottom.
5 C33 I believe is the number on it. And we had to do, you
6 know, 69 and a hundred percent confirmation samples there.
7 They had to run the system a little bit longer there because
8 it's shallow and hard to treat.

9 But as of September, we did reach our remediation
10 goals. We shut down the systems. We've gotten permission
11 from TCEQ to shut down that system. We're demolishing --
12 well, I don't know if you call it demolishing. We're
13 abandoning -- properly abandoning the wells that were there,
14 pulling out the probes as we can.

15 There's lots of transformers, lots of equipment,
16 lots of soil vapor extraction equipment there on site and
17 they're in the process of decommissioning and getting ready to
18 move to a -- some of it to another site that we have on the
19 north part of the base where we have the same kind of system
20 on and we anticipate that site to be completely restored by
21 November 16th. We're hoping by the end of October they'll be
22 all out of there. So that was a very successful project.

23 We were really glad to see that do the good that it
24 did in the amount of time that it did. So we're happy to be
25 able to use that on another site that's called Site S-1 in

1 Zone 5, which is in the northern part of the base, to help
2 speed up remediation at that site, too. So that's one thing
3 we're doing.

4 That covers Building 301. Any questions on that?
5 Okay. Move on.

6 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Paul, can I ask one question? You
7 said y'all were using that at another site, you said S-1.

8 MR. CARROLL: Yes, that's correct.

9 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Is that over there by Growden Road?

10 MR. CARROLL: Yeah. It's adjacent to Growden Road,
11 yes.

12 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Where the other water plant is?

13 MR. CARROLL: The northern water plant, yes.

14 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you so much.

15 MR. CARROLL: It's right in that area. We'll be
16 reporting on that in future RABs so that can be a suggested
17 topic for future RABs coming up. I think we'll be at the
18 point where we'll be starting the installation by the time the
19 next RAB rolls around.

20 The next topic I'm going to be talking about --
21 there's lots of slides here. I'm sorry for all these slides
22 we have to go through.

23 This will be the Semiannual Compliance Plan Report,
24 January through June 2009. This report, as y'all know, is
25 part of our Permit and Compliance Plan. We're required to

1 report twice to TCEQ, once in the summer and once in the
2 winter, kind of different reportings. But during the summer
3 period we report groundwater monitoring, well-gauging. We do
4 sampling at the RCRA site, Site E-3 and S-8. You'll see a
5 figure showing those here in just a minute. And then Leon
6 Creek sampling, Zones 1 and 2 performed in January 2009.

7 What we did in Leon Creek was stream elevation
8 measurements, stream flow measurements, surface water outfall
9 and seep sampling and sediment sampling during the -- during
10 this period. Reporting activities were in accordance with the
11 requirements of the hazardous waste permit issued in
12 April 2009.

13 Okay. The groundwater gauging findings of the next
14 two slides we'll be able to see on slide 41. If you're used
15 to looking at contour maps, this shows the groundwater
16 contours. And it not only covers the base, it covers all of
17 the areas off the base that are impacted by our plumes. So
18 this goes about two or three miles off base, too.

19 Groundwater flow direction and gradients were
20 similar to previous years and, as expected, the levels showed
21 an average decrease of about 2.4 feet basewide compared to
22 2008 due to drought conditions. So it's kind of similar. It
23 kind of still flows the same direction, but it's dropped quite
24 a bit during that -- during this monitoring period. We'll see
25 what happens next time with our good rainfall we've had

1 recently.

2 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Paul, quick question. Are these
3 all the samplings -- are these places you actually sampled or
4 are these just potentially potential sampling sites?

5 MR. CARROLL: I don't believe we've sampled all of
6 these wells. We gauged the majority of these wells; right,
7 Cindy?

8 MS. CASH: We sampled every single one of these
9 wells.

10 MR. CARROLL: We sampled also?

11 MS. CASH: (Nodding.)

12 MS. CUNNINGHAM: This year?

13 MS. CASH: Yes, ma'am.

14 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Great. Thank you.

15 MR. CARROLL: That's a lot of work.

16 MS. CASH: Yeah.

17 MR. CARROLL: How many wells total?

18 MS. CASH: About 350.

19 MR. CARROLL: 350 wells. Part of this report covers
20 Site E-3, which is one of the RCRA sites. It's a former
21 chemical evaporation pit located in Zone 2, the property
22 that's just transferred. And what we did there at E3 is we
23 have a groundwater monitoring network, three point of
24 compliance wells, three background wells, three observation
25 wells. And we look at these chemicals: Volatiles, semi

1 volatiles, metals, cyanide, total petroleum hydrocarbons,
2 pesticides and PCBs.

3 Slow me down if you're not staying up. I want to
4 try to get through -- there's a lot of slides and I want to
5 try to get through them and not bore you to tears on this.

6 The groundwater flow map, you know, if zoomed in
7 here on Site E-3 and that's as of January 2009. On the slide
8 44, the south -- to the south is Leon Creek and then of course
9 the groundwater generally flows toward Leon Creek in that
10 area.

11 Actions occurring within the last one or two years
12 at E-3, we excavated impacted soil, slide 45, showing this
13 brown outline on the right drawing. And then we installed a
14 few extra wells there, a monitoring well to replace another
15 well that wasn't operating properly, and then a recovery well,
16 RW 358, was installed to optimize the groundwater recovery
17 system.

18 Here are the concentrations that we've seen at E-3.
19 The next two slides show concentrations of chemicals of
20 concern, which are chlorobenzene and it's up to about a
21 thousand at this well 357, which is just on the south side of
22 the site. And of course the MCL chlorobenzene is 100 so -- is
23 that right? 100?

24 So we're above the cleanup levels so we still have
25 some treatment to do there. And then the vinyl chloride

1 isoconcentration map, which is up to about 2200 at this point,
2 is the same well. So we've still got some work to do there on
3 the groundwater.

4 But when you look at the historical concentrations,
5 slide 48, those wells prior to the excavation and removal of
6 all that soil contamination and contamination in 2007 were up
7 to about 14,000 micrograms per liter and about a thousand
8 afterwards.

9 Okay. Next one is Site S-8 which is a little bit to
10 the east. I'm sorry, this is in Zone 3. It's southeast of
11 Building 360 and 301, right adjacent to the Union Pacific Rail
12 Yard.

13 MR. PERSON: Yes, sir.

14 MR. CARROLL: It's a former automated engine parts
15 cleaning facility. And groundwater monitoring network, we
16 have 11 wells, similar chemicals of concern that we look for,
17 VOCs, SVOCs, metals, cyanide, TPH, pesticides and PCBs there.

18 Groundwater maps, this is zoomed in from that
19 basewide one that you saw. As you can see here, there are two
20 extraction wells. This is slide 51. Two extraction wells
21 that are pulling the groundwater gradient inward. These are
22 wells number 82 and 009 I believe. I don't know if you can
23 read that. This is little -- little round contours, oval
24 contours there that show that.

25 There is a light non-aqueous phase liquid that we've

1 seen in three on-site wells. And we've labeled those wells
2 here. What we do when we see that is we manually remove that
3 with a bailer or with a pump and we keep that -- we do that
4 weekly. HGL, Cindy's group over there, does that weekly to
5 keep that floating product to a minimum -- minimum thickness,
6 as much as they can get out.

7 The findings from Site S-8 are on slide 53. We
8 still have analytes that exceed the TCEQ's Groundwater
9 Protection Standard numbers, chlorobenzene at 390 micrograms
10 per liter and benzene, vinyl chlorides and arsenic. As some
11 of you may be familiar with, the EPA lowered the MCL of
12 arsenic to 10 micrograms per liter a year ago and our original
13 cleanup level there was 50. So you see in some of the
14 following figures the impact of that.

15 Slides 54 through 56 show chlorobenzene, benzene and
16 vinyl chloride, chlorobenzene kind of being the largest plume
17 of the three. And our -- we have -- a plume goes over here
18 toward -- through Union Pacific Railroad underneath it to well
19 218. And then slide 57 shows this arsenic that I was talking
20 about up to about 3007 micrograms per -- milligrams or
21 micrograms?

22 MS. CASH: Micrograms.

23 MR. CARROLL: Micrograms per liter. Yeah, that's
24 right.

25 So this plume, you know, has expanded. Based on our

1 cleanup level, it used to be 50 so anything 40 and below that
2 you see on here, that plume wasn't that -- didn't look that
3 big then, but now that it's ten, it's bigger, it's larger.

4 And we've also seen -- arsenic was one thing that we saw
5 increases of this year due to -- I think due to the drought.

6 Kind of already gone through some of these findings
7 and conclusions. One thing that we are -- we are doing is
8 putting in a couple of extra extraction wells in that area and
9 a couple of monitoring wells to be sure that we've got the
10 extent delineated, understand that we're treating what we need
11 to be treating and in a pretty aggressive manner so it won't
12 be escaping from the base.

13 Okay. Now we're getting into Leon Creek, one of
14 Rodrigo's favorite subjects here. What we do there, according
15 to the new permit, is sample 57 sample stations. 56 of those
16 stations were within the boundary of the Former Kelly.
17 There's one reference station at Salado Creek located 12 miles
18 east of Leon Creek. That's kind of -- yeah. Which way is
19 east?

20 MR. ARMANDO PEREZ: East (indicating.)

21 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: East. Leon Creek runs into
22 Salado Creek?

23 MR. CARROLL: Well, it -- it's meant to be what we
24 call a background sample or they call it a reference sample
25 just to get a similar creek study that may not have been

1 impacted by Kelly activities. Okay?

2 We divide Leon Creek into four segments for our
3 purposes. As you can see, you know, all the way up
4 Interstate -- Highway 90 to the northern boundary, section two
5 down to -- section one to Military Drive, three goes on to .9
6 miles further and then section four extends southward about
7 almost -- almost a mile further down the stream. We see some
8 of these figures later.

9 Further, it's divided into upstream and downstream
10 segments. The upstream segment -- which I'm on slide 60.
11 Upstream of the northern boundary of the Former Kelly Air
12 Force Base, that's the upstream. Downstream, we located
13 within the borders of the Former Kelly, including that part
14 that runs through Lackland, and downstream to the Former Kelly
15 Air Force Base. So we call the area that Lackland is affected
16 with our permit, we call that Zone 1 so that's -- that's
17 Lackland's; however, it's covered under our Permit and
18 Compliance Plan.

19 One thing we did is surface water elevation flow.
20 We did that at 22 stations including two seeps and four
21 outfalls. We look at -- HydroGeoLogic looks at the hydrologic
22 budget from the period from January through June 2009. That
23 being is the stream gaining water; is it losing water; is it,
24 you know, not -- not doing anything. So we look at that and
25 see what the stream is doing. Segments one and three showed

1 water gains presumed to be groundwater inflow in to the creek.
2 So it's gaining creek up in Segements 1 and 3. These other
3 two segments show the water losses which are likely due to the
4 water seeping into the ground, infiltrating into the ground.

5 Surface water and sediment sampling on slide 62, we
6 collect samples from the 37 stations including 29 instream
7 locations, four seeps, three outfalls and one reference
8 station. Surface water results were compared against Texas
9 Water Quality Standards, acute and chronic aquatic life
10 criteria and human health criteria. These sediment samples
11 were collected from -- I'm sorry.

12 We also collect sediment samples, as well as surface
13 water, from 21 stations including 19 instream locations, one
14 outfall, one reference station. And then we do the same thing
15 for the TWC -- TWQS human health criteria.

16 Surface water findings. PPDDT at downstream
17 location KY30LCO69, which is near Loop 410, South Loop 410 --
18 or is that Military Drive? I have my notes on my other --
19 it's down -- yeah, it's all the way down there on Loop 410 at
20 a value of .9 micrograms per liter, which you compare that to
21 chronic acute life value at .001. So that was found in that
22 one location. That's all that was found in the surface water
23 that exceeded. Then we look at --

24 MR. CAMDEN: I'm sorry, Paul. There's nothing
25 that's been found upstream?

1 MR. CARROLL: No, not in this reporting period.

2 MR. CAMDEN: Okay.

3 MR. CARROLL: The seep sampling findings on slide
4 64, there are two red locations where the seeps are that we
5 sampled and this is in the south part of Zone 2. PCE exceeded
6 human health criteria of 5 micrograms per liter and TCE
7 exceeded human health criteria of 5 at one seep location also
8 located near the Zone 2 Groundwater Treatment Plant.

9 Then let's get to the sediment sampling findings and
10 then we'll talk about the conclusions. Sediment sampling
11 results on slide 65 include Semi Volatile Organic
12 Contaminants, pesticides, metals and PCBs that exceeded the
13 TWQS human health criteria. Of all the analytes reported as
14 exceeding those criteria, only PCE and TCE have been reported
15 in groundwater that's coming from Zones 1 and 2 over the past
16 several years.

17 The reported SVOCs, pesticides, and PCBs and metals
18 exceedances reported in surface water and in sediment samples
19 are believed to be coming from alternate sources other than
20 groundwater. There are several stormwater outfalls in that
21 area and, you know, those are pretty difficult to trace back
22 where everything comes from because you got a lot of surface
23 flow, you got a lot of street flow and then you got a lot of
24 storm drainage that will drain into that area. So there's
25 several things that we're seeing here.

1 The Compliance Plan requires us to monitor all of
2 these things and if we see increases in any of these samples,
3 we have to investigate the source and the impact of the
4 increase. And if we need to, we'll have to propose additional
5 corrective action measures. That's what the Compliance Plan
6 states. Those analytes showing an increase are being
7 investigated for potential sources, including those stormwater
8 outfalls.

9 So we've seen some increases in some chemicals in
10 the creek from the previous year and we have to take measures
11 to investigate the source, potential source of those
12 contaminants, and determine if it's something that we -- we
13 caused, we'll have to do something about that. It may be
14 something that we find that's beyond our ability to find out
15 where it's coming from due to these stormwater drainage
16 systems that come in here. So we're going to look for that.

17 Yes, Kyle.

18 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Paul, just one question. It might
19 help kind of clear things up. Lackland is also looking for a
20 source or just going back to their last meeting, which has
21 been in the last quarter. But they're actually -- I think
22 we're going to let a contract at the end of the summer to look
23 for a source and to go off base to do that.

24 MR. CARROLL: Okay.

25 MS. CUNNINGHAM: What's the difference in between

1 what Lackland is doing and then you're saying that y'all are
2 also looking for a source?

3 MR. CARROLL: We're coordinating with them tomorrow
4 in a meeting or I guess it's Thursday. We're going to have a
5 meeting with them concerning that and a few other things. I'm
6 going to find out what they're doing to look for it, you know,
7 if they're having the same kind of issue with the -- with the
8 storm drains or if they're looking for an upgradient source
9 that may be impacting them, too. I'm going to find out what
10 they're doing. We'll probably do something sort of similar on
11 our part, too, try to combine our efforts, if possible.

12 MR. WEEGAR: Paul, just to comment on slide 66.
13 That requirement in the Compliance Plan to investigate the
14 source, propose corrective action measures if necessary,
15 that's -- that's not new language. That language was in the
16 previous Compliance Plan. That was carried over in the
17 renewal so that's been a requirement that's always been in
18 there.

19 MR. CARROLL: Good point. Yeah. Okay. Any
20 questions on that? Took me a while to get through it but --
21 Okay. Rodrigo?

22 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Now that the City of San
23 Antonio has taken over the old -- used to be Farah Slacks and
24 then it was Levi Blue Jeans. I know they used a lot of
25 chemicals, silicone, silicates and all that stuff when they

1 made acid in their blue jeans. Are they required to the EPA
2 or TCEQ to test their groundwater and test their property now
3 and do their own monitoring and testing and find out how much
4 contamination there is in the property that they own now? And
5 who will enforce them and come after them for that?

6 MR. CARROLL: I can't answer that. I don't know
7 if --

8 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Maybe Mark can.

9 MR. CARROLL: -- George knows about that site or
10 Mark. I don't --

11 MR. WEEGAR: It used to be Levi.

12 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: It was Farah Slacks first, then
13 it became Levi Blue Jeans. Then it was shut down about four
14 or five years. Now the City of San Antonio has got a
15 maintenance facility there. Now can TCEQ or EPA force them to
16 start testing the groundwater and the soil for contamination
17 and make them clean up the site since they own it now?

18 MR. PERSON: I'm sure the City can't --

19 MR. WEEGAR: I guess a couple of comments. One
20 would be if the City of San Antonio has taken ownership of
21 that property, I would assume that, consistent with most, you
22 know, practices these days, they would have had at least a
23 phase one environmental site assessment done and more than --
24 which is basically records, research, things like that to see
25 whether or not the site -- what chemicals were used, what

1 possible contamination could have occurred at the site and
2 more than likely would have gone to a phase two, which would
3 have involved drilling soil borings, installing monitoring
4 wells, because by taking ownership of that property, the City
5 of San Antonio, or whoever the new owner is, they take on the
6 liability for that -- for that property. So I would assume
7 that they would have done that. Don't know for sure, but that
8 is typically what people do in a property transaction like
9 that.

10 As far as TCEQ or EPA forcing the city to go out
11 there and do sampling and things like that, only if we have an
12 indication that there has been a release. We don't -- we've
13 talked about this before in these meetings. TCEQ doesn't go
14 to properties that we have no indication that there has been
15 contamination and come in there and force them to do
16 something. I mean that's -- we're not -- we're not exercising
17 some police power over these people, you know.

18 If we have reason to believe that there has been
19 soil or groundwater contamination, then the state and federal
20 regulations would require the owner to investigate it and
21 clean it up. But until we become aware of that, we don't
22 just, you know, go on to somebody's property and start
23 dictating to them that they start drilling wells and doing
24 soil borings.

25 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Okay. All right. Should they

1 have an EIS, Environmental Impact Study, on record before they
2 bought the property to see what was there when they bought it.

3 MR. WEEGAR: Again, I don't know. I have no
4 knowledge what the City of San Antonio has done, but it is --
5 it has been common real estate business practices to do a
6 phase one and then, based upon those findings, a phase two
7 Environmental Site Assessment before you enter into these type
8 of transactions. Because by purchasing the property, you
9 become responsible for doing the cleanup and that would -- I
10 would assume you can go to the City of San Antonio and ask to
11 see that type of information, do an Open Records Request, what
12 have you with the City of San Antonio. I don't know that it
13 would -- I would be surprised if they did not follow through
14 that standard process of going through and doing a site
15 assessment.

16 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Okay. Thank you. One more
17 comment.

18 MR. CARROLL: Yes, sir.

19 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I wish to thank you for the
20 presentation made on this. I get more information from you
21 than I get from the people at Lackland, but that's all about
22 to change. And I will explain to you members later what's
23 going to happen. Thank you.

24 MR. MARTINEZ: Anymore questions? Next topic.

25 MR. CARROLL: Okay. Property transfer progress.

1 This is our really good news story for this RAB. We've got 72
2 acres we just transferred at 4 o'clock on September 30th,
3 which is one hour before the end of our fiscal year this year.
4 72 acres to Port San Antonio and that was this Zone 2 property
5 that we've been briefing this whole -- this entire year.
6 We've been working toward getting the environmental conditions
7 to the point where U.S. EPA Region Six would approve our
8 Operating Properly and Successfully documented.

9 This document outlines the fact that we've installed
10 systems to remediate soils and groundwater and those systems
11 are operating as intended and are working to decrease
12 contaminant concentrations and, within a reasonable time
13 frame, clean up those contaminants. So we did demonstrate
14 that this past year to EPA Region Six.

15 Another thing we had to do to get the property ready
16 to transfer is to do a permit modification with TCEQ and then
17 a couple of the other documents that are kind of similar to
18 this phase one, phase two property transfer stuff they do in
19 the real world is a Finding of Suitability to transfer and
20 Supplemental Environmental Baseline Survey, which were
21 approved, also concurred with by EPA.

22 So now -- now that we're done with that, we don't
23 have a whole lot of time to rest. We've got this Zone 3
24 that's nagging, this little piece of property right here.
25 That's the most industrial part of the base that we're working

1 on for FY 2010 to get transferred. As you can see, there's a
2 lot of treatment systems in this -- in this area. Zone --
3 Building 360, the Building 301, Site MP that we've talked
4 about, S-4, S-8, which some of y'all may remember from
5 previous RABs that have been talked about, recovery systems,
6 even going off base for the City of San Antonio impermeable
7 barrier and other recovery systems off base are covered by
8 this Zone 3 area.

9 So we've got a lot of -- a lot of work to
10 demonstrate Operating Properly and Successfully for all the
11 systems in that property. That's almost 370 acres. Once we
12 do that, that will be the whole -- we'll achieve the whole
13 base transfer milestone for Kelly.

14 MR. PERSON: I have a question. Will that dissolve
15 this RAB?

16 MR. CARROLL: That's a very good question. The RAB
17 rule states that RABs are necessary and required as long as --
18 until the remedies have been selected. The remedies have
19 already been selected as of April of 2009. All the remedies
20 have been selected. We're still working on getting this OPS
21 Determination done and that's one thing we did want to bring
22 up for conversation at the next RAB is, you know, kind of how
23 do y'all see the RAB continuing. And I know Eloy may have a
24 little let-down here thinking about this, but it's not going
25 to happen between now and the next RAB, I can tell you that.

1 But we would like to probably consider getting the
2 RAB to consider maybe going to twice a year for a year or so.
3 Or even if everyone is satisfied, you know, I'm not against
4 disbanding the RAB. You know, the time -- the time is getting
5 pretty close. Anyone have any input on that?

6 MR. NAZIRITE PEREZ: Let me give you my view. I
7 cover District One of the Bexar County area. It's an
8 advantage to me to know what's going on because I relay
9 information to the River Authority and it covers our counties,
10 four counties altogether. And plus it's educational really.
11 I mean, you know, we all know that. And, too, I relay
12 information to the city, the City of San Antonio. As you
13 probably know, I speak over at the city council. So basically
14 to me it's a -- it's a drive that I hope it continues as long
15 as we can, or, you know, as long as ...

16 MR. CARROLL: There's still a lot to talk about for
17 this next year. Once we get property transferred, we'll have
18 everything running, you know, as it needs to be running to
19 remediate the rest of the soils and groundwater.

20 It's really -- it's your RAB. I'm here at your will
21 basically. So, you know, if you -- if you guys really want to
22 keep it going for a while longer or if we want to change the
23 frequency of meetings, you know, that's -- we will consider
24 your input for sure. There may be alternatives to keeping you
25 informed, which we have -- we do that at other bases, too.

1 I think this should be a topic of discussion for the
2 next RAB. We could discuss this and kind of get everyone's
3 input as to what they would like to do. After this -- after
4 this next year though, there won't be near as much to report
5 after this -- this coming fiscal year is over, which is good
6 news. This is all -- this is all good news.

7 Yes, sir.

8 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: First of all, I'd like to
9 commend you for being very open with us and listening to us
10 commenting even though at times you think I lose my temper,
11 but I don't. Not with you. I have enough enemies at Lackland
12 that I don't need to do it with you. And I think a lot of
13 us -- a lot of the source of information on the cleanup we get
14 from people like you who are very sincere and very honest and
15 work very hard at giving us information that we can give to
16 the community. Like I give some of these packets to the
17 Edgewood School Board, they write articles in their newspaper
18 and stuff like that, you know.

19 And you are really very cooperative with the
20 minority community around here and with all these businesses
21 in Kelly and out of Kelly and with everybody that wishes to
22 participate, and people like us that live in the community,
23 you're the only source of information.

24 To me, I feel very discriminated against by the
25 people at Lackland who refuse to send the information or even

1 talk to me on the telephone, but all that's about to change.
2 But I think you're doing a fine job and you should consider
3 keep going because you're the only source of information that
4 a lot of the community gets on what's going on with the
5 cleanup, not only with what you're obligated to do, but with
6 Leon Creek also.

7 MR. ARMANDO PEREZ: I think I'd like to comment on
8 that.

9 MR. CARROLL: Real quick, I'll let you know it's not
10 just me. We have a really good dedicated team here at Kelly,
11 so a lot of folks -- those people sitting over there, you
12 know, Mark Weegar and George and the EPA, we all work together
13 to try to get this done.

14 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I know. You know what I mean.

15 MR. CARROLL: There's some really hardworking
16 people, folks that put on these meetings, our PA folks, they
17 do a lot of work, too, so don't just look at me. Tell
18 everybody else, too, while you're at it when you get a chance.
19 Okay.

20 MR. ARMANDO PEREZ: Just to go back to what Paul was
21 stating that even though the RAB is a very good source of
22 information and we know your appreciation toward the
23 information that we give, it doesn't stop from there. Like he
24 stated, like with other bases that, you know, request
25 information, we're totally dedicated to providing that

1 information to making sure that information on a closed base
2 is provided to them as well as Kelly. Kelly is not the
3 exception. If you guys walk into our offices and request that
4 information, we totally fulfill that request.

5 So don't think of the RAB as when it does or if it
6 does disband, that that's the end of the information. We are
7 totally here. We're here at Kelly. We're going to be at the
8 new building. We're not going anywhere any time soon. So if
9 you want that information, it's totally available to you. You
10 just give us a call, send us an e-mail, any way you guys want
11 the information, we'll go ahead and provide that.

12 We don't want you to be left in the dark after the
13 RAB is disbanded. The information is always going to be
14 available to you. This is something we emphasize to all the
15 communities throughout that have been BRACed.

16 So just don't think of the RAB as going away and
17 that's it for the information. Just think of us, we're still
18 here, we're going to provide that to you and Paul is still
19 going to be around as long as he can stay and so we can help
20 you get that information.

21 MR. MARTINEZ: Any other questions of Paul? Yes,
22 sir.

23 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I just want to give y'all some
24 information, community members, whoever. The Community
25 Council on Restoration over at Lackland is going to have a

1 meeting October 22nd at 7:00 p.m. at Valley Hi Elementary
2 School at Valley Hi Drive and Loop 410 if any of you want to
3 attend because there's going to be some fireworks at this
4 meeting after what happened today between the commanding
5 general and myself over there. And I just want to inform you
6 that if anyone wants to attend, feel free to go over there and
7 express some angry feelings because they have not been very
8 cooperative with the minority community. They have not wanted
9 to work with the minority community and they got this old
10 former Marine pretty mad at them.

11 I got two calls from two different generals today
12 trying to calm me down, but they're not going to stop me.

13 MR. MARTINEZ: We are now at the point of the public
14 comment period. The tradition of the RAB is to allow any
15 member of the general public up to three minutes. Is there
16 any member of the general public that would like to address
17 the RAB on the environmental restoration at the Former Kelly
18 AFB? Anyone?

19 MR. PERSON: There isn't anyone here that doesn't
20 work for the government. Go ahead.

21 MR. MARTINEZ: I'm sorry?

22 MR. PERSON: There isn't anyone here that doesn't
23 work for the government. Go ahead.

24 MR. MARTINEZ: I guess there's no one.

25 The next item, if I may then -- Paul, you mentioned

1 it. The next item is to suggest among members of the RAB what
2 items you would like at the subsequent meeting, which would be
3 the January 2010 meeting of the RAB. And Paul has already
4 suggested the discussion about the future of the RAB
5 continuation and meeting frequency, etcetera. That is one
6 item that Paul has suggested.

7 Any other items suggested by any member of the RAB
8 for discussion? Mr. Weegar.

9 MR. WEEGAR: Well, I'm not sure if the timing will
10 work on this, but, Paul, you had indicated that I guess
11 HydroGeoLogic is going to be doing some additional groundwater
12 sampling at MP in addition to what the Tetra Tech folks are
13 doing as far as their performance demonstration for the
14 excavation.

15 MR. CARROLL: Yes.

16 MR. WEEGAR: Will there be any data on MP available
17 for that next meeting?

18 MR. CARROLL: There should be.

19 MS. CASH: When is the next meeting?

20 MR. PERSON: January.

21 MS. CASH: Yes. We should have preliminary data by
22 then.

23 MR. WEEGAR: Maybe we can just get an update on what
24 the groundwater data for MP is showing.

25 MR. CARROLL: Yeah, we'll give an update.

1 MR. WEEGAR: Especially now that we've got enough
2 rain we probably got some rebound out there in the aquifer and
3 whatnot.

4 MR. CARROLL: Uh-huh.

5 MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. That's two items. Anybody
6 else? Yes, Mr. Garcia.

7 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: Paul, this is a general item.
8 What Mark Weegar said, what I'd like to see, is a staff report
9 saying all items that are still being worked on be itemized
10 and give us a list of all items that are being worked on and a
11 time line as to how many years or months or something it's
12 going to take to continue work on the items that you itemized
13 and tell us what's going on that we still have to work on.

14 MR. CARROLL: Okay.

15 MR. CAMDEN: Paul, did you meet with Lackland on any
16 collaborative items? You mentioned that -- if you could
17 update us on what results from those meetings.

18 MR. CARROLL: Okay.

19 MR. PERSON: I can't understand why that Lackland is
20 not forthcoming with what they have to say with what they're
21 looking at. Can you shed any light on that, Paul?

22 MR. CARROLL: I'm sorry, I was writing. Sorry,
23 Paul.

24 MR. PERSON: What seems to be Lackland's problem
25 here?

1 MR. CARROLL: I don't -- I don't know.

2 MR. PERSON: Well, they got to play ball or it's
3 going to get --

4 MR. CARROLL: I haven't been that closely involved
5 with the folks I guess that Rodrigo has been talking to so ...

6 MR. PERSON: Maybe they ought to come to our next
7 meeting, make a presentation of their own. That'd be a good
8 idea.

9 MR. NAZIRITE PEREZ: Yeah, they should. They
10 should.

11 MR. PERSON: I think Lackland should --

12 MR. NAZIRITE PEREZ: We should invite them.

13 MR. PERSON: -- come here and sit here for another
14 five years.

15 MR. CARROLL: We try to keep them separated as much
16 as we can because we're focused on Kelly and Lackland has
17 their own responsibilities.

18 MR. PERSON: Do you know what the difference between
19 Lackland and Kelly is?

20 MR. CARROLL: Do what?

21 MR. PERSON: Do you know what the difference between
22 Lackland and Kelly is? That line. That's it.

23 MR. CARROLL: It was a line that was drawn by the
24 BRAC commission.

25 MR. PERSON: The same thing that happened at

1 Lackland happened at Kelly. So to tell you the truth, that's
2 not really a good answer to keep them separate from this. I
3 mean we can drag this thing on for five more years if we want.

4 MR. CARROLL: I can talk to them about it.

5 MR. WEEGAR: The difference is they wear uniforms
6 over there and the folks over here don't.

7 MR. PERSON: The difference is -- you're right.
8 They wear uniforms over there and they don't have to play ball
9 if they don't want to.

10 MR. HOWARD: It's a different culture.

11 MR. PERSON: Yeah. They're the ones that do
12 whatever the hell they want to do and you can't say anything
13 to them; right? Right?

14 MR. HOWARD: Yeah.

15 MR. PERSON: Bu you know what, the military is
16 responsible for it and they ought to answer for it.

17 MR. MARTINEZ: The request I understand from the
18 Mr. Camden is a staff -- environmental scientific report on
19 the coordination that is going on between the two bases, Kelly
20 and Lackland.

21 MR. CARROLL: And that I will be very happy to
22 report, discuss any issues we have with Zone 1. We
23 collaborated with them on cleanup there and what's going on
24 and their cleanup, their remedies are placed in the Permit and
25 Compliance Plans we are responsible for. So we are -- you're

1 right. We are one Air Force.

2 MR. PERSON: It's just one line of dirt. That's all
3 it is. And it used to be the same dirt until somebody drew a
4 line.

5 MR. CARROLL: I'm not too hip on bringing in their
6 RAB portion into ours though. Because we have different -- we
7 have a lot different goals and perspectives on what we have to
8 do with this property versus what they're doing with their
9 property. And they're an active base, they have a whole lot
10 different mission than we do.

11 MR. PERSON: That active base is also taking over
12 that building you got down there you just remodeled for them.

13 MR. CARROLL: 171?

14 MR. PERSON: Uh-huh.

15 MR. CARROLL: Yeah, that's one --

16 MR. PERSON: What did we spend on that?

17 MR. CARROLL: -- that's leased back to us. I don't
18 know.

19 MR. PERSON: 26 million?

20 MR. CARROLL: Anybody know?

21 MR. ARMANDO PEREZ: More than that. More than that.
22 Furniture alone was like 16.

23 MR. CARROLL: That's not in my budget so I don't
24 keep up with that.

25 MR. PERSON: Well, they can't play ball with us, but

1 we can remodel their building and remodel it for them for
2 \$30 million, I got a big problem with that, especially since
3 it's my tax dollars that's doing it for them.

4 MR. MARTINEZ: Mr. Perez.

5 MR. CARROLL: It's part of the BRAC action, you
6 know. So they -- the BRAC action determined that they're
7 saving by doing what they're doing. That's not for me to
8 question, but that's -- that's their logic of that decision.

9 MR. MARTINEZ: Mr. Perez?

10 MR. NAZIRITE PEREZ: Okay. Under constitutional
11 law, United States law, they are considered subject citizens.
12 They answer to the servant citizens, such as us that are
13 community. So they're responsible to let us know what's going
14 on by law.

15 MR. MARTINEZ: Would it be fair to suggest, as
16 Mr. Person has suggested, that you simply have that discussion
17 with the Public Affairs Officer at Lackland and you report --

18 MR. CARROLL: I'll be glad to share that.

19 MR. MARTINEZ: -- your finding?

20 MR. CARROLL: Yes.

21 MR. MARTINEZ: I understand what Paul is saying --

22 MR. PERSON: I mean to play ball -- they don't have
23 to play ball. It don't make any difference. Like I said, we
24 can be here for another five years or actually 220 weeks is a
25 long time. We can drag it on till then if you want, but if

1 they don't want to talk, that's fine.

2 MR. CARROLL: I'll be glad to bring it up.

3 MR. PERSON: It's just going to cause more friction.
4 This thing has been running pretty smooth for the last couple
5 of years, but you weren't around when Bailey was here. He was
6 around and he was around (indicating). You could cut it with
7 a knife when you walked in this room. I mean there was almost
8 fist fights in this room.

9 MR. WEEGAR: Well, not in this room.

10 MR. PERSON: Not this room. It was actually at
11 Dwight Middle School.

12 MR. CARROLL: I've heard stories.

13 MR. PERSON: It was because they didn't want to play
14 ball. And they were keeping things from everybody and keeping
15 it top secret. They didn't want to talk to us, we didn't want
16 to talk -- we were asking questions, we weren't getting any
17 answers. We can start over if you want. We can go right back
18 down that same old trail. Just because they're wearing a
19 uniform, they put their pants on the same way I do every day
20 and I pay their salary.

21 So Lackland needs to talk. I mean there's
22 (inaudible) --

23 MR. WEEGAR: When I look --

24 MR. PERSON: -- because I work right across that
25 fence over there and it's the same piece of dirt to me.

1 MR. CARROLL: I will promise that I will bring up
2 your concerns with their environmental folks. I don't know
3 that I have any pull with those folks, you know.

4 MR. MARTINEZ: There's also a Public Affairs
5 Officer, which is Mr. Armando Perez, and he has a counterpart
6 at that base as well. So maybe that is the discussion that
7 needs to happen and Paul needs to discuss the scientific
8 engineering discussion.

9 MR. PERSON: They can't write --

10 MR. MARTINEZ: Pardon me by my saying that, but I
11 see two very different functions in two individual positions
12 present at the table today.

13 MR. WEEGAR: I mean ultimately, there's -- as far as
14 what the Kelly RAB is charged with doing is overseeing and
15 providing input on cleanup of Kelly Air Force Base. Even
16 though some of that is transferred to Lackland, propertywise,
17 it's still Kelly's responsibility from a monitoring and a
18 reporting requirement in their permit. So HydroGeoLogic, when
19 they go out there and do their groundwater monitoring to
20 document, you know, what the chemicals are in the groundwater,
21 what the groundwater flow is doing, what the effectiveness of
22 the remediation systems that have been installed over on the
23 Lackland side of the creek, that's still in their permit.

24 It's reported to this RAB. That's not something --
25 that's not data that Lackland is collecting and keeping to

1 themselves. That's not -- they had to pay for the systems to
2 be installed, but all the monitoring is still reported to this
3 RAB and to the TCEQ. So they -- the stuff that they're doing
4 over there as far as their environmental council and whatever,
5 that's the stuff on Lackland Air Force Base that's not part of
6 what AFRPA is responsible for or that is affecting their
7 cleanup.

8 So I mean you can -- you know, you can want to know
9 what they're doing over there, but that's -- it's kind of --
10 they're doing stuff whether it's on the Medina Annex or the
11 main base part of Lackland or something like that, they're not
12 keeping secret what's going on in Zone 1. That is in their
13 permit. They can't. They can't do that. That has to be
14 reported to TCEQ and EPA and to the Kelly RAB. That's your
15 information, not something Lackland can keep quiet.

16 MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Any other suggestions for
17 items for next month's agenda?

18 MR. RODRIGO GARCIA: I just want to make a comment.
19 I totally agree with Mr. Person. And under the -- I think
20 it's under -- I don't know what it's under or what section it
21 is of the United States Code and the UCMJ, the Uniform Code of
22 Military Justice, there's a section in there that states the
23 responsibility of the military to the surrounding community
24 and community relations. It's in the UCMJ. I'll find it for
25 you and I'll get you a copy.

1 And I invite you, Mr. Carroll, that if they do not
2 want to cooperate with you, you contact Mrs. Smith or you
3 contact me directly and I will take them head-on like I did
4 today. And I guarantee you, they will answer you because they
5 have gotten on my bad side and that's the deadliest weapon in
6 the world is a pissed off Marine and I'm ready to take all of
7 them on, any stinking general, colonel or major wants to come
8 my way.

9 MR. MARTINEZ: Ms. Cunningham.

10 MS. CUNNINGHAM: As Rodrigo said, they have
11 meetings, quarterly meetings, that are open to the public
12 where they present their plans on October 22nd. So if you're
13 interested at all, they start a little bit later. They start
14 at 7:00, but it's a very -- it's pretty much the same sort of
15 meeting that we have here. They're organized a little bit
16 different, but all the information is there. They have
17 handouts for everybody; they'll answer questions. We attend
18 those meetings also. So that would be my suggestion is
19 attend -- start attending the Lackland meetings.

20 MR. MARTINEZ: Any other items?

21 MR. PERSON: One more thing.

22 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.

23 MR. PERSON: I'd like for the record to show that
24 the two new members were not present tonight.

25 MR. MARTINEZ: Correct. Mr. Arzola and Ms.

1 Martinez. Right.

2 Any other items for the next meeting? If not,
3 before we adjourn, I'd like to acknowledge the staff. You
4 notice that we lacked the audio-visual -- the visual
5 presentation, but the packet that you received today is an
6 incredible amount of work that staff actually does in putting
7 these packets together.

8 Of course Ms. Guerrero-Redman is the head boss in
9 the office that coordinated everything, but Melissa Baird is
10 the lady that did all the leg work. A few of us helped, but
11 under her direction. So we have her to thank for the
12 materials you received that made this meeting possible. Thank
13 you.

14 Any other comments from members of the RAB?

15 MR. PERSON: Move to adjourn.

16 MR. WEEGAR: Second.

17 MR. MARTINEZ: Second and third. We are adjourned.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATE OF TEXAS)

2 COUNTY OF BEXAR)

3

4 I, Gina K. May, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
5 the State of Texas, hereby certify that this transcript is a
6 true and correct a record as possible, transcribed by me
7 through computer-aided transcription.

8 I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
9 related to, nor employed by any of the parties in the action
10 in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not
11 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the
12 action.

13 WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND, this the _____ day of
14 _____, _____.

15

16

17

18

/s/ Gina K. May

19

Gina K. May, CSR

Texas CSR 5273

20

Expiration: 12/31/10

FEDERAL COURT REPORTERS

21

Firm Registration No. 79

10100 Reunion Place , Ste. 660

22

San Antonio, Texas 78216

(210) 340-6464 (210) 341-5533

23

24

25