



KELLY AFB
TEXAS

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD
COVER SHEET

AR File Number 3304.2

Summary of 23 June TRS Action Items for Kelly AFB

Agenda Item	Description	Summary of Activity	POC
II	Zone 4 Soil Boring and Groundwater Screening Data	Presentation by Joe Ebert	Ebert
III	Air Sampling Results for Building 1592	Presentation by Lt. Fitzgerald	Fitzgerald
IV	Document Submittal Schedule	A list will be handed out at the TRS of IRP documents expected to be delivered within the next 12 months. These documents will be delivered to the RAB.	Branch Chiefs to Skrobarcek
V.	1998 Basewide Remedial Assessment	Presentation by Mark Stough or CH2M Hill	Stough
VI	Round Table Discussions		
	B Kelly AFB Web Page	No Update	McGhee
	C. TRS	The Responsiveness Summary (RS) to the proposed plan includes the comments and responses to the TRS. The RS has been incorporated into the Decision Document for Zone 4 -- SS040 and SS051 IRAs, which is being routed for signature by the Commander. A letter will be sent to the TRS Chairman with a copy of the RS attached. C18	Ebert / Mata
VII.	Action Items		
	Technical Action Items - KAFB		
	1. Update the TRS Action Item Report (this report)	Done: Note, this report was developed last month for Kelly AFB items only. Updates here are only for Kelly items.	de Venoge
	2. Provide the response to comments directly to the TRS on SS040 and SS051	See "V.I.C." above	Ebert
	3. Coordinate Technical Presentations	Done -- Presentations as noted above under II.	de Venoge
	4. Respond to Air and Noise Action Items		
	- Provide a summary of air and resulting soil contamination in accordance with the work at Building 1592.	Verbal response to be provided to the TRS	Fitzgerald
	- Indicate where the results of previous stack sampling or incineration burning that may have resulted in off-site air migration of contaminated soil.	No data exists to support off site migration of soil contamination as a result of incineration	
	- Indicate how air and noise (compliance) issues are managed (office, personnel, contact phone numbers).	Verbal response to be provided to the TRS	Fitzgerald
	5. Address the Following Zone 3 Contamination Questions	The following responses assume that the questions are referring to the COSA stormwater culvert project along Bynum and McLaughlin.	Buelter
	- What happens when groundwater contamination is encountered at twenty-five (25) feet below the surface?	Any groundwater encountered during the stormwater culvert project, will be pumped to the KAFB groundwater treatment plant through existing infrastructure.	Buelter
	- Disposal and management options for soil and groundwater encountered during construction?	KAFB is responsible for the disposal of saturated soils only. COSA is responsible for the disposal of all other soils. The saturated soils will be transported directly from the site to the soil storage facility located on KAFB. The soils will be analyzed and disposed of according to TNRCC regulations. KAFB will track the disposal of all saturated soils.	Buelter
	- Have and/or will subsidence test be conducted for this area?	KAFB recently completed a subsidence study as part of the Basewide Remedial Assessment. A copy of the report will be placed in the information repository	Buelter
	- How long before contaminated groundwater is returned to it's natural state?	KAFB is in the process of developing a fate and transport model that will be able to address this question.	Buelter
	- How credible is this model?	We are assuming that the question refers to the model presented at the last TRS. The model used widely accepted methods.	Buelter
	- Will the culvert design have a contingency plan for construction design failure?	KAFB did not prepare the design of the storm water culvert. COSA has installed a number of large culverts and probably has considered such a contingency. We must remember that the culvert project is a city project.	Buelter

Summary of 23 June TRS Action Items for Kelly AFB

<p>- Where and when will groundwater, off-site issues associated with areas south of the culvert project be assessed?</p>	<p>Because the contaminant levels in the area are at or near the MCL, we are working with the TNRCC to determine the number, if any, of additional monitoring wells that will be required for the area. If additional wells are to be installed, they will be completed in late summer or early fall.</p>		<p>Buelter</p>
<p>6. Other Information on the affects and programmatic changes now due to following the RCRA process as opposed to the IRP/CERCLA-like process.</p>	<p>Programmatically, the IRP and RCRA process are very similar. Nomenclature of some of the new documents will change to reflect the RCRA terminology. One significant impact is the new public participation requirements which take place later in the RCRA process.</p>		<p>de Venoge</p>
<p>7. Information on how final recommended, feasibility alternatives are modified or de selected based upon new data?</p>	<p>New data is constantly being collected. In most cases the data does not change the recommended alternative but refines the site conceptual model to optimize the remedial action design and construction. These refinements are captured in documents prepared later in the IRP and RCRA process.</p>		<p>de Venoge</p>

FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE