

Air Force Civil Engineer Center



Wurtsmith Restoration Advisory Board Meeting

**15 Apr 2020
AFCEC/CIB**



Wurtsmith RAB Agenda



Welcome

- Introductions
- Stakeholder updates

Presentations

- EGLE Transducer Study results
- USAF Expanded Site Inspection findings



- USAF Remedial Investigation Plan

Presentation

- Action Item Review
- Announcements

RAB Business

- Public may provide three-minute verbal comments

Public Comment



Wurtsmith RAB Ground Rules



- 01** | Respect one another and maintain an atmosphere of open dialogue and exchange of ideas.
- 02** | Use our time together efficiently, wisely and respectfully.
- 03** | Speak clearly and succinctly one person at a time; avoid interrupting others.
- 04** | Listen and remain open to differing points of view.
- 05** | Maintain a propensity for progress: prepare, discuss, document and move forward.
- 06** | Share information early, openly and honestly
- 07** | Accurately and objectively relay to others the discussions that occur at board meetings.



Stakeholder Updates



Wurtsmith RAB Stakeholder Updates



Sep. 2019

SS057 In-Situ
Anaerobic
Biodegradation



Oct. 2019

Quarterly DW
monitoring



Dec. 30, 2019

Mission Street
IX System
operational



Jan. 24, 2020

Released final
ESI report




Feb. 2020

Quarterly DW
monitoring

Mission Street IX

10 Feb. 2020
sample results



- 5 Year Review Report
- Remedial Investigation project

**In Progress/
Upcoming**



Wurtsmith RAB Stakeholder Updates



- APHIS is currently sampling 40 deer from the Clark's Marsh area.
- We heard the State did some fish sampling in Clark's Marsh; we have no details on what was done or where and are not aware of any results.
- USAF contractors have been accessing wells for routine monitoring through USFS lands.
- A VI study done at the District office and came back negative, meaning there were no measurable levels or levels were below EPA standards.






- EGLE ESI comments
- Interim Actions request
- Dispute resolution status




- Community RAB Review of Remediation & Identification of other Priority Actions
- Ongoing Review of ESI, EGLE Comments - Initial thoughts



Wurtsmith RAB Stakeholder Updates





Presentations:


Expanded Site
Inspection (ESI)
Findings



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



CERCLA Investigation Progress





Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



Final Report	Purpose
PA Jan. 2016	Identify areas with potential AFFF releases.
SI Oct. 2018	Conduct sampling to determine the presence/absence of PFOS/PFOA; make recommendations regarding RI; sample DW wells down gradient from releases and respond if above EPA LHA (equivalent to MI DWC).
ESI Jan. 2020	Focused evaluation of pathways to DW sources; determine need for interim actions to protect DW wells from exceeding LHA.

- Regulator comments received 30 Jan 2020; responses are in the works.
- AFCEC will provide responses to EGLE comments as an Addendum.
- The Addendum will be made available to the public on the AFCEC AR.



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



The ESI focused on **Priority Areas** identified during the SI
1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 12, 15

Purpose: Evaluate pathways to DW sources; determine need for interim actions to protect DW from exceeding LHA.

Obj. 1: Delineate plumes and identify source areas not captured by Arrow Street extraction well field.

Obj. 2: Evaluate width of the plume and capture by FT02 GAC PTS.

Obj. 3: Complete a detailed review/verification of existing data/reports documenting PFOS/PFOA contamination.

Obj. 4: Update and revise GW model and prepare report summarizing data and detailing results and evaluation of investigation.

Obj. 5: Evaluate investigation data and GW flow and develop recommendations to address potential exposure pathways.



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



- 1** Delineate plumes and identify source areas not captured by Arrow Street extraction well field.

AFFF Areas 1 and 15

There are no known DW receptors currently using GW as a DW source.

Extraction wells associated with the Central GAC PTS are not capturing the extent of PFOS/PFOA concentrations above the LHA in GW downgradient of areas.



Shallow 10-25 ft



Intrm. 25-40 ft



Deep 40+ ft



Particle tracking




12

15

Wurtsmith ESI results

Shallow GW 10-25 ft bgs



Air Force Civil Engineer Center
2261 Hughes Avenue
Building 171, Ste 155
JBSA Lackland, Texas 78236

Project: 775329301

By: DGJ Date: 01/17/2020

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

SYMBOL KEY

VAS Sample

- PFOS < 12 ppt and PFOS + PFOA < 70 ng/L
- PFOS > 12 ppt and PFOS + PFOA < 70 ng/L
- PFOS = PFOA > 70 ng/L
- Extrapolated Contour

PFOS+PFOA Concentration Contour (ng/L)

- 70-700
- 700-2,000
- 2,000-5,000
- 5,000-7,000
- 7,000-70,000
- >70,000

Former Wurtsmith AFB

- Installation Boundary
- Stream
- Surface Water
- Capture Zones (Baywest 2018)
- Potential Release Area
- Suspected Source Area

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

FIGURE 3-3
Approximate Extent of PFOS+PFOA Concentration
Shallow Groundwater (10-25ft Below Ground Surface)
Based on Vertical Aquifer Sampling
Former Wurtsmith Air Force Base
Oscoda, Michigan

Expanded Site Inspection Report




12

15

Wurtsmith ESI results

Intrm. GW 25-40 ft. bgs



Air Force Civil Engineer Center
2201 Hughes Avenue
Building 171, Ste 155
JBSA Lackland, Texas 78236

Project: 775329301

By: KEH

Date: 01/17/2020

SYMBOL KEY

VAS Sample

- PFOS < 12 ppt and PFOS + PFOA < 70 ng/L
- PFOS > 12 ppt and PFOS + PFOA < 70 ng/L
- PFOS + PFOA > 70 ng/L
- * Extrapolated Contour

PFOS+PFOA Concentration Contour (ng/L)

- 70-700
- 700-2,000
- 2,000-5,000
- 5,000-7,000
- 7,000-70,000
- >70,000

- Former Wurtsmith AFB
- Installation Boundary
- Stream
- Surface Water
- Capture Zones (Baywest 2018)

Note: AFFF Area 12 results are shown on Figure 3-21

Disclaimer: For general reference purposes only. This is not a survey product.
DO NOT USE to determine, certify, or verify map features, scale and/or other information.

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar
Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS
User Community

FIGURE 3-4
Approximate Extent of PFOS+PFOA Concentration
Intermediate Groundwater (25-40ft Below Ground Surface)
Based on Vertical Aquifer Sampling

Former Wurtsmith Air Force Base
Oscoda, Michigan

Expanded Site Inspection Report




12

15

Wurtsmith ESI results

Deep 40+ ft bgs



Air Force Civil Engineer Center
2261 Hughes Avenue
Building 171, Site 155
JBSA Lackland, Texas 78236

Project: 775329301

By: KEH Date: 01/17/2020

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

SYMBOL KEY

VAS Sample

- PFOS < 12 ppt and PFOS + PFOA < 70 ng/L
- PFOS > 12 ppt and PFOS + PFOA < 70 ng/L
- PFOS + PFOA > 70 ng/L
- Extrapolated Contour

PFOS+PFOA Concentration Contour (ng/L)

- 70-700
- 700-2,000
- 2,000-5,000
- 5,000-7,000
- 7,000-70,000

Other Symbols:

- Former Wurtsmith AFB Installation Boundary
- Stream
- Surface Water
- Capture Zones (Baywest 2018)

Disclaimer: For general reference purposes only. This is not a survey product. DO NOT USE to determine, certify, or verify map features, scale and/or other information.


Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

FIGURE 3-5
Approximate Extent of PFOS+PFOA Concentration
Deep Groundwater (40+ft Below Ground Surface)
Based on Vertical Aquifer Sampling
Former Wurtsmith Air Force Base
Osceola, Michigan

Expanded Site Inspection Report



Wurtsmith ESI results modeled particle tracks





Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



- 1** Delineate plumes and identify source areas not captured by Arrow Street extraction well field.

AFFF Areas 2 and 7

Testing of several downgradient private DW wells indicated either non-detect or low concentrations of PFOA/PFOS < the LHA.

Existing extraction wells do not capture the extent of GW contamination observed at the site.

AFFF Areas 4 and 6

There are no known DW receptors currently using GW as a DW source. A source area was not confirmed. Both Area 4 and AFFF 6 appear to be entirely outside any treatment capture zone.

Results suggest a narrow PFOS/PFOA plume is discharging into Van Etten Lake with concentrations > the LHA.



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



- 2** Evaluate width of the plume and capture by FT02 GAC PTS.

AFFF Area 12

The majority of the plume above the LHA is captured by the current extraction wells. However, PFOS/PFOA concentrations exceed the LHA outside the current modeled capture zone.

- 3** Complete detailed review/verify existing info documenting PFOS/PFOA contamination.

Review of existing PFOS/PFOA data and historical reports was used to develop the ESI sampling plan.



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Findings



4

Update and revise GW model and prepare report summarizing data and detailing results and evaluation.

- **Areas 1 and 15:** Particle tracks move east-northeast toward Van Etten Lake, with only the southeastern particles captured by AS-PW5.
- **Areas 2 and 7:** Particle tracks move south-southeast toward the Au Sable River, with a portion of Area 2 particle tracks captured by MS-PW5A, MS-PW6A and MS-PW7A; the western part of 2 & 7 particle tracks move toward Three-Pipes Ditch.
- **Areas 4 and 6:** Particle tracks move east-northeast toward Van Etten Lake, GW modeling & transducer study results suggest GW likely discharges into Van Etten Lake with no capture by existing pump and treat systems.
- **Area 12:** Particle tracks indicate PFOS/PFOA is moving in GW towards Clark's Marsh outside of the line of extraction wells.



Shallow 10-25 ft



Intrm. 25-40 ft



Deep 40+ ft



Particle tracking



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Recommendations



- 5** Evaluate investigation data and GW flow; develop recommendations to address potential exposure pathways.

ESI Recommendation #1: Base-wide RI should include:

- Delineate the nature and extent of PFOS/PFOA in GW, soil, surface water and sediment.
- Identify potential ARARs.
- Where PFOS/PFOA GW plumes are discharging into surface water, the evaluation of GW contamination should include delineation of the extent of contamination to GSI criteria.
- Perform a Risk Assessment for potential media pathways to assess risks to human health and the environment.
- Update the conceptual site model (CSM) for all AFFF Areas.



Wurtsmith RAB

ESI Recommendations



- 5** Evaluate investigation data and GW flow; develop recommendations to address potential exposure pathways.

ESI Recommendation #1 (cont.) Base-wide RI should include:

- Evaluate PFAS concentrations in soil and GW to further understand the fate and transport of PFAS.
- Perform a concentration trend analysis to evaluate the stability of PFOS/PFOA concentrations in GW.
- Evaluate GW infiltration into the storm water system because Three-Pipes Ditch discharges into the Au Sable River.
- Consider fingerprint evaluation to confirm AFFF type, source.



Wurtsmith RAB ESI Recommendations



- 5** Evaluate investigation data and GW flow; develop recommendations to address potential exposure pathways.

ESI Recommendation #2: Evaluate the following response actions for implementation.

- Develop and implement a sentinel well plan to monitor PFOS/PFOA concentrations in GW downgradient of suspected sources and upgradient of DW wells.
- Evaluate expansion of MS TS capture zone.
- Evaluate expansion of FT02 GAC PTS.



Wurtsmith RAB Final ESI Findings



Final ESI Findings

Under the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP), there are three possible outcomes from the Site Inspection Phase:

- There is no need for action (all contaminants detected lower than screening values set at Hazard Index of 0.1)
- There is a need for immediate action (contamination detected at levels that present an imminent hazard to human health)
- There is a need for remedial investigation

The Final ESI documents exceedances of one or more screening levels for PFOS or PFOA, but not at levels warranting immediate action

Recommendation is to proceed to Remedial Investigation phase consistent with DERP, CERCLA and the National Contingency Plan (NCP).



Wurtsmith RAB



Break

10:00



Wurtsmith RAB Remedial Investigation



Remedial Investigation

The RI phase focuses on defining the nature and extent of contamination and assessing risk to human health and the environment.



The remedial investigation results will provide the necessary information to make decisions on further remedial actions, **including possible interim remedial actions**, as necessary to limit PFAS migration and address unacceptable risks.

“

The Air Force is allocating \$13.5 million to PFOS and PFOA requirements for Fiscal Year 2020 at Wurtsmith. These funds will allow the Air Force to expedite the remedial investigation by one year.


”

Barbara Barrett

Secretary of the Air Force



Wurtsmith RAB RI/FS Process






Wurtsmith RAB Projected RI Schedule



Goal:
Award RI
Contract





Wurtsmith RAB



RAB Business



Wurtsmith RAB

RAB Business



- **Action Item Review**
- **Procedures**
- **Community Co-Chair**



Public Comment

3:00



Public Comment Period

- 01** | Indicate you want to make a comment (follow guidance)
- 02** | Wait until the facilitator states you can start your comment
- 03** | Three minute time limit
- 04** | RAB members will confer after your comment to see if a follow-up action is needed



Conclusion & Adjournment

